

1 **Q: Re: Recommendation 2.16 (Liberty Report, p. 36)**

2  
3 **Citation:**

4  
5 **2.16 Complete planned demand management analysis on a**  
6 **Hydro/Newfoundland Power jointly scoped, conducted, and developed**  
7 **basis and report to the Board a structured cost/benefit analysis of short**  
8 **term program alternatives by September 15, 2015. (*Conclusion No. 2.21*)**  
9

10 **The most essential elements of this recommendation are:**

- 11 • **Ensuring, in the event that Hydro and Newfoundland Power do not**  
12 **agree on a range of new capacity timing and cost assumptions to**  
13 **consider, that the work planned incorporates a range of assumptions**  
14 **that is sufficiently broad to encompass those of both entities.**
- 15 • **Ensuring methods and perspectives broad enough to provide for a**  
16 **full identification and analysis of the short-term costs and benefits**  
17 **(both economic and with respect to improving reserves) of options**  
18 **for the period leading up to the introduction of Muskrat Falls**  
19

20 **Can Liberty propose a timetable to allow Hydro and NP to develop a suitable**  
21 **framework, jointly scope, conduct and develop a demand reduction plan, and**  
22 **report it to the Board by September 15, 2015?**  
23

24  
25 **A. We cannot. The companies together need to produce a detailed schedule.**