1	Q.	Application, Schedule B, page RSP-10 to RSP-12, section 2.2, Hydro states, in the
2		section dealing with Teck Resources:
3		"Note: Once new base rates are approved based on Hydro's 2013 Test Year, Hydro
4		will apply for the disposition of any differences between the adjustment amounts
5		calculated and the adjustment which would have been calculated using the 2013
6		approved Test Year rates. The difference will be refunded to, or collected from,
7		Teck Resources, in a manner to be approved by the Board."
8		
9		If the change in the base rate for Teck Resources was phased in in the same manner
10		as the change in the base rates for Corner Brook Pulp and Paper and for North
11		Atlantic Refining Limited, please provide details of the forecast impact on the base
12		and RSP rates of the other Industrial Customers compared to those proposed in the
13		Application.
14		
15		
16	Α.	Attachment 1 shows the forecast impact to the other Industrial Customers if the
17		change in base rate for Teck Resources was phased in in the same manner as the
18		other Industrial Customers.

Estimated Phase-In Rates for the 2013 to 2015 Industrial Customer Rates Phase In effective September 1, 2013 Industrial Customers including Teck Resources

			Α		В		D	E	
Line		Existing Revenue							
No		2013 Test Year		including RSP at August		1 2013 Proposed Test Yea		ar Revenue	Reference
		Units		Rate		Revenue	Rate	Revenue	
	Step 1: Determine Annual Percentage Increase					AxB		AxD	
1	Demand	835,400	kW	6.68	\$/kW/mo	\$5,580,472	9.13 \$/kW/mo	\$7,627,202	
2	Energy	408,400	MWh	36.76	mills/kWh	\$15,012,784	47.82 mills/kWh	\$19,529,688	
3	Specifically Assigned Charges					\$684,312		\$1,795,436	
4	Subtotal				•	\$21,277,568		\$28,952,326	Line 1 to 3
5	RSP (Teck, Vale, and Praxair)	110,400 MWh		(20.00)	mills/kWh	(\$2,208,000)	mills/kWh	\$0	
6	RSP (Other Industrial Customers excluding Teck, Vale, and Praxair)	298,000 MWh (7.85) mills/kWh		mills/kWh	(\$2,339,300)	mills/kWh	\$0		
7	Total	- ' ' ' ' '		-	\$16,730,268		\$28,952,326	Line 4 to 6	
8	ference between Total Proposed Test Year Revenue and Existing						Line 7, Col C less Line 7, Col E		
9	mills/kWh					40.97			Line 7/Line 2, Col A
10	Annual Required Increase							20.1%	See Note 1
			F	G	Н				
			Phase-In	Industrial Custor	mer Rates				
			September 1	September 1	September 1				
		Existing Rates	2013	2014	2015				
				Col F * (1 + Col					
				E), Line 10	2013 Test Year				
	Step 2: Calculate 3-Year (Interim) Phase-In Rate Components								
	Demand (\$/kW/month)	6.68	6.68	8.02	9.13				
	Energy (mills/kWh)	36.76	36.76	44.15	47.82				
	RSP (mills/kWh)	(7.85)							
14	Specifically Assigned Charges	\$684,312	\$684,312	\$821,859	\$1,795,436				
	i j K								
	Revenue at Proposed Rates								
		Culatina Datas	September 1 2013	September 1 2014	September 1 2015				
	Step 3: Revenue Proof	Existing Rates	2013	2014	2015				
15	Demand	\$5,580,472	\$5,580,472	\$6,699,908	\$7,627,202				Line 11 x Line 1, Col A
	Energy	\$15,012,784	\$15,012,784	\$18,030,860					Line 12 x Line 2, Col A
	Specifically Assigned Charges	\$684,312	\$684,312	\$821,859					Line 14
18	Subtotal	\$21,277,568	\$21,277,568	\$25,552,627					Line 15 to 17
	RSP	(\$4,547,300)	,,_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,,02,	,,,				Line 13 x Line 5, Col A
	Total	\$16,730,268	\$21,277,568	\$25,552,627	\$28,952,326				Line 18 to 19
	% Change year over year		27.2%	20.1%					
	,g-				22.070				

Note (1): ((40.97 mills per kWh/70.89 mills per kWh))^(1/(2012-2015))-1