

1 Q. On page 16 of the Report it is stated that a review of the previous load forecast was  
2 conducted in 2013 and, while 60 MW was then assumed as the minimum required  
3 combustion turbine size, other options were identified. Provide copies of all  
4 reports, analyses, memos and other documents related to this 2013 review.

5

6

7 A. As noted on page 16 of the Report, it states: *Hydro updated its generation planning*  
8 *analysis in the second half of 2013 in preparation for completing **this application** to*  
9 *the Board for additional generating capacity.*

10

11 *... Hydro has not issued an updated long term Generation Planning Load Forecast*  
12 *since 2012 but conducted a review of the previous forecast in 2013 and determined*  
13 *that it was still representative of the expected load during the period.*

14

15 The 2013 review was the analysis that culminated in the Report that formed part of  
16 the application that was filed with the Board. The review of load forecast and the  
17 identification of currently available options was part of this process, and any  
18 reports, analyses, memos and other documents are part of the current submission.