

1 **Volume 3, Section 1 – McShane, Cost of Capital**  
2

3 **Q. (page 41, lines 1111-1114, and Appendix B, page 15)**  
4

- 5 **a. How can Ms. McShane conclude that there has been an absence of any**  
6 **upward or downward trend in historic equity market returns when her**  
7 **Appendix B, Table B-3, figures clearly show, for both Canadian and U.S.**  
8 **equity markets, that there has been a decline in stock returns from the 1980s**  
9 **through to the most recent 10-year period?**
- 10 **b. While most credible economic forecasters and consensus economic surveys**  
11 **predict that nominal North American equity returns for the next 5 to 15**  
12 **years will be well below 10% and hence extend the decline that Ms.**  
13 **McShane’s Table B-3 reveals began during the 1980s, Ms. McShane**  
14 **apparently believes that the decline in equity returns will be reversed, as she**  
15 **expects future equity market returns to be in the range of 11.5% to 12.5%**  
16 **(page 41, lines 1112-1113). What are the future economic forces or**  
17 **environmental trends that Ms. McShane expects to cause equity prices to rise**  
18 **more quickly in North America in the future, than they have over the past**  
19 **decade, and therefore cause equity rates of return to be higher than they**  
20 **have been over this period? Please explain, covering such potentially**  
21 **important forces as (i) the trend in interest rates, (ii) the trend in inflation,**  
22 **(iii) North American and world economic growth, (iv) the impact of climate**  
23 **change and environmental concerns and related expenditures, and (v)**  
24 **terrorism.**

25

26 **A. (a)** In drawing her conclusion from the referenced table, Ms. McShane took into  
27 account the levels of the returns in the 1990s/1997-2006 relative to those in all  
28 previous decades provided, including the 1940s and 1960s. The returns in the  
29 1990s/1997-2006 are higher than in either of those two previous decades. In  
30 addition, the 1990s and 1997-2006 are partially overlapping. If, instead, the  
31 Canadian stock returns are expressed as non-overlapping 10-year average returns,  
32 the lack of a discernible downward trend in the Canadian equity returns is perhaps  
33 clearer. The decade-by-decade averages are as follows:  
34

1947-1956	18.9%
1957-1966	8.8%
1967-1976	7.5%
1977-1986	17.8%
1987-1996	10.9%
1997-2006	11.0%

35  
36 Source: Canadian Institute of Actuaries, *Report on Canadian Economic*  
37 *Statistics, 1924-2006.*  
38

1 Since 10-year periods are relatively short for purposes of discerning trends  
2 (particularly in equity returns), the data set out in Schedule 9, and summarized in  
3 Table B-4 in Appendix B, were also used. These averages suggest that the  
4 achieved equity returns in Canada do not exhibit an upward or downward trend.  
5

- 6 (b) The average return (based on the arithmetic average) for the S&P/TSX composite  
7 over the past decade was, as noted in response to 274(a), 11.0%. Ms. McShane's  
8 estimate of the market risk premium of 6.5% at the forecast long-Canada yield of  
9 4.5% to 5.0% results in an expected value of the market return of 11.25% to  
10 11.5%. Given the randomness of equity returns, Ms. McShane does not regard  
11 that estimate as significantly different from the returns achieved by the S&P/TSX  
12 composite over the past decade nor as implying a reversal in a downward trend in  
13 returns. Moreover, a comparison of the expected value of 11.25% to 11.5% to the  
14 corresponding returns of over the past decade (1997-2006) for the major Canadian  
15 equity indices shows the following:  
16  
17

**Average Return  
1997-2006**

S&P/TSX Composite	11.0%
S&P/TSX Capped Composite	12.5%
S&P/TSX 60	12.0%

18  
19  
20 The S&P/TSX 60 is a capitalization-weighted index of 60 large liquid Canadian stocks  
21 and it is a constituent index of the S&P Global 1200. The S&P/TSX capped composite  
22 index limits the weight that is given to any single company to 10.0%, and thus reduces  
23 the impact of a single company's performance (i.e., Nortel). A comparison of the  
24 expected value of the equity market return of 11.25% to 11.5% to the achieved returns of  
25 these two alternative Canadian equity market benchmarks suggests that the expected  
26 return is lower, not higher, than the equity market returns during the past decade.  
27

28 With respect to the economic forces or factors cited in the question, interest rates and  
29 inflation are expected to remain relatively low, which is positive for corporate profits.  
30 Low interest rates also point to relatively low fear of accelerating inflation, which  
31 translates into relatively low bond market risk. With respect to growth, the consensus  
32 forecast for real GDP growth of the G7 (which currently makes up just under 60% of the  
33 world GDP) is expected to grow more quickly in the aggregate than over the past decade.  
34 Growth in GDP for the G7 was approximately 1.5% from 1997-2006 and is expected to  
35 be 2.4% over the next decade based on the 2008-2017 forecast real GDP growth rates for  
36 the seven countries published by Consensus Economics, *Consensus Forecasts*, April  
37 2007.  
38

39 According to a 2007 World Bank report, *Global Economic Prospects*, growth in the  
40 global economy from 2006-2030 may exceed that experienced during 1980-2005, with

1           the main thrust coming from developing countries. Climate change is a risk to future  
2           growth, but Barclays Capital predicts that the need to adapt to climate change will  
3           actually spark an “energy revolution” that will boost the global economy. Terrorism is  
4           also a risk to financial markets and future growth, as it can have a negative impact on  
5           investor and consumer confidence, potentially resulting in an increase in the required risk  
6           premium (i.e., a flight to quality) but lower corporate profits.