

1 Q. What would be the resultant long-term impact – post-Muskrat Falls - on LOLH if
 2 short-term needs driving an increase in Island firm installed generation capacity
 3 were to proceed (eg. The acquisition of CT to overcome reserve shortfalls)?

4

5

6 A. Based on the capacity resource assumptions in Hydro's response to CA-NLH-028,
 7 the long-term impact on LOLH for the ten years post Muskrat Falls interconnection
 8 for the 120 MW combustion turbine is as follows:

9

LOLH - With and Without 120 MW CT Installed					
	Island Interconnected Peak Demand (MW)	P-50 Forecast		P-90 Forecast	
		120 MW CT Installed (LOLH)	120 MW CT not Installed (LOLH)	120 MW CT Installed (LOLH)	120 MW CT not Installed (LOLH)
2018-19	1,760	0.159	0.159	0.160	0.160
2019-20	1,766	0.162	0.162	0.174	0.174
2020-21	1,781	0.176	0.176	0.186	0.190
2021-22	1,801	0.189	0.190	0.194	0.201
2022-23	1,824	0.196	0.199	0.203	0.214
2023-24	1,841	0.206	0.210	0.216	0.232
2024-25	1,861	0.217	0.224	0.230	0.279
2025-26	1,879	0.228	0.254	0.244	0.304
2026-27	1,894	0.240	0.272	0.264	0.347
2027-28	1,912	0.257	0.303	0.342	0.599
Table 1					

10

11 Please note that the above is based on current LOLH criteria that are being relied on
 12 pre-interconnection. This is subject to review in advance of interconnection to the
 13 North American grid. Please see Hydro's responses to PUB-NLH-217 and CA-NLH-

- 1 030 for the various benefits of the addition of the combustion turbine in the post-
- 2 interconnection period.