1	Q.	Application, Schedule B, page RSP-10 to RSP-12, section 2.2, Hydro states, in the
2		section dealing with Teck Resources:
3		"Note: Once new base rates are approved based on Hydro's 2013 Test Year, Hydro
4		will apply for the disposition of any differences between the adjustment amounts
5		calculated and the adjustment which would have been calculated using the 2013
6		approved Test Year rates. The difference will be refunded to, or collected from,
7		Teck Resources, in a manner to be approved by the Board."
8		
9		If the change in the base rate for Teck Resources was phased in in the same manner
10		as the change in the base rates for Corner Brook Pulp and Paper and for North
11		Atlantic Refining Limited, please provide details of the forecast impact on the base
12		and RSP rates of Teck Resources compared to those proposed in the application.
13		
14		
15	A.	Attachment 1 shows the forecast impact on the base and RSP rates for Teck
16		Resources if the phase-in of 2013 Test Year base rates was calculated in the same
17		manner as the other Industrial Customers.

Estimated Phase-In Rates for the 2013 to 2015 Industrial Customer Rates Phase In effective September 1, 2013 Teck Resources

		Α	В		С	D		E	
Line			Existing Revenue						
No	<u>-</u>	2013 Test Year	including RSP at August		31 2013 Proposed Test		Test Year R	r Revenue Reference	
		Units	Ra	te	Revenue	Rate		Revenue	
	Step 1: Determine Annual Percentage Increase for all IC				AxB			AxD	
1	Demand	835,400 kW	6.68	\$/kW/mo	\$5,580,472	9.13 \$/	/kW/mo	\$7,627,202	
2	Energy	408,400 MWh	36.76	mills/kWh	\$15,012,784	47.82 m	ills/kWh	\$19,529,688	
3	ecifically Assigned Charges				\$684,312		_	\$1,795,436	_
4	Subtotal				\$21,277,568			\$28,952,326	Line 1 to 3
5	P (Teck, Vale, and Praxair) 110,400 MWh		(20.00) mills/kWh		(\$2,208,000)	m	ills/kWh	\$0	
6	RSP (Other Industrial Customers excluding Teck, Vale, and Praxair)	298,000 MWh	vh (7.85) mills/kWh		(\$2,339,300)	m	ills/kWh	\$0	
7	tal 408,400 MWh				\$16,730,268			\$28,952,326	Line 4 to 6
8	Difference between Total Proposed Test Year Revenue and Existing							(\$12,222,058)	Line 7, Col C less Line 7, Col E
9	mills/kWh				40.97			70.89	Line 7/Line 2, Col A
10	Annual Required Increase							20.1%	See Note 1
		F	G	Н	1	J			
					Proposed Rates				
				September 1	September 1	September 1			
	_	2013 Test Year	Existing Rates	2013	2014	2015			
					Col H * (1 + Col				
		Units			E), Line 10	2013 Test Year			
	Step 2: Calculate 3-Year (Interim) Phase-In Rate Components								
	Demand (\$/kW/month)	114,000 kW	6.68	6.68	8.02	9.13			
12	Energy (mills/kWh)	71,800 MWh	36.76	36.76	44.15	47.82			
13	RSP (mills/kWh)	71,800 MWh	(20.00)						
14	Specifically Assigned Charges		\$186,169	\$186,169	\$223,589	\$215,009			
				К	L	M			
				Reve	enue at Proposed Rates				
				September 1		September 1			
			Existing Rates	2013	2014	2015			
	Step 3: Revenue Proof								
15	Demand		\$761,520	\$761,520	\$914,280	\$1,040,820			Line 11, Col G,H, I, J * Line 11, Col F
16	Energy		\$2,639,368	\$2,639,368	\$3,169,970	\$3,433,476			Line 12, Col G,H, I, J * Line 12, Col F
17	Specifically Assigned Charges		\$186,169	\$186,169	\$223,589	\$215,009			Line 14
18	Subtotal		\$3,587,057	\$3,587,057	\$4,307,839	\$4,689,305			Line 15 to 17
19	RSP		(\$1,436,000)						Line 13, Col G * Line 13, Col F
20	Total		\$2,151,057	\$3,587,057	\$4,307,839	\$4,689,305			Line 18 to 19
21	% Change year over year			66.8%	20.1%	8.9%			

Note (1): ((40.97 mills per kWh/70.89 mills per kWh))^(1/(2012-2015))-1