Page 1 of 2

1 2 3	Q.		Reference: <i>Fair Return for Newfoundland Power (NP)</i> , Evidence of Laurence D. Booth, September 28, 2021, page 85, lines 1-4.
4 5			"I would regard long run growth at 65-68% of the GDP growth rate as being reasonable based on actual experienced median growth rates. This would
6			mean 3.3-3.4% long run growth rates based on a 5% GDP growth rate, and a
7			DCF equity cost of 6.8-6.9% when added to their current typical dividend
8			yield of 3.4%."
9		2)	Please provide any analysis Dr. Pooth has performed to demonstrate that
10		a)	the long-term FPS growth of 65-68% of CDP growth is reasonable for
12			utilities. If Dr. Booth has not performed any analysis, what is the basis for
13			this statement?
14			
15		b)	Has Dr. Booth conducted an analysis on a proxy group of utilities that
16			would support an EPS growth rate of 3.3% to 3.4%? If so, please provide
17			the analysis.
18			
19	•		
20 21	А.		The estimate is based on the data on page 83 where the dividend and earnings growth rates are given as well as that for GDP. A fuller discussion is in Dr
22			Booth's Appendix D where he states
23			
24			"Over the period from 1967-2017 US GDP grew on average (median) 6.49%
25			(5.99%), both slightly above the full period due to the absence of the 2020
26			negative growth rate. In contrast, these US utilities had average (median)
27			dividend per share growth of 3.1% (4.10%) with average (median) earnings growth of only 4.25% and 3.01%. The compound growth rates are even worse
29			at 2.04% for earnings and 2.37% for dividends, while the least squares
30			regression results are worse still at 1.34% and 1.67%. The reason for the latter
31			two is that they implicitly put more weight on the later performance where the
32			<i>utility EPS was \$12.01 in 2017, but was also \$12.36 in 2009, and \$10.48 as far</i>
33			back as 1993. So, there is little evidence of significant earnings growth.
34			This evidence from the S&P500 utility data is for the larger utilities included in
35			the S&P500 index and this reflects the problems of holding companies like
36			Duke Energy and PG&E. However, this is also in the minds of investors in
31 38			utility stocks in the \cup .s. From this data it is extremely alficult to justify \cup .s utilities growing at rates higher than the US GDP growth rate as is implied in
39			the use of analyst growth forecasts. It is also difficult to justify including
40			growth at the GDP growth rate when a multi-stage DCF model is used. I
41			would regard long run growth at 65-68% of the GDP growth rate as being

Page 2 of 2

reasonable based on actual experienced median growth rates. This would mean
3.3-3.4% long run growth rates based on a 5% GDP growth rate, and a DCF
equity cost of 6.8-6.9% when added to their current typical dividend yield of
3.4%. This estimate is consistent with the sustainable growth rate estimates
and a risk hierarchy when compared with the overall stock market equity cost
of 8.50-9.50%. "