Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Q. | Reference: Dr. Booth Evidence, Page 74, Lines 15-17 |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | What concerns have Moody's Investors Services and DBRS expressed |
| 4 | | regarding the effect of higher electricity prices due to the Muskrat Falls |
| 5 | | hydroelectric project on Newfoundland Power's future ability to fully recover |
| 6 | | costs and earn returns? |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | A. | They are adopting a watch and wait brief. Please see Dr. Booth's answer to PUB- |
| 9 | | CA-012 where in a very recent opinion DBRS has raffirmed NP's bond rating |
| 10 | | despite Muskrat Falls concerns. Dr. Booth would recommend that the Baord follow |
| 11 | | a similar policy in this hearing and base the allowed ROE on the evidentiary record. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | Dr. Booth's view would be that if NP felt there was a serious risk they would have |
| 14 | | filed a demand study to indicate potential loss of load and revenue and further how |
| 15 | | they would rebalance rates to counter act it. |