

1 Q. Hydro is proposing to expand its charging network to include nine additional sites in the  
2 province, and each site will include both a Level 3 Direct Current Fast Charger and a Level 2  
3 charger. Newfoundland Power, in its Electrification, Conservation and Demand Management  
4 Application filed December 16, 2020, is only proposing to include Level 2 chargers if they receive  
5 federal funding of \$50,000. Please confirm whether Hydro’s Level 2 chargers are contingent on  
6 federal funding or will Hydro be installing these chargers regardless of funding. If so, why would  
7 Hydro’s approach be different from Newfoundland Power’s?

8

9

10 A. Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro’s (“Hydro’s”) Level 2 chargers are not contingent on federal  
11 funding. The total federal funding available is \$55,000 per site; this includes \$50,000 towards  
12 the installation of a Level 3 direct current fast charger (“DCFC”) and \$5,000 towards the  
13 installation of a Level 2 charger. Hydro’s proposed electric vehicle (“EV”) charging network  
14 expansion is planned to include both a DCFC and a Level 2 charger at each site. This site design is  
15 consistent with Hydro’s first phase of chargers along the Trans-Canada Highway and is also  
16 consistent with industry best practice.

17 Recent EV charging network construction in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Prince Edward  
18 Island all included both DCFC and Level 2 chargers at each site. The inclusion of Level 2 chargers  
19 makes the network accessible to all types of EVs as not all EVs are able to utilize DCFC chargers,  
20 in particular most plug-in hybrid Evs. Further, in the event that the DCFC charger is in use or  
21 undergoing maintenance, having a second charger available increases the reliability of the  
22 network. The benefit of co-locating Level 2 chargers exceeds the relatively small incremental  
23 cost of installation.

24 Hydro cannot speak to why Newfoundland Power Inc. has chosen to take a different approach.