Q. (Page 30, Lines 11 - 14) In the two regulatory jurisdictions discussed by Mr. Bowman in his evidence, Delaware and Vermont, please provide specific examples where the establishment of formal distribution reliability and service standards has established that "reliability and service-related expenditures are prudently incurred" resulting in "fair and consistent treatment" of customers.

A. In his Pre-filed Evidence, Mr. Bowman references distribution reliability and service standards in Delaware and Vermont, Alberta (FortisAlberta), Ontario (FortisOntario), and Newfoundland and Labrador (Decision and Order of the Board Order No. P.U. 8 (2007) relating to Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's 2006 General Rate Application, where the Board ordered "Hydro shall include in its quarterly reports, ..., an update on the progress of the development of a comprehensive maintenance plan and associated reliability standards".

It is difficult to come up with specific examples of how these standards have established that "reliability and service-related expenditures are prudently incurred" resulting in "fair and consistent treatment" of customers. The fact that the standards are in place provides transparency and an audit trail that enables utilities, the consumer advocate and the regulatory commission to determine if reliability expenditures are prudently incurred and customers are receiving fair treatment. The reliability and service standards in Vermont and Delaware have been in place for only a few years, and with one exception, the distribution companies have been meeting the standards. Mr. Bowman understands from Vermont regulatory staff that the distribution companies and the consumer advocate (Department of Public Service) get together every two years to review the standards and performance, and make changes where appropriate. This approach heads off potential challenges thus improving regulatory efficiency.

The only case that Mr. Bowman is aware of that has been filed in response to a standard is currently before the Vermont Public Service Board. The docket states that statutory provisions constitute the basis for the Board to establish service quality and reliability standards by which the adequacy of service can be measured in order to determine whether a company is, in fact, providing "reasonably adequate service" and is operating its business in a "reasonable and expedient" manner that "promotes the safety, convenience, and accommodation of the public". The investigation is into Vermont Electric Cooperative's failure to meet some of the performance standards in its Service Quality and Reliability Plan, specifically, performance standards relating to SAIFI, lost time severity rate and payment posting complaints. In all three cases, the standard was missed by more than 10 percent which requires that a corrective action plan be submitted to the Board. This has not done, and the Board has reminded the utility of its obligation to do so, and that the corrective action plan will be reviewed in the proceeding. In addition, the Board will review concerns raised by customers about the number and duration of outages that they have experienced. The Board is interested in how the reliability of

_

² Docket No. 7273 Order Opening Investigation and Notice of Hearing at website: http://www.state.vt.us/psb/document/7273VECServiceQuality/7273InvestPHC.pdf

service to these customers compares with the reliability of the utility's system as a whole, and if service to some or all of these customers is significantly less reliable than the system average.

Mr. Bowman understands from regulatory staff in Vermont and Delaware that similar to Newfoundland and Labrador, the regulatory compact requires that all expenditures be prudently incurred, and customers should have equitable access to power and should pay the lowest possible cost consistent with reliable service (CA-NP 69, lines 20-23). The service standards in Vermont and Delaware were implemented within the context of this regulatory framework. The fact that there have been no challenges to the plans, at least publicly, implies that stakeholders are reasonably satisfied that "reliability and service-related expenditures are prudently incurred" resulting in "fair and consistent treatment" of customers.