1	Q.	(page 8, report entitled Upgrade Transmission Line Corridor – Bay d'Espoir to
2		Western Avalon) Section 3 lists Hydro's transmission planning criteria. Please
3		summarize in a table all Hydro criteria that fall short of NPCC criteria, and explain
4		how they come up short, what Hydro plans to do about it, and the cost if Hydro
5		were to bring the criteria up to NPCC standards.
6		
7		
8	A.	For comparison of the existing Hydro transmission planning criteria to that used in
9		the bulk power system in North America, it is apparent to start with the North
10		American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) transmission planning standards
11		given that NERC is the international (i.e., U.S. and Canada) regulatory authority
12		whose mission is to ensure the reliability of the bulk power system in North
13		America. Following a comparison to the NERC transmission planning criteria it is
14		reasonable to then compare the existing Hydro criteria to the Northeast Power
15		Coordination Council Inc. (NPCC) transmission planning criteria given that NPCC is
16		the closest regional reliability entity to the province of Newfoundland and Labrador
17		and is responsible for promoting and improving reliability in Ontario, Québec, New
18		Brunswick, Nova Scotia, New York, and six New England states.
19		
20		The comparison of Hydro transmission planning criteria to NERC transmission
21		planning criteria includes NERC standards:
22		
23		 TPL-001-0.1 System Performance Under Normal (No Contingency)
24		Conditions (Category A);
25		TPL-002-0b System Performance Following Loss of a Single Bulk Electric
26		System Element (Category B);

Page 2 of 15

TPL-003-0b System Performance Following Loss of Two or More Bulk Electric
 System Elements (Category C); and

 TPL-004-0a System Performance Following Extreme Events Resulting in the Loss of Two or More Bulk Electric System Elements (Category D).

Each standard provides a description of the purpose of the standard, to what the standard applies, the requirements, the measures, compliance and regional differences.

The NERC transmission planning standards apply to power system elements defined as **Bulk Electric System (BES) Elements.** The following table provides the NERC definition of BES elements effective July 1, 2014, rules on inclusion and exclusion, and a high level determination of which Island Interconnected System elements would be considered BES and subsequently required to meet the NERC TPL standards.

NERC Definition of Bulk Electric System (BES) and Island Interconnected System Imp				
NERC	Hydro			
Unless modified by the lists shown below, all Transmission Elements operated at 100 kV or higher and Real Power and Reactive Power resources connected at 100 kV or higher. This does not include facilities used in the local distribution of electric energy.	Hydro considers the Island 230 kV system and underlying 138 kV loops as bulk power system. 138 kV equipment in the Stony Brook to Sunnyside and Western Avalon to Holyrood 138 kV Loops are predominantly owned and operated by Newfoundland Power. Hydro plans for transformer capacity and acceptable voltage levels in these loops.			
NERC Inclusions	Impact to Hydro			
I1 – Transformers with the primary terminal and at least one secondary terminal operated at 100 kV or higher unless excluded by application of Exclusion E1 or E3.	Includes: 230/138 kV transformer Deer Lake T2 Excludes on secondary voltage: 230/66 kV transformers at Massey Drive, Stephenville, Buchans, Bay d'Espoir, Holyrood, Hardwoods, Oxen Pond Excludes (under E1 and E3) 230/138 kV transformers at Bottom Brook, Stony Brook, Sunnyside, Western Avalon, Holyrood,			

