| Q. | Reference: GRK-NLH-038 (Revision 1, July 28-14) |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | "In its second report prepared in October 2012, Review of the Muskrat Falls and |
| | Labrador Island HVdc Link and the Isolated Island Options, MHI completed a |
| | thorough assessment of Nalcor's updated work and made the following |
| | observations: |
| | "[i]t is MHI's opinion Nalcor undertook appropriate due |
| | diligence selecting the weather loads for this transmission |
| | line" and "[t]he climatic loadings for each line section are |
| | approximately equivalent to the climatic loadings |
| | calculated assuming Canadian Standards Association (CSA) |
| | 1:500 year return period." |
| | CSA standard CAN/CSA-C22.3 No. 60826 does not provide values for rime icing in |
| | Newfoundland and Labrador. Nalcor Exhibit, Muskrat Falls Exhibit 97, Revision 1 |
| | states that the icing mechanism considered as limiting design load for Zones 2a, 2b, |
| | 2c, 5, 7a, 7b, and 7c is rime icing. |
| | Please provide the return periods of rime icing measurements considered in each of |
| | these zones along with the mathematical calculations supporting these load |
| | selections and return periods. |
| | |
| | |
| A. | Please refer to Hydro's response to NP-NLH-004. |
| | |