

1 Q. Reference: Response to Request for Information NP-NLH-004
2 The response to Request for Information NP-NLH-004 states on Page 3 of 57 at lines
3 8-9:

4 *“No structure was loaded beyond 100% of its as-designed structural capacity in any*
5 *of these scenarios.”*

6 Was the design of any portion of the Labrador Island Link changed to accommodate
7 the evaluation to the CAN/CSA-C22.3 No. 60826-10 1:500 year return period? If so,
8 please explain the design changes in detail.

9
10

11 A. Hydro's response to NP-NLH-004 provides a complete response that describes the
12 loading of the as-designed Labrador-Island Transmission Link (LITL) structures. The
13 progress of the engineering design for the Muskrat Falls project is not a matter
14 under consideration in this proceeding.

15

16 As indicated in Board Order No. P.U. 13(2015) at Page 7, the evolution of the design
17 of the LITL is not a matter before the Board:

18

19 As stated in earlier decisions, the Board's investigation does not
20 contemplate assessment or audit of the technical aspects of the
21 design of the transmission line. The Board's primary concern is
22 with the identification of the risks and consequences to Hydro's
23 supply of reliable and adequate power to the Island
24 Interconnected system after the Muskrat Falls project comes
25 online, and how Hydro plans to mitigate against those risks.