Page 1 of 1

Q. Reference: Fair Return and Capital Structure for Newfoundland Power (NP), Evidence of Laurence D. Booth, April 2024, page 85, lines 10-12.

"However, I am not aware of any decision that has explicitly taken estimates from U.S. companies or the U.S. capital market and said that they are appropriate for use in Canada without any adjustment."

Please confirm whether Dr. Booth is aware of decisions from the BCUC (September 2023) and the AUC (October 2023) in which the regulator relied on a North American proxy group that included results for both Canadian and U.S. companies, without making any adjustment for U.S. data.

**A.** Dr. Booth did not participate in that hearing, but he is aware that:

a) The decision was based on the expert reports in that hearing, and as far as Dr. Booth is aware there were no Canadian expert witnesses presenting fair ROE evidence in that hearing to dispute the US based witnesses. Dr. Booth has no idea why interveners or the Board did not solicit more relevant expert reports. It is not surprising that the BCUC, after hearing US witnesses presumably presenting mainly US evidence, was forced to make a decision based on this evidentiary basis.

b) The BCUC has in the past stressed that it will look at US results when there are insufficient relevant Canadian companies, and Dr. Booth is not aware of them repeating its statement of a necessary deduction. However, Dr. Booth does not know whether the final decision was the result of applying an implicit deduction to the US results, or whether the BCUC implicitly based its decision on an incremental analysis.