

1 Q. (Re: October 2014 Evidence submitted as part of Application, page 7, lines 2 to 7)  
2 Hydro states that it will incur a "*substantial net loss in 2014*". What is this financial  
3 loss expected to cost consumers, and what is the expected impact on rates with and  
4 without approval of this Application (i.e., increased short-term borrowing costs)?  
5  
6

7 A. Hydro has not specifically quantified the expected short-term impact on consumer  
8 rates if this application is not approved, whether in relation to potential increased  
9 short-term borrowing costs or otherwise. However, Hydro would emphasize that it  
10 is expected to operate on a self-sustaining basis to maintain the financial health of  
11 the organization, consistent with the legislative provisions in the *Electrical Power*  
12 *Control Act, 1994*, Chapter E-5.1 (the EPCA) and recent Government directives, such  
13 as OC2009-063. As noted in the application, Section 3(1)(a) of the EPCA declares it  
14 to be the policy of the province that "**the rates to be charged**, either generally or  
15 under specific contracts, for the supply of power within the province... (iii) **should**  
16 **provide sufficient revenue** to the producer or retailer of the power **to enable it to**  
17 **earn a just and reasonable return as** construed under the *Public Utilities Act* so that  
18 it is able to achieve and maintain a sound credit rating in the financial markets of  
19 the world..." (emphasis added)  
20

21 Hydro's ability to borrow using the Provincial guarantee cannot continue to be  
22 viewed as a viable alternative to Hydro achieving and maintaining a strong stand-  
23 alone financial position. The Government directive on Hydro's return on equity,  
24 along with the \$100 million equity contribution in 2009 and the debt guarantee fee  
25 reduction that came into effect in 2011, implies an expectation that Hydro should  
26 maintain a strong stand-alone financial position and performance.

1 Hydro submits that its application for the deferred recovery of the necessary  
2 capacity-related supply costs to serve customers is consistent with past Board  
3 treatment of other extraordinary non-capital expenses outside a test year, and that  
4 the recovery of these costs in consumer rates is appropriate.