1	Q.	NP has declined to respond to CA-NP-183. In light of that response:		
2 3 4		(a)	Please confirm that Exhibit 5 does not reflect the elasticity effect on volume throughput on either revenues or costs;	
5 6 7 8		(b)	Please provide a revised version of Exhibit 5 using the volume throughput adjusted for the elasticity effect in determining both costs and revenues;	
9 10 11 12		(c)	Please confirm that this revised version of Exhibit 5 provides a better forecast of NP financial performance in the test year, assuming the actual throughput is best estimated taking into account the elasticity effect.	
13 14 15 16	A.	respo	lewfoundland Power did not decline to respond to CA-NP-183. The Company's esponse correctly indicated that the information requested in CA-NP-183 was not pplicable to the referenced Exhibit.	
17 18 19 20 21 22		(a)	It is confirmed that Exhibit 5 does not reflect the elasticity effects on revenue or purchased power costs. Elasticity impacts occur as a result of changes in sales volumes <i>due to price changes</i> . Exhibit 5 shows the 2007 and 2008 forecasts based on <i>existing</i> prices and before implementation of any of the proposals in the Application. Consequently, there are no price elasticity effects in the scenario.	
23 24 25		(b)	For the reason explained in (a) above, there are no elasticity effects to be shown in a revision of Exhibit 5 as requested.	
26 27 28		(c)	Please see the responses to (a) and (b) above. For a forecast of Newfoundland Power's financial performance in the test year that includes the effects of elasticity, see the response to CA-NP-89.	