Page 3 of 15

	Page 3 of
I2 – Generating resource(s) including the generator terminals through the high-side of the step-up transformer(s) connected at a voltage of 100 kV or above with: a) Gross individual nameplate rating greater than 20 MVA, Or, b) Gross plant/facility aggregate nameplate rating greater than 75 MVA.	Includes: Bay d'Espoir, Holyrood (until retired), Cat Arm, Upper Salmon, Hinds Lake, Grand Falls, Holyrood 120 MW CT Exclude - NLH Paradise River, Star Lake, Rattle Brook, Corner Brook Co-gen, Hawke's Bay, St. Anthony, Snook's Arm, Venom Bight, Roddickton mini-hydro, Buchans, Hardwoods, Stephenville, Fermuse Wind, St. Lawrence Wind Exclude – NP Rose Blanche Brook, Grand Bay, Lookout Brook, Rattling Brook, Sandy Brook NP generation Burin Peninsula, Bonavista Peninsula and Avalon Peninsula Exclude - Deer Lake Power 50 Hz and 60 Hz
I3 – Blackstart Resources identified in the	New Holyrood 120 MW combustion turbine and
Transmission Operator's restoration plan.	8 x 2 MW diesel plant
I4 – Dispersed power producing resources that aggregate to a total capacity greater than 75 MVA (gross nameplate rating), and that are connected through a system designed primarily for delivering such capacity to a common point of connection at a voltage of 100 kV or above. Thus, the facilities designated as BES are: a) The individual resources, and b) The system designed primarily for delivering capacity from the point where those resources aggregate to greater than 75 MVA to a common point of connection at a voltage of 100 kV or above.	Includes: Hinds Lake 83.3 MVA at 138 kV Exploits at Grand Falls TL235 Exclude – less than 75 MVA NP generation Burin Peninsula (1.63 MW plus 20 MW CT) and Bonavista Peninsula (3.511 MW) Exclude - connected 66 kV NP generation Avalon Peninsula (southern shore 45.11 MW, CBS 6.18 MW, CBN 8.175 MW) Fermuse Wind 25 MW St. Lawrence Wind 25 MW
I5 – Static or dynamic devices (excluding generators) dedicated to supplying or absorbing Reactive Power that are connected at 100 kV or higher, or through a dedicated transformer with a high-side voltage of 100 kV or higher, or through a transformer that is designated in Inclusion I1 unless excluded by application of Exclusion E4.	Includes: Come By Chance 230 kV capacitor banks Soldiers Pond Synchronous Condensers Exclude: Hardwoods and Oxen Pond 66 kV capacitor banks
NERC Exclusions	Resultant Hydro Exclusions
E1 – Radial systems: A group of contiguous transmission Elements that emanates from a single point of connection of 100 kV or higher and: a) Only serves Load. Or, b) Only includes generation resources, not	Radial system exclusions: TL214/TL215 – Doyles/Port-aux-Basques system including Grand Bay combustion turbine and Rose Blanche Brook TL250 Bottom Brook to Grandy Brook/Burgeo TL209 Stephenville including 63 MVA
identified in Inclusions I2, I3, or I4, with an aggregate capacity less than or equal to 75	combustion turbine Corner Brook co-gen

Page 4 of 15

MVA (gross nameplate rating). Or,
c) Where the radial system serves Load and includes generation resources, not in deified in Inclusions I2, I3, or I4, with an aggregate capacity of non-retail generation less than or equal to 75 MVA (gross nameplate rating).

Note 1 – A normally open switching device between radial systems, as depicted on prints or one-line diagrams for example, does not affect this exclusion.

Note 2 – The presence of a contiguous loop, operated at a voltage level of 50 kV or less, between configurations being considered as radial systems, does not affect this exclusion.

GNP – Deer Lake to St. Anthony including Hawkes Bay (6.25 MVA) and St. Anthony (9.7 MW) Diesel Plants

69 kV White Bay system including Rattle Brook (4 MW)

Baie Verte Peninsula 363L and TL260 TL280 and Star Lake Generating Station (18.4 MW)

TL264 and Duck Pond

TL263 and Granite Canal Generating Station (45 MVA) TL263 will be included with construction of new Granite Canal to Bottom Brook line TL220 Connaigre Peninsula

TL254 Boyd's Cove to Farewell Head (Fogo – Change Islands)

TL212 and TL219 Burin Peninsula including Paradise River (8.9 MVA), Greenhill combustion turbine (25 MW) and St. Lawrence wind farm (25 MW)

TL208 and Vale

E2 – A generating unit or multiple generating units on a customer's side of the retail meter that serve all or part of the retail Load with electric energy if (i) the net capacity provided by to the BES does not exceed 75 MVA, and (II) standby, back-up, and maintenance services are provided to the generating unit or multiple generating units or to the retail Load by a Balancing Authority, or provided pursuant to a binding obligation with a Generator Owner or Generator Operator, or under terms approved by the applicable regulatory authority.

