19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

- (page 5-4, Table 5-3) Please show the impact on revenue requirement and required 1 Q. 2 rate increase for the test year for the following load forecast scenarios (compared to 3 the most recent 12-month period for which actual load data are available, weather 4 adjusted): 5 6 (a) A 1% increase in demand; 7 8 No change in demand; **(b)** 9 10 (c) A 5% reduction in demand. 11 12 A. The information below provides estimated pro forma impacts on revenue requirement for 13 2020 and the approximate percentage customer rate change to achieve the pro forma 14 2020 revenue requirement for the requested scenarios. 15 16 (a) Attachment A provides the *pro forma* impact on the 2020 revenue requirement 17 and the required customer rate change for a scenario with a 2020 peak demand 18
  - and the required customer rate change for a scenario with a 2020 peak demand that is 1% higher than the 2017 actual native peak. The analysis shows an increase in revenue requirement of \$4,404,000 in 2020. The required rate increase would be higher by approximately 0.66% for 2020 forecast.
  - (b) Attachment B provides the *pro forma* impact on the 2020 revenue requirement and the required customer rate change for a scenario with a 2020 peak demand where there is no change in the 2017 actual native peak. The analysis shows an increase in revenue requirement of \$3,557,000 in 2020. The required rate increase would be higher by approximately 0.53% in 2020 forecast.
  - (c) Attachment C provides the *pro forma* impact on the 2020 revenue requirement and the required customer rate change for a scenario with a 2020 peak demand that is 5% lower than the 2017 actual native peak. The analysis shows a reduction in revenue requirement of \$680,000 in 2020. The required rate increase would be lower by approximately 0.11% for 2020 forecast.

**Detailed Impact Computations of 1% Increase in Peak Demand** 

## Impact on 2020 Revenue Requirement and Requested Rate Increase of a 1% increase in Peak Demand<sup>1</sup> (\$000's)

Impact on Proposed 2020 Revenue from Rates Including RSA & MTA

| Revenue from Rates <sup>2</sup> \$670,904 |
|---|
| Revenue from Rates <sup>2</sup> \$670     |

Demand Supply Cost  $$4,284 = ([1,445.9 \text{ MW}]^3*1.01] -1,385.2^4 \text{ MW}) \times $57$ 

Change in Municipal Taxes  $$120 = $4,284 \times 2.806\%^{5}$ 

Revised Total Revenue from Rates \$675,308 = \$670,904+ \$4.284 + \$120

Impact on Existing 2020 Revenue from Rates Including RSA and MTA

Total Revenue from Existing Rates before impact  $$662,719 = $664,034^6 - $1,315^7$ 

Revised Increase in Total Revenue From Final Rates \$12,589 = \$675,308 - \$662,719

Less: Proposed Increase in Total Revenue From Final Rates \$\$8,185 = \$8,185

Impact on total revenue from Final Rates \$4,404

Revised Customer Rate Change  $1.90\% = $12,589 \div $662,719$ 

Less: Proposed Customer Rate Change \(^9\) **Customer Rate Change Impact**1.24%
0.66%

Assume increase in supply demand cost has changed only, no impact on customer billing demand.

Revenue requirement from final rates in Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 2017 actual Native Peak from Hydro's 2017 Weather Adjusted Native Load of Newfoundland Power letter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 2019 proposed Native Peak is the basis for the proposed purchased power 2020 demand charges. Native Peak from *Volume 2, Supporting Materials, Reports, Reports, Tab 3, Customer, Energy, and Demand Forecast, Appendix C.* 

<sup>5</sup> MTA factor of 1.02806 effective July 1, 2017.

Total Existing Revenue from Rates including RSA and MTA in Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Total Price Elasticity Effect in Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.

Proposed Increase in Total Revenue from Rates including RSA and MTA in *Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9,* Page 2.

The proposed rate increase from *Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9*, Page 2. [\$8,185/(\$664,034 - \$1,315)].

