1	Q.	(RSP Application, CA-NLH-6, A	Attachment 2, page 15 of 27) In the July 20, 2007 letter
2		from the Board to Hydro, the	Board suggests a number of additional
3		issues/questions to be consid	dered in the RSP review including "Should the RSP be
4		abandoned altogether for Hy	dro?" Did Hydro consider this option before filing this
5		Application and the GRA? Ple	ase explain how each of the terms in the RSP provide
6		value to consumers.	
7			
8			
9	A.	Hydro considers the RSP to b	e of significant value to customers, and it therefore
10		should not be abandoned.	
11			
12		The main components of the	RSP are outlined in Hydro's July 2013 Rate
13		Stabilization Plan Evidence, P	age 3 as follows:
14			
15		Hydraulic Variation:	The hydraulic variation provision of the RSP smooths
16			customer rate impacts and stabilizes Hydro's financial
17			results for varying levels of hydraulic production.
18			
19		Fuel Price Variation	The fuel price variation provision of the RSP smooths
20			customer rate impacts and stabilizes Hydro's financial
21			results for changes in the cost per barrel of No. 6 fuel
22			consumed at Holyrood.
23			
24		Customer Load Variation	The customer load variation provision of the RSP
25			smooths customer rate impacts and stabilizes Hydro's
26			financial results for differences in customer energy

	Page 2 of 2		
1	revenues and the cost per barrel of No. 6 fuel		
2	consumed at Holyrood.		
3			
4	These provisions, as well as the provision related to Rural rate changes and the		
5	proposed energy supply RSP provision, provide value to customers by (i) smoothing		
6	customer rate impacts over time and (ii) returning savings to customers that		
7	otherwise would be to the financial benefit of the utility.		