None present in Island Interconnected System

E3 – Local networks (LN): A group of contiguous transmission Elements operated at less than 300 kV that distribute power to Load rather than transfer bulk power across the interconnected system. LN's emanate from multiple points of connection at 100 kV or higher to improve the level of service to retail customers and not accommodate bulk power transfer across the interconnected system. The LN characterized by all of the following:

 a) Limits of connected generation: The LN and its underlying Elements do not include generation resources identified in Inclusion I2, I3, or I4 and do not have an aggregate capacity of non-retail generation greater than 75 MVA (gross nameplate rating); Exclude:

138 kV Loop Stony Brook to Sunnyside (flow into both sides of loop)

138 kV Loop Western Avalon to Holyrood (flow into both sides of loop)

NP 66 kV local transmission networks Stephenville, Corner Brook – Bay of Islands, St. John's-CBS

Dago	5	٥f	15

	Page 5 OI .
b) Real Power flows only into the LN and	
the LN does not transfer energy	
originating outside the LN for delivery	
through the LN; and	
c) Not part of a Flowgate or transfer path:	
The LN does not contain any part of a	
permanent Flowgate in the Eastern	
Interconnection, a major transfer path	
within the Western Interconnection, or	
a comparable monitored Facility in the	
ERCOT or Quebec Interconnections, and	
is not a monitored Facility included in	
an Interconnection Reliability Operating	
Limit (IROL).	
E4 – Reactive Power devices installed for the	
sole benefit of retail customer(s).	
Note – Elements may be included or exclude on	
a case-by-case basis through the rules of	
Procedure exemption process.	
Source: NERC Glossary of Terms used in NERC	
Reliability Standards July 7, 2014	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Based upon the NERC definition of Bulk Electric System (BES) Elements, one notes that the NERC transmission planning standards would only apply to the 230 kV transmission and larger generating stations on the Island Interconnected System. The radial transmission systems, generating stations below 75 MVA combined, the 138 kV loops Stony Brook to Sunnyside and Western Avalon to Holyrood, and load serving 230/138 kV and 230/66 kV stations would be excluded.

Each of the NERC TPL standards contains Table 1 Transmission System Standards – Normal and Emergency Conditions. The table lists, by Category, the contingencies and the system impacts or limits. The table is presented below with the comparison to existing Hydro transmission planning criteria.

CA-NLH-005 BDE to Western Avalon Line

Page 6 of 15

	Comparison of NERC ar	d Hydro Transn	nission Planning	Criteria	
Category	Contingencies	System Limits o			Hydro Criteria
	Initiating Event(s) and Contingency Element(s)	System Stable and both Thermal and Voltage Limits within Applicable Rating	Loss of Demand or Curtailed Firm Transfers	Cascading Outages	
A No Contingencies	All Facilities in Service	Yes	No	No	Same
B Event resulting in the loss of a	Single line to Ground (SLG) or 3- Phase (3Φ) fault, with Normal Clearing:				
single element	1. Generator	Yes	No	No	Loss of generator results in under frequency load shedding (controlled load loss) prior to Labrador – Island HVdc Link
	2. Transmission Circuit	Yes	No	No	Same except TL247/248 or TL234/263 loss of generation resulting in under frequency load shedding (controlled load loss) prior to Labrador – Island HVdc Link
	3. Transformer	Yes	No	No	Failure of Deer Lake 230/138 kV T2 requires trip of TL247 and TL248 causing trip of Cat Arm Plant resulting in under frequency load shedding (controlled load loss) prior to Labrador – Island HVdc Link. For generator step up transformer under frequency load loss (controlled load loss) prior to Labrador – Island HVdc Link. For generator step up transformer under frequency load loss (controlled load loss) prior to Labrador – Island HVdc Link
	Loss of Element without fault	Yes	No	No	Same, except tripping a generator without fault will result in under frequency load shedding (controlled load loss) prior to Labrador – Island HVdc Link

