

1 Q. Further to the response to PUB-NLH-212, Attachment 2, please explain why steps
2 have not been taken to reduce the estimated outage rate of the electrode lines to
3 less than 2 per year, since the outage of the electrode line would result in a pole
4 failure becoming a bipole outage, with consequent potential under frequency load
5 shedding.

6

7

8 A. The estimated outage rate of the electrode lines of two per year is based upon a
9 review of the CEA transmission line statistics. That is, a rate of 0.5 outages/100
10 km/yr and a 400 km electrode yields two outages per year. The outage rate is
11 based upon an underlying assumption that the electrode lines will be carried on
12 structures separate from the main HVdc conductors.

13

14 The design of the overhead HVdc system has the electrode conductors in Labrador
15 being supported on the same towers as the pole conductors for nearly the entire
16 route from Muskrat Falls to the coast. Therefore, the outage rate of the electrode
17 conductors in Labrador is near equal the outage rate of the pole conductors. As a
18 result, the design has improved the overall electrode outage rate in Labrador.

19

20 On the Island, the electrode line conductors will be carried on separate structures
21 to the electrode site. From Hydro's response to PUB-NLH-519 Attachment 2, an
22 Island electrode line approximately 10 km in length will have a outage rate of 0.05
23 outage per year (10 km/100 km x 0.5 outages/ 100 km/year), or one outage every
24 20 years.