Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Q. | Please explain why hydro signed two contracts, one with ProEnergy Services LLC |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | and another with its Canadian subsidiary when there was only one company, |
| 3 | | ProEnergy Services LLC, who submitted a tender. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | A. | The contract was awarded to Pro Energy, a Missouri registered corporation. The |
| 7 | | contract included a labour component. In order to facilitate the application of |
| 8 | | labour on-site, a Canadian affiliate was deemed to be desirable and had no effect |
| 9 | | on the net cost to Hydro. The provision of the turbine was therefore made by the |
| 10 | | Missouri corporation, and the labour component is being undertaken by a Canadian |
| 11 | | wholly-owned affiliate of the Missouri corporation. |