CA-NLH-005 BDE to Western Avalon Line

Page 7 of 15

					Page / UI 13
	Single Pole Block, Normal Clearing: 4. Single pole (dc) line	Yes	No	No	Same
C Event(s) resulting in loss of two or	Single pole (dc) line SLG Fault, with Normal Clearing:				Loss of multiple elements not part of documented Hydro Criteria, but
more (multiple)					considered on station
(multiple) elements	1. Bus Section	Yes	Planned/ Controlled ¹	No	station configuration basis Fault on bus sections connecting generation will result in loss of generation and under frequency load shedding prior to Labrador – Island HVdc Link (controlled load loss). 230 kV faults on 230/66 kV load bus arrangements such as Massey Drive, Hardwoods and Oxen Pond will result in loss of non BES elements. 230 kV faults on common bus connecting multiple 230/138 kV transformers (Bottom Brook, Stony Brook, Sunnyside, Western Avalon and Holyrood) result in loss of non BES elements. 230 kV faults on bus sections in ring bus configurations (Bottom Brook, Buchans, Bay d'Espoir, Stony Brook, Sunnyside, Western Avalon) result in loss of lines but no load loss except TL234 bus section at Bay d'Espoir results in loss of generation and under frequency load shedding (controlled load loss) prior to Labrador – Island
					HVdc Link. 230 kV faults on Holyrood bus

