

1 **Section 1: Introduction/Proposal Not to Rebase Power Supply Costs**
 2

3 **Q. Assuming sales exceed the test year forecast for all classes in each of 2025 and 2026**
 4 **by (a) 0.5%, (b) 1.0%, (c) 1.5%, and (d) 2.0%, please provide for each scenario (i)**
 5 **the computation of the transfers to the Energy Supply Cost Variance Deferral**
 6 **Account and (ii) the projected annual Rate Stabilization Account customer rate**
 7 **impact for 2026 and 2027 assuming recovery would occur through the Rate**
 8 **Stabilization Account adjustments.**

9
 10 **A.** Table 1 provides pro forma transfers to the Energy Supply Cost Variance Deferral
 11 Account in the requested scenarios.

Table 1:
Energy Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account¹
Requested Scenarios
(\$000s)

Sales Increase	2025PF	2026PF
0.5%	3,536	3,514
1.0%	7,072	7,028
1.5%	10,608	10,542
2.0%	14,144	14,056

12 Table 2 provides pro forma July 1st rate adjustments based on the information provided in
 13 Table 1.

Table 2:
Customer Rate Impact²
Requested Scenarios

Sales Increase	July 1, 2026	July 1, 2027
0.5%	0.4%	0.4%
1.0%	0.8%	0.8%
1.5%	1.2%	1.2%
2.0%	1.6%	1.6%

¹ Based on the 2023 test year unit purchased power cost.

² Excludes RSA and MTA impact related to sales increase. Rate impacts use 2025 and 2026 proposed customer billings of \$860 million and \$881 million, respectively.