

- 1 Q. **Reference: Application, Upgrade Worst-Performing Distribution Feeders (2025-2027)**
- 2 a) Have customers served by EHW-L1 expressed increasing levels of dissatisfaction with
- 3 reliability performance?
- 4 b) The evaluation of alternatives (page17) identifies “Construct New Feeder” as an
- 5 alternative, but does not identify the cost. What would be the approximate cost to
- 6 construct a new feeder?
- 7 c) Is “reconstruction of 23 kilometres of the three-phase section of EHW-L1” (page 18) the
- 8 same as constructing 23 km of new feeder?
- 9
- 10
- 11 A. a) Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro (“Hydro”) does not capture or track data related to
- 12 customer complaints about reliability by feeder. Customer contact tracking does not include
- 13 the reliability of service. These programs identify emerging trends which need to be
- 14 addressed routinely in order to maintain an acceptable level of service and are intended to
- 15 be proactive in order to optimally achieve a balance between reliability, environmental
- 16 responsibility, and cost. Decisions made on the balance between those components as
- 17 informed by customer opinion and satisfaction are made for larger, overall system planning
- 18 activities as opposed to individual proposals, as Hydro can more reliably track and assess
- 19 customer opinions on province-wide measurements.
- 20 b) The approximate cost to construct a new feeder for the English Harbour West distribution
- 21 system is approximately \$22 million. This estimate is classified as an AACE¹ Class 5 estimate
- 22 intended for screening purposes.
- 23 c) The reconstruction of the 23-kilometre three-phase section will utilize existing distribution
- 24 equipment and their structures (such as the three-phase voltage regulators). The remaining

¹ Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering (“AACE”).

1 infrastructure required is effectively equivalent to that required for the construction of a
2 new feeder.