CA-NLH-005 BDE to Western Avalon Line

Page 8 of 15

2. Breaker (failure or internal Fault) 3. Prom C1 ab kV breaker for generat connection in loss of ge with under frequency I shedding pp Labrador – HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemer (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemer above 230 breaker fail result in los line east an out of statit. Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit.)	result in th no loss ove 230 failure or s result
2. Breaker (failure or internal Fault) 2. Breaker (failure or internal Fault) 2. Breaker (failure or internal Fault) 2. Planned/ Controlled¹ 2. Planned/ Controlled¹ 3. Prom C1 ab kV breaker for generat connection in loss of ge with under frequency! 4. Shedding plantardor — HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangeme! 4. (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements.) 5. For 230 kV arrangementa above 230 breaker fail result in loss line east an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissi	ove 230 failure or s result
2. Breaker (failure or internal Fault) 2. Breaker (failure or internal Fault) 2. Breaker (failure or internal Fault) 2. Planned/ Controlled¹ Controlled¹ Controlled¹ Controlled¹ Row From C1 ab kV breaker for generat connection in loss of ge with under frequency I shedding pulabrador — HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemer (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemer above 230 lbreaker fail result in los line east an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an out of statit Transmiss	ove 230 failure or s result
2. Breaker (failure or internal Fault) 2. Breaker (failure or internal Fault) 2. Planned/ Controlled¹ 2. Planned/ Controlled¹ 3. No From C1 ab kV breaker for generat connection in loss of ge with under frequency! shedding put abrador — HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemer (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. 4. Post 230 kV arrangemer above 230 breaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker and the processing the procesing the processing the processing the processing the processing t	ove 230 failure or s result
2. Breaker (failure or internal Fault) 2. Breaker (failure or internal Fault) Yes Planned/ Controlled¹ No From C1 ab kV breaker for generat connection in loss of ge with under frequency! Shedding pr Labrador — HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemer (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemer above 230! breaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an)	failure or s result
internal Fault) Controlled¹ kV breaker for generat connection in loss of ge with under frequency shedding py Labrador – HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemet (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemet above 230 lobreaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker and the control of	failure or s result
for generat connection in loss of ge with under frequency I shedding py Labrador — HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemer (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemer above 230 loreaker fail result in los in east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker and For 230 kV failure at H (bre	or s result
connection in loss of ge with under frequency I shedding pi Labrador — HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemet (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemet above 230 I breaker fail result in los line east an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker at H	s result
in loss of ge with under frequency I shedding pp Labrador— HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemer (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of no elements. For 230 kV arrangemer above 230 I breaker fail result in los line east an out of statit Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker at H	
with under frequency I shedding processed in the shedding processed in	
frequency I shedding put abrador — HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemer (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemer above 230 loreaker fail result in los line east an out of station Transmission maintained For 230 kV failure at H. (breaker an lot failure at H. (breaker	eneration
shedding pr Labrador — HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemen (230/68 kV 230/138 kV 230/138 kV in loss of nr elements. For 230 kV arrangemen above 230 breaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an	
Labrador — HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemer (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemer above 230 l breaker fail result in los line east an out of statio. Transmissio maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an	
HVdc Link (controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemei (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of no elements. For 230 kV arrangemei above 230 l breaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an	
(controlled loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemen (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemen above 230 l breaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H. (breaker an	isiaiiu
loss). From above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemen (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemen above 230 l breaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H ₁ (breaker an l	load
above, 230 breaker fail load bus arrangemen (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemen above 230 l breaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an	
breaker fail load bus arrangemen (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangement above 230 lbreaker fail result in loss line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at Higher the fail to the failure at Higher the failu	
load bus arrangemen (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemen above 230 l breaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H ₁ (breaker an	
arrangemen (230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangemen above 230 libreaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmission maintained For 230 kV failure at Higher the failure at Higher	U.C 011
(230/66 kV 230/138 kV in loss of not elements. For 230 kV arrangement above 230 lbreaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at Higher the failure	nts
230/138 kV in loss of no elements. For 230 kV arrangement above 230 libreaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmission maintained For 230 kV failure at Higher the control of the contr	
in loss of no elements. For 230 kV arrangement above 230 libreaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmission maintained For 230 kV failure at Higher the failur	
For 230 kV arrangement above 230 libreaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at His (breaker an	•
arrangement above 230 libreaker fail result in los line east an out of station Transmission maintained For 230 kV failure at Higher the failure at Higher	
above 230 l breaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at Hi (breaker an	ring bus
breaker fail result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at Hi (breaker an	nts in C1
result in los line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at Hi (breaker an	kV
line east an out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at Hi (breaker an	ure will
out of static Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at Hi (breaker an	s of one
Transmissic maintained For 230 kV failure at H- (breaker an	d west
maintained For 230 kV failure at H (breaker an	
For 230 kV failure at Hi (breaker an	
failure at H (breaker an	
(breaker an	
	•
half arrange	
breaker fail	
result in los	
generation under frequ	
under frequ load sheddi	
to Labrador	
HVdc Link	isiailu
(controlled	
loss).	load
SLG or 3 Φ Fault, with Normal Fault follow	load
Clearing. Manual System system	
Adjustments, followed by another and subseq	ved by
SLG or 3 Φ Fault, with Normal fault not pa	ved by ustment
Clearing: documente	ved by ustment uent
Criteria, bu	ved by ustment uent ort of
assessed at	ved by ustment uent art of ed Hydro
operations	ved by ustment uent ort of ed Hydro t
time of eve	ved by ustment uent ort of d Hydro t the
system	ved by ustment uent ort of d Hydro t the level at
reconfigura	ved by ustment uent ort of d Hydro t the level at
minimize in	ved by ustment uent ort of d Hydro t the level at nt for
subsequent	ved by ustment uent ort of d Hydro t the level at nt for
3. Category B (B1, B2, B3 Yes Planned/ No Same	ved by ustment uent ort of d Hydro t the level at nt for stion to npact of
or B4) contingency, Controlled As in B1, B2	ved by ustment uent ort of d Hydro t the level at nt for stion to npact of

