There are different HVDC manufacturers for the Labrador Link and Maritime Link converter stations. Each HVDC manufacturer will model their equipment and perform studies to validate the performance of the converter station control and protections in response to system disturbances in the high voltage network. Will each manufacturer need to model their competitor's converter station equipment to complete the validation studies? If yes, are agreements in place to provide these models? If there are no agreements, how will each manufacturer complete their validation studies?

9

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Α.

To ensure proper design and operation of the control systems for the Labrador – Island HVdc Link (LIL) and the Maritime Link (ML), each vendor will need to model the control system of the other vendor to complete the design and manufacture of the equipment. To this end, there is an agreement in place that requires that the ML integrate and operate successfully with the LIL design. To accomplish this goal, Emera and Hydro are working together to develop a common set of study base cases in PSS®E which will be used by both vendors in the design of the HVdc control systems and future operational studies. The vendors will be using these cases as the starting point for their respective PSCAD™ model developments and subsequent PSS®E /PSCAD™ model validation studies. To ensure overall control stability during the simultaneous operation of both HVdc links, agreements are in place which require each vendor to provide a suitable model of their systems to both owners (Emera and Nalcor) and the other vendor. The agreements ensure that the models are compiled and masked in such a way that the trade secrets of each vendor are protected, while providing access to the specific parameters that may need to be adjusted during the study phases, to ensure acceptable performance and interaction between the two HVdc links.