

1 Q. Further to PUB-NLH-285, state whether the interpretation as corrected is equivalent to treating
2 the LIL as an energy-only line, and whether a consequence is that 160 more MW of additional
3 generation is needed above the case in which a LIL bipole outage is not regarded as a first
4 contingency.

5

6

7 A. Further to its response to PUB-NLH-285 of this proceeding, Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro
8 (“Hydro”) can confirm that this interpretation is correct, based on the assumption that in this
9 case the Labrador-Island Link (“LIL”) would not provide any reliability benefit to the Island
10 Interconnected System from a planning perspective. It is important to note that this is heavily
11 dependent on the LIL bipole forced outage rate assumption and the commissioned capacity of
12 the LIL.