Page 9 of 15

						Page 9 of 15
		manual system adjustments followed by another Category B (B1, B2, B3 or B4) contingency				above controlled load loss for each event.
	Bipolar B	lock, with Normal				
	Clearing: 4.	Bipolar (dc) line Fault (non 3Φ), with Normal Clearing:	Yes	Planned/ Controlled ¹	No	Same
	5.	Any two circuits on a multiple circuit towerline	Yes	Planned/ Controlled ¹	No	No multiple circuit 230 kV transmission towerlines
		, with Delayed Clearing eaker or protection illure):				Not part of documented Hydro Criteria, but considered on station basis to limit impact
	6.	Generator	Yes	Planned/ Controlled ¹	No	Same See B1 above
	7.	Transformer	Yes	Planned/ Controlled ¹	No	Same See B3 above
	8.	Transmission Circuit	Yes	Planned/ Controlled ¹	No	Same See B2 and C2 above
	9.	Bus Section	Yes	Planned/ Controlled ¹	No	Same see C1 and C2 above
Extreme event resulting in two or more (multiple) elements removed or cascading out of service.	protectio 1. 2. 3. 4. 3Φ Fault, 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	with Delayed Clearing (sturn system Failure): Generator Transmission circuit Transformer Bus Section with Normal Clearing Breaker (failure or internations) Loss of towerline with the circuits All transmission lines in a of-way Loss of a substation (one plus transformers) Loss of switching station (level plus transformers) Loss of all generating unit Loss of a large Load or material failure of a fully redundal Protection Scheme (or rescheme) to operate when Operation, partial operation in response to a abnormal system condition was not intended to oper Impact of severe powers oscillations from Disturba Regional Reliability Organ	al Fault) ree or more common right- voltage level (one voltage as at a station ajor Load center int Special medial action in required ion, or dundant Special medial Action in event or on for which it ate wings or unces in another	subst of cu Demagene wide or an Porti the inten syste may a nev opera Evalu these may studi	involve cantial loss stomer and and ration in a spread are eas. ons or all of connected ms may, or not achieve ev, stable ating point. Pation of e events require joint es with aboring	Not part of documented Hydro Criteria, but considered on station configuration basis D1, D2, D3, D4 as per C6, C7, C8, C9 above result in controlled load loss. D5 as per C2 above result in controlled load loss of load. D6 Hydro has no towerline with three or more circuits. D7 – D13 result in potential for loss of customer load and generation in a wide geographic area (such as Avalon Peninsula) D14 New HVdc interconnections to limit exposure to disturbances from

Page 10 of 15

Source: NERC TPL-001-0.1 Table 1 May 13, 2009

Note 1: Planned or controlled interruption of electric supply to radial customers or some local

Network customers connected to or supplied by the Faulted element or by the affected area, may occur in certain areas without impacting the overall reliability of the interconnected transmission systems. To prepare for the next contingency, system adjustments are permitted, including curtailments of contracted Firm (non-recallable reserved) electric power Transfers.

Having made the comparison between existing Hydro transmission planning criteria and NERC TPL standards, one is in a position to complete the comparison between the Hydro criteria and the NPCC criteria. The NPCC transmission planning criteria are located in the NPCC Reliability Reference Directory #1 "Design and Operation of the Bulk Power System", dated December 1, 2009, revised April 20, 2012. The NPCC Directory #1 contains the requirements of the NERC TPL standards TPL-001-0, TPL-002-0, TPL-003-0 and TPL-004-0, in addition to other NERC reliability standards. NPCC Directory #1 criteria are to be used in the design and operation of the **bulk power system**, and are applicable to entities which are part of, or make use of, the **bulk power system**. NPCC defines **bulk power system** (BPS) in its glossary of terms as:

The interconnected electrical systems within northeastern North America comprised of system **elements** on which **faults** or **disturbances** can have a **significant adverse impact** outside of the **local area**.¹

NPCC defines local area as:

An electrically confined or radial portion of the system. The geographic size and number of system elements contained will vary based upon system characteristics.

A local area may be relatively large geographically with relatively few buses in a

_

¹ NPCC Glossary of Terms Used by Directories, January 18, 2012.

Page 11 of 15

1	sparse system, or be relatively small geographically with a relatively large number
2	of buses in a densely networked system. ²
3	
4	Further, Appendix A of Directory #1 definition of bulk power system stipulates that
5	in the context of Directory #1 local areas are determined by the Council members.
6	
7	NPCC defines significant adverse impact as follows:
8	
9	With due regard for the maximum operating capability of the affected systems, one
10	or more of the following conditions arising from faults or disturbances, shall be
11	deemed as having significant adverse impact:
12	
13	a. instability;
14	any instability that cannot be demonstrably contained to a well-defined local
15	area.
16	 any loss of synchronism of generators that cannot be demonstrably
17	contained to a well-defined local area
18	
19	b. unacceptable system dynamic response;
20	• an oscillatory response to a contingency that is not demonstrated to be
21	clearly positively damped within 30 seconds of the initiating event.
22	
23	c. unacceptable equipment tripping
24	• tripping of an un-faulted bulk power system element (element that has
25	already been classified as bulk power system) under planned system

² NPCC Glossary of Terms Used by Directories, January 18, 2012.

