1	Q.	Reference: Probabilistic Based Transmission Reliability Summary Report, Appendix		
2		A, Page 34 of 56.		
3		"In summary, the average failure rate that was used in the previous Nalcor study for		
4		the LIL is slightly higher than the figures that were estimated based on the CIGRE		
5		and CEA data, while the average repair time in the Nalcor study is considerably		
6		lower."		
7		Please explain why the average repair time in the most recent Nalcor study is		
8		considerably lower?		
9				
10				
11	Α.	The average repair time in the most recent Nalcor study was calculated by SNC		
12		Lavalin based on CIGRE statistics produced during the 1990's. The calculation was		
13		performed using reliability performance data for eight specific HVdc systems over		
14		their operating history for periods ranging from three to eleven years.		
15				
16		The Teshmont analysis involved an assessment of the reliability parameters		
17		provided by Nalcor in comparison to CIGRE and CEA statistics. This comparison is		
18		summarized in Table 1.		

## NP-NLH-147 Island Interconnected System Supply Issues and Power Outages

Page 2 of 2

Source	Reference	Notes	Average Repair		
	Statistics		Time per		
			Pole Outage		
			(Hours)		
SNC Lavalin Study	CIGRE	Eight specific HVdc systems	1.78		
	Data	over their operating history for			
		periods ranging from three to			
		eleven years (produced during			
		1990's)			
		Seven HVdc systems for the	11.7		
		period ranging from 2001 to			
		2010			
	CICDE	Assuming most outages are	23.4		
		single pole outages			
Ta ala wa a wati Chuadu a	Data				
Teshmont Study		Excluding Square Butte data	2.9		
		Assuming most outages are	5.8		
		single pole outages and			
		excluding Square Butte data			
	CEA Data	2007 to 2011 overhead ac	36.4		
		lines statistics			

## Table 1 – Comparison of Calculated Repair Times

1

Teshmont performed its own detailed review of the most recent CIGRE data and calculated reliability parameters on the performance of seven HVdc systems for the period ranging from 2001 to 2010. Due to the differences in the HVdc systems selected for the analysis and the use of data from different years, the SNC Lavalin and Teshmont calculations resulted in different values.

7

8 Teshmont performed a secondary investigation of overhead line reliability by
9 comparing the proposed Nalcor values to ac transmission line data from CEA for the

- 10 period ranging from 2007 to 2011. This analysis also resulted in a higher repair time
- 11 than the value provided from the SNC Lavalin Study.