Page 1 of 1

1	Q.	Reference Evidence of Dr. Sean Cleary dated September 25, 2018
2		
3		Page 2, lines 6-11 and page 24, lines 6-12: Dr. Cleary concludes that
4		Newfoundland Power is a "very low business risk firm". In Order No. P.U. 18
5		(2016) the Board concluded at page 19, lines 26-29 that Newfoundland Power's
6		business risk had not increased at that time to above average from average and
7		at lines 31-32 that it was an average risk utility. What circumstance or factors
8		have changed since the Board's Order that would cause the Board to now
9		conclude that Newfoundland Power has a very low business risk?
10		
11	A.	Dr. Cleary did not make this statement based on the belief that any circumstances
12		or factors have changed significantly since 2016. He made the same conclusion in
13		my 2016 evidence (page 2, line 19). This statement is based on two assertions. First,
14		regulated Canadian distribution utilities in general possess business risk that is well
15		below the average "firm," which includes companies in other industries. In other
16		words, the average regulated Canadian distribution is a low (to very low) business
17		risk firm. So the statement should be taken in context. Second, Newfoundland
18		Power (NP) possesses below average business risk in comparison to other Canadian
19		regulated electric distributors. This assertion is based on his analysis as discussed in
20		Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of his evidence (pages 13-25).