

1 Q. Reference: Review of the Muskrat Falls and Labrador Island HVdc Link and the  
2 Isolated Island Options, October 2012 (<http://powerinourhands.ca/pdf/MHI.pdf>)  
3 The Manitoba Hydro International Review of the Muskrat Falls and Labrador Island  
4 HVdc Link and the Isolated Island Options states at Page 47:

5 *“The climatic loadings for each line section are approximately equivalent to the*  
6 *climatic loadings calculated assuming Canadian Standards Association (CSA) 1:500*  
7 *year-return period.”*

8 Please describe in detail, any changes to the design loading criteria of the Labrador  
9 Island Link made between Manitoba Hydro International’s Report on Two  
10 Generation Expansion Alternatives for the Island Interconnected System in January  
11 2012 and Manitoba Hydro International’s Review of the Muskrat Falls and Labrador  
12 Island HVdc Link and the Isolated Island Options in October 2012.

13

14

15 A. The design criteria used during detailed design of the Labrador-Island Transmission  
16 Link were documented in Hydro's response to NP-NLH-004. The criteria have not  
17 changed, but it is evident that MHI did not compare the ice loading criteria to the  
18 CSA standard during the Muskrat Falls Review in the same manner as they did in the  
19 DG3 report.