1	Q.	Reference: Application, para. 19	
2		Provide a comparison of proposals made by Hydro and its ECDM partner, Newfoundland Power,	
3		for the following:	
4		CDM cost recovery for	Island customers identifying those costs to be recovered in a deferral
5		account and those to b	e included in rate base.
6		Electrification cost reco	overy for Island customers identifying those costs to be recovered in a
7		deferral account and the	nose to be included in rate base.
8			
9			
10	Α.	The only difference be	tween Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's ("Hydro") and
11		Newfoundland Power	Inc.'s ("Newfoundland Power") conservation and demand
12		management cost reco	overy is the ten-year recovery period proposed by Newfoundland
13		Power and the existing	seven-year time period in place by Hydro. Please refer to Hydro's
14		response to CA-NLH-02	21.
15		Hydro's application pro	pposes the recovery of the capital cost of public fast chargers through
16		a deferral account; Nev	wfoundland Power's application seeks to recover these same costs
17		through revenue requi	rement as a capital asset.