1	Refe	rence:	Section 3: Finance	
2 3 4 5	Q.	Volume 1, page 3-56, Footnotes 151 and 152. Provide examples of recovery period for costs used in Canadian jurisdictions.		
5 6	A.	Newf	Foundland Power has planned a portfolio of customer electrification programs.	
7		Elect	rification program costs are proposed to be recovered through the Electrification	
8		Cost	Deferral Account (the "Deferral Account"). The Company has proposed an	
9		amor	tization period of 10 years for costs included in the Deferral Account. Costs	
10		inclu	included in the Deferral Account include, among other items, rebates for electric vehicles	
11		("EV	("EV") and chargers, pilot programs, and EV infrastructure investments through a make	
12		ready	model. ¹	
13				
14		EVs a	EVs are a rapidly emerging technology globally. EV and charging infrastructure	
15		incen	incentives are currently being pursued throughout North America to meet specific policy	
16		goals	goals, including greenhouse gas reductions. In Newfoundland Power's view, given the	
1/ 10		emerg	emerging nature of the technology, it is appropriate for the Board to consider not only the	
18		exper	tence in Canadian jurisdictions, but North American jurisdictions more broadly.	
19		Footnotes 151 and 152 relate to the recovery periods of electrification program costs in		
20 21		North	North American jurisdictions. The examples provided are:	
$\frac{21}{22}$		noru	American jurisdictions. The examples provided are.	
22		(i)	Consumers Energy in Michigan recovers nilot program costs over 5 years:	
23		(i) (ii)	Xcel Energy in Colorado recovers program costs over 10 years.	
25		(iii)	EV program costs in Maryland are recovered over 5 years.	
26		(iv)	Utilities in New York recover costs for make ready charging infrastructure for	
27		(11)	EVs over 15 vears:	
28		(v)	Rebates for EV chargers are recovered over 10 years in New Mexico; and	
29		(vi)	Rebates for EV chargers are recovered over 10 years in Oregon.	
30		~ /		
31		In Canada, incentive programs are often administered directly by municipal, provincial or		
32		feder	federal governments. In some cases, the incentive programs are administered by a utility,	
33		but are funded by government. Examples include:		
34				
35		(i)	BC Hydro's and FortisBC's EV charger rebate programs. These programs	
36			provide rebates for the purchase and installation of EV chargers for homes and	
37			workplaces throughout British Columbia. These programs are offered as part of	
38			the province's CleanBC plan and are funded by the Government of British	
39			Columbia. ²	

¹ For information on the costs proposed to be recovered through the Deferral Account, see response to Request for Information CA-NP-034.

² See <u>https://goelectricbc.gov.bc.ca/</u>.

Requests for Information

1 Nova Scotia Power's EV Smart Charging Program. This is a pilot program aimed (ii) 2 at collecting information on how smart charging systems can help lower energy 3 usage during peak times. The pilot program is implemented as part of the Smart 4 Grid Nova Scotia initiative, which is supported by Natural Resources Canada and 5 the Government of Nova Scotia.³ 6 7 As existing EV incentive programs in Canada are supported by government funding, 8 there has not yet been a business case to require recovery of costs from utility customers. 9 10 There are, however, examples of recovery periods for investment in EV charging 11 infrastructure among Canadian utilities. In Prince Edward Island, the Island Regulatory and Appeals Commission approved the recovery of Maritime Electric's cost to install EV 12 charging infrastructure.⁴ The expected useful life of Maritime Electric's EV charging 13 infrastructure is 10 years.⁵ In British Columbia, FortisBC currently owns 30 public 14 direct-current fast charging ("DCFC") stations.⁶ FortisBC's cost-of-service model 15 16 assumes a 10-year depreciation period for this infrastructure. Additionally, 17 Newfoundland Power's 2019 Depreciation Study indicates EV charging stations should be amortized over 10 years.⁷ 18 19 20 Given the proposed Deferral Account includes costs associated with EV charging infrastructure, these examples are also relevant for the Board's consideration of the 21 22 appropriate amortization period for electrification program costs.⁸

³ See <u>https://www.nspower.ca/cleanandgreen/innovation/smart-grid-nova-scotia</u>.

⁴ See Island Regulatory and Appeals Commission, Docket #UE20732, Order UE20-05.

⁵ See Response to Interrogatories IR-1 to IR-19 from Commission Staff as part of Maritime Electric's 2020 Supplemental Capital Budget Request Electric Vehicle Charging Stations.

⁶ See British Columbia Utilities Commission Order G-215-21 with Reasons.

⁷ See the 2022/2023 General Rate Application, Volume 3, Expert Evidence, 2019 Depreciation Study, page I-6.

⁸ The make ready model, as referenced above, includes the installation of electrical infrastructure to enable customers to purchase and install DCFC stations.