**Detailed Impact Computations of no Change from 2017 Peak Demand** 

## Impact on 2020 Revenue Requirement and Requested Rate Increase of a 0% increase in Peak Demand<sup>1</sup> (\$000's)

Impact on Proposed 2020 Revenue from Rates Including RSA & MTA

| Proposed Total | Revenue from Rates <sup>2</sup> | \$670,90 | 4   |
|----------------|---------------------------------|----------|-----|
| Proposed Total | Revenue from Rates <sup>2</sup> | \$6/0.9  | 9() |

Demand Supply Cost  $$3,460 = (1,445.9 \text{ MW}^3 - 1,385.2^4 \text{ MW}) \text{ x } $57$ 

\$3,557

Change in Municipal Taxes  $$97 = $3,460 \times 2.806\%^5$ 

Revised Total Revenue from Rates \$674,461 = \$670,904+ \$3,460 + \$97

Impact on Existing 2020 Revenue from Rates Including RSA and MTA

Total Revenue from Existing Rates before impact  $$662,719 = $664,034^6 - $1,315^7$ 

Revised Increase in Total Revenue From Final Rates \$11,742 = \$674,461 - \$662,719

Less: Proposed Increase in Total Revenue From Final Rates \$8,185 = \$8,185

**Impact on total revenue from Final Rates** 

Revised Customer Rate Change  $1.77\% = \$11,742 \div \$662,719$ 

Less: Proposed Customer Rate Change<sup>9</sup>

Customer Rate Change Impact

.53%

Assume increase in supply demand cost has changed only, no impact on customer billing demand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Revenue requirement from final rates in *Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.* 

<sup>3 2017</sup> actual Native Peak from the 2017 Weather Adjusted Native Load of Newfoundland Power letter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 2019 proposed Native Peak is the basis for the proposed purchased power 2020 demand charges. Native Peak from *Volume 2, Supporting Materials, Reports, Tab 3, Customer, Energy, and Demand Forecast, Appendix C.* 

MTA factor of 1.02806 effective July 1, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Total Existing Revenue from Rates including RSA and MTA in *Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.* 

Total Price Elasticity Effect in Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.

Proposed Increase in Total Revenue from Rates including RSA and MTA in Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.

The proposed rate increase from in *Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.* [\$8,185/(\$664,034 - \$1,315)].

**Detailed Impact Computations of 5% Decrease in Peak Demand** 

## Impact on 2020 Revenue Requirement and Requested Rate Increase of a 5% Decrease in Peak Demand<sup>1</sup> (\$000's)

Impact on Proposed 2020 Revenue from Rates Including RSA & MTA

| Proposed Total Revenue from Rates <sup>2</sup> \$6/0.90 | Proposed Total Revenue | e from Rates <sup>2</sup> | \$670,904 |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
|---|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|

Demand Supply Cost  $\$(661) = ([1,445.9 \text{ MW}^{3*}.95] -1,385.2^4 \text{ MW}) \times \$57$ 

(\$680)

Change in Municipal Taxes  $\frac{\$(19)}{\text{Revised Total Revenue from Rates}} = -\$661 \times 2.806\%^5$ Revised Total Revenue from Rates \$670,224 = \$670,904 - \$661 - \$19

Impact on Existing 2020 Revenue from Rates Including RSA and MTA

Total Revenue from Existing Rates before impact  $$662,719 = $664,034^6 - $1,315^7$ 

Revised Increase in Total Revenue From Final Rates \$7,505 = \$670,224 - \$662,719

Less: Proposed Increase in Total Revenue From Final Rates \$8,185 = \$8,185

**Impact on total revenue from Final Rates** 

Revised Customer Rate Change  $1.13\% = \$7,505 \div \$662,719$ 

Less: Proposed Customer Rate Change<sup>9</sup>

Customer Rate Change Impact

-0.11%

Assume increase in supply demand cost has changed only, no impact on customer billing demand.

Revenue requirement from final rates in Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 2017 actual Native Peak from Hydro's 2017 Weather Adjusted Native Load of Newfoundland Power letter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 2019 proposed Native Peak is the basis for the proposed purchased power 2020 demand charges. Native Peak from *Volume 2, Supporting Materials, Reports, Tab 3, Customer, Energy, and Demand Forecast, Appendix C.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MTA factor of 1.02806 effective July 1, 2017.

Total Existing Revenue from Rates including RSA and MTA in Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9. Page 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Total Price Elasticity Effect in Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Proposed Increase in Total Revenue from Rates including RSA and MTA in *Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The proposed rate increase from *Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Exhibit 9, Page 2.* [\$8,185/(\$664,034 - \$1,315)].