Page 12 of 15

1 configuration due to operation of a **protection system** in response to a stable 2 power swing operation of a Type I or Type II **Special Protection System** in response to a 3 4 condition for which its operation is not required 5 d. voltage levels in violation of applicable emergency limits; 6 7 8 loadings on transmission facilities in violation of applicable emergency e. limits.3 9 10 11 Collectively, the NPCC defined terms local area and significant adverse impact 12 determine the **bulk power system** elements that must meet the NPCC Directory #1. 13 This is somewhat different than the NERC definition of the bulk electric system 14 elements approach. The nuance from the Island Interconnected System 15 perspective being that, if one defines the Island Interconnected System as an NPCC 16 local area, and it is demonstrated that because of the HVdc connection between 17 the island and the Maritime Provinces there is no significant adverse impact on the electrical system in the Maritimes (Nova Scotia being a member of NPCC will have 18 19 to demonstrate that loss of the Maritime Link has no significant adverse impact on 20 the system), then there are no **bulk power system elements** within the Island 21 Interconnected System, and therefore the NPCC criteria contained in Directory #1 22 would not apply to the Island Interconnected System. 23 24 Notwithstanding the nuance between NERC and NPCC identification of system 25 elements to be considered in application of transmission planning criteria, the

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ NPCC Glossary of Terms Used by Directories, January 18, 2012.

- following table provides a demonstrative comparison of the NPCC and existing
- 2 Hydro transmission planning criteria.

Other similar situations can be excluded on the basis of acceptable risk, provided that the Reliability Coordinating Committee specifically accepts each

transmission circuit, transformer, or bus section with

c. A permanent phase to ground fault on any

request for exclusion.

delayed fault clearing.

1

3

Comparison of NPCC and Hydro Transmission Planning Criteria				
NPCC	Hydro			
5.4 Transmission Design Criteria The portion of the bulk power system in each Planning Coordinator Area and in each Transmission Planning Area shall be designed with sufficient transmission capability to serve forecasted demand under the conditions noted in Sections 5.4.1 and 5.4.2. These criteria will also apply after any critical generator, transmission circuit, transformer, series or shunt compensating device or HVdc pole has already been lost, assuming that the Planning Coordinator Area generation and power flows are adjusted between outages by the use of ten-minute reserve and where available, phase angle regulator control and HVdc control.	NPCC contemplates N-1-1 with system adjustment between contingencies. Hydro contemplates N-1 in documented transmission planning criteria and considers the N-1-1 potential configuration on an operational basis when the system is in the N-1 state.			
5.4.1 Stability Assessment				
Stability of the bulk power system shall be maintained during and following the most severe of the contingencies stated below, with due regard to reclosing. For each of the contingencies below that involve a fault, stability shall be maintained when the simulation is based on fault clearing initiated by the "system A" protection group, and also shall be maintained when the simulation is based on fault clearing initiated by the "system B" protection group.	Hydro assumes reclosing on 230 kV transmission system. Hydro employs two different relay protections on each 230 kV transmission line each to give 6 cycle (100 msec) tripping. At present relay A and Relay B are supplied from the same dc source (battery bank) and utilize the same control wiring to the circuit breaker. NPCC requires protection system and B to be supplied for separate battery banks.			
a. A permanent three-phase fault on any generator, transmission circuit, transformer or bus section with normal fault clearing.	Trip of generator results in under frequency load shedding until Labrador-Island HVdc Link is complete. Transformer trip will result in temporary loss of load until failed unit is isolated. Bus section trip may lead to load loss depending upor station (i.e. non ring or breaker-and-one-half arrangements)			
b. Simultaneous permanent phase to ground faults on different phases of each of two adjacent transmission circuits on a multiple circuit tower, with normal fault clearing . If multiple circuit towers are used only for station entrance and exit purposes, and if they do not exceed five towers at each station, then this condition is an acceptable risk and therefore can be excluded.	Hydro does not have multiple circuit transmission towers at the 230 kV or 138 kV voltage level. Hydro subscribes to the NPCC criteria that multiple circuit towers used only for station entrance and exit purposes should not exceed five towers from the station.			

Hydro assumes normal clearing time and accepts

delayed clearing. Note transformer and bus section

controlled loss of load within the system under

faults may lead to temporary load loss.

