

1 Q. Reference: Muskrat Falls Review: Exhibit 85 – Reliability Study of Transmission
2 Lines on the Avalon and Connaigre Peninsulas
3 Exhibit 85 – Reliability Study of Transmission Lines on the Avalon and Connaigre
4 Peninsulas, states on page 4 of 212:
5 *“It is shown clearly that these load values far exceed the original design loads and*
6 *even a 5-year return period ice load exceeds the ultimate capacities of many of*
7 *these lines on the Avalon Peninsula. This indicates that the reliability of the line is*
8 *very low and does not meet the commonly accepted target design loading of 50-*
9 *year return period which is estimated to be 3.0 inches (75mm) radial of glaze ice.”*
10 Given that Hydro’s own data and experience indicates that the 1:50 year ice load on
11 the Avalon Peninsula is 75mm, please explain in detail, why Hydro chose instead to
12 use the 1:50 year reference ice load of 40mm from Figure CA.10 of CAN/CSA-C22.3
13 No. 60826-10 to calculate the 1:500 year return period load?

14
15

16 A. It is incorrect to state that Hydro’s own experience indicates the 1:50 year structure
17 ice load on the Avalon Peninsula to be 75 mm; rather a study completed almost 20
18 years ago in 1996 indicated this to be the case. The current version of the CSA
19 standard indicates the 1:50 year structure load to be 60 mm.

20

21 As indicated in Hydro's response to NP-NLH-069, while the most recent data
22 available is from CAN/CSA-C22.3 No. 60826-10, the results from Exhibit 85 were
23 used as minimum criteria.