| 1 | Q. | In the report, "Holyrood Overview – Future Operations and Capital Expenditure |
|----------------------|----|--|
| 2 | | Requirements, July 2013" page 6, "Phase 2 – Standby Production Phase", it is |
| 3 | | indicated that the standby production phase would be necessary until 2020-2021 to |
| 4 | | supply energy or capacity to the island in event of a loss of supply from Labrador. |
| 5 | | On what basis does Hydro suspect that there is a greater probability of a loss during |
| 6 | | the first three years of operation than in a later time frame? |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| | | |
| 9 | A. | As noted in the response to V-NLH-13, Hydro considered it important to maintain |
| 9 10 | A. | As noted in the response to V-NLH-13, Hydro considered it important to maintain Holyrood in standby mode for a period of time following the planned completion of |
| | A. | |
| 10 | A. | Holyrood in standby mode for a period of time following the planned completion of |
| 10 11 | Α. | Holyrood in standby mode for a period of time following the planned completion of the Labrador Island Link. Three to four years was considered a reasonable time to |
| 10 11 12 | Α. | Holyrood in standby mode for a period of time following the planned completion of the Labrador Island Link. Three to four years was considered a reasonable time to ensure the link is fully integrated and meeting operational requirements. From a |
| 10 11 12 13 | Α. | Holyrood in standby mode for a period of time following the planned completion of the Labrador Island Link. Three to four years was considered a reasonable time to ensure the link is fully integrated and meeting operational requirements. From a planning perspective, Holyrood is intended to provide backup during the |