

1 Q. Reference: At page 5 of Order No. P.U. 39(2014) in relation to Newfoundland
2 and Labrador Hydro - Second Application for the Interim Approval of Customer
3 Electricity Rates for 2014, the Board stated:

4 *"Hydro further explains that its 2014 financial outlook has changed materially*
5 *since the filing of the general rate application forecast, noting that additional*
6 *supply costs of \$10 million were incurred in the first quarter of 2014, Hydro also*
7 *states that it will incur additional costs in 2014 associated with the Board's*
8 *ongoing review of the Island Interconnected System supply issues and power*
9 *outages. Hydro submits that the Application demonstrates its requirement for*
10 *additional revenue in 2014 and balances the objectives of reasonable cost*
11 *recovery and customer impacts."*

12 And at page 11, the Board indicated:

13 *"...the approval of interim relief in advance of the conclusion of a general rate*
14 *application is an extraordinary measure which must be fully justified in the*
15 *circumstances. Hydro now advises that its 2014 financial outlook has changed*
16 *materially since the filing of the general rate application and that it plans to file*
17 *an amended general rate application with updated forecasts. The Board finds that*
18 *it is not clear that the evidence filed reflects Hydro's financial circumstances for*
19 *2014 and further that the evidence does not adequately address customer*
20 *impacts. Hydro has failed to provide a reasonable evidentiary basis consistent*
21 *with good utility practice to justify the proposed revenue transfer."*

22 Please describe how the application for deferral and recovery of expenses
23 associated with the increased capacity-related supply costs is materially different
24 from the financial relief sought by Hydro in its Second Interim Rates Application.

25

26

27 A. Please see Hydro's responses to CA-NLH-002 and NP-NLH-020.