Page 14 of 15

	Page 14 of
d. Loss of any element without a fault.	Same
e. A permanent phase to ground fault on a circuit	For circuit breaker fault, Hydro may experience
breaker with normal fault clearing. (Normal fault	controlled load loss.
clearing time for this condition may not always be	
high speed.)	
f. Simultaneous permanent loss of both poles of a	Hydro accepts planned loss of load for permanent
direct current bipolar facility without an ac fault	bipole loss.
g. The failure of a circuit breaker to operate when	For circuit breaker failure, Hydro may experience
initiated by a SPS following: loss of any element	controlled load loss.
without a fault; or a permanent phase to ground fault,	
with normal fault clearing , on any transmission	
circuit, transformer or bus section.	
5.4.2 Steady State Assessment	
a. Each Transmission Planner shall design its system in	Hydro ensures adequate reactive power resources
accordance with these criteria and its own voltage	and voltage control to maintain voltages within
control procedures and criteria, and coordinate these	normal and emergency limits for single element
with adjacent Transmission Planner Areas. Adequate	contingencies only. Hydro same for 5.4.1
reactive power resources and appropriate controls	contingencies a, c, d, e, f and g. Contingency 5.4.1.b
shall be installed in each Transmission Planner Area to	not applicable to Island Interconnected System.
maintain voltages within normal limits for pre-	The applicable to Island Interconnected System.
disturbance conditions, and within applicable	
emergency limits for the system conditions that exist	
following the contingencies specified in 5.4.1.	
b. Line and equipment loadings shall be within normal	Same
limits for pre- disturbance conditions and within	Sume
applicable emergency limits for the system conditions	
that exist following the contingencies specified in	
5.4.1.	
5.6 Extreme Contingency Assessment	Not part of documented Hydro Criteria, but
a. Loss of the entire capability of a generating station.	considered on station configuration basis
b. Loss of all transmission circuits emanating from a	considered on station comigaration basis
generating station, switching station, dc terminal or	5.6.a, b, c, d, e, g, and h result in potential for loss of
substation	customer load and generation in a wide geographic
c. Loss of all transmission circuits on a common right-	area (such as Avalon Peninsula)
of-way.	
d. Permanent three-phase fault on any generator,	5.6.f New HVdc interconnections to limit exposure to
transmission circuit, transformer, or bus section, with	disturbances from other regions.
delayed fault clearing and with due regard to	
reclosing.	5.6.i existing thermal plants on Island not dependent
e. The sudden dropping of a large load or major load	upon a common fuel delivery system (i.e. no common
center.	natural gas pipeline). Holyrood fired on heavy oil,
f. The effect of severe power swings arising from	combustion turbines fired on light distillates.
disturbances outside the Council's interconnected	
systems.	
g. Failure of a special protection system , to operate	
when required following the normal contingencies	
listed in Section 5.4.1.	
h. The operation or partial operation of a special	
protection system for an event or condition for which	
it was not intended to operate.	
i. Sudden loss of fuel delivery system to multiple	
plants, (i.e. gas pipeline contingencies , including both	
gas transmission lines and gas mains.)	
Note: The requirement of this section is to perform	
Note. The requirement of this section is to perform	

	Page 15 of 15
extreme contingency assessments. In the case where	
extreme contingency assessment concludes there are	
serious consequences, an evaluation of implementing	
a change to design or operating practices to address	
such contingencies shall be conducted.	
Source: NPCC Directory #1	

Hydro has not completed its assessment on full NPCC membership post completion of the Labrador – Island HVdc Link and Maritime Link, or to what extent the NERC and NPCC criteria should be adopted for use within the provincial transmission system. Based upon the establishment of the Bulk Electric System (BES)/Bulk Power System (BPS) and interpretation of NPCC defined local area and significant adverse impact, there would be flexibility in how Hydro adopts and implements the various NREC/NPCC planning standards if full membership is undertaken. Hydro expects that any significant change to existing transmission planning criteria on the Island Interconnected System will require acceptance by the Board prior to implementation. Hydro continues to monitor the NERC and NPCC standards to ensure that the transmission planning processes are meeting the spirit of the standards, consistent with good utility practice.