

NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 21040, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, A1A 5B2

E-mail: pcoxworthy@stewartmckelvey.com

2015-06-15

Mr. Paul Coxworthy Stewart McKelvey Stirling Scales Cabot Place, 100 New Gower Street P.O. Box 5038 St. John's, NL A1C 5V3

Dear Mr. Coxworthy:

Re: Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro - Amended General Rate Application -Requests for Information

Enclosed are Requests for Information PUB-IC-6 to PUB-IC-17 regarding the above-noted application.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Board's Legal Counsel, Ms. Jacqui Glynn, by email, iglynn@pub.nl.ca or by telephone 709-726-6781.

Yours truly,

Assistant Board Secretary

aakean

Encl.

Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro

Mr. Geoff Young; E-mail: gyoung@nlh.nl.ca NLH Regulatory, E-mail: NLHRegulatory@nlh.nl.ca Mr. Fred Cass, E-mail: fcass@airdberlis.com

Newfoundland Power Inc.

Mr. Gerard Hayes, E-mail: ghayes@newfoundlandpower.com Mr. Liam O'Brien, E-mail: lobrien@curtisdawe.nf.ca

Yale Newfoundland and Labrador Limited

Mr. Thomas O'Reilly, Q.C., E-mail: toreilly@coxandpalmer.com Mr. Denis Fleming, E-mail: dfleming@coxandpalmer.com

Nunatsiavut Government

Ms. Genevieve Dawson, E-Mail: gdawson@bensonbuffett.com Mr. Tom Sheldon, E-mail: tom_sheldon@nunatsiavut.com

Ms. Christina Goldhar, E-mail: christina goldhar@nunatsiavut.com Mr. Christopher Henderson, E-mail: chenderson@lumosenergy.com

Yvonne Jones MP, Labrador

Ms. Yvonne Jones, MP

E-mail: Yvonne.Jones.A1@parl.gc.ca/Yvonne.Jones.C1@parl.gc.ca

Consumer Advocate

Mr. Thomas Johnson, Q.C., E-mail: tjohnson@odeaearle.ca Ms. Colleen Lacey, E-mail: clacey@odeaearle.ca

Mr. Doug Bowman, E-mail; cdbowman@netzero.net

Island Industrial Customers Group

Mr. Dean Porter, E-mail: dporter@pa-law.ca

Innu Nation

Ms. Nancy Kleer, E-mail: nkleer@oktlaw.com

Mr. Senwung Luk, E-mail; sluk@oktlaw.com

Towns of Labrador City, Wabush, Happy Valley-Goose Bay

and North West River
Mr. Dennis Browne, Q.C., Email: dbrowne@bfma-law.com Mr. Edward Hearn, Q.C., E-mail: miller&hearn@crrsty.net

Ms. Melanie Dawe, E-mail: mdawe@bfma-law.com

1	IN THE MATTER OF the Electrical Power
2	Control Act, 1994, SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1 (the
3	"EPCA") and the Public Utilities Act, RSNL 1990,
4	Chapter P-47 (the "Act"), as amended, and regulations
5	thereunder; and
6	
7	IN THE MATTER OF a general rate application
8	filed by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro on
9	July 30, 2013; and
0	
1	IN THE MATTER OF an amended general rate
2	application filed by Newfoundland and Labrador
3	Hydro on November 10, 2014

PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

PUB-IC-6 to PUB-IC-17

Issued: June 15, 2015

PUB-IC-6

In Section 3.4: Rate Implications for Industrials, page 18, lines 11-12, the IIC's Consultants state that "The proposed increases are especially problematic for the IIC group given the savings this group has provided to the overall system." They also state that the reduction of the combined load of the ICC group in the system has resulted in material grid-wide savings for all customers due to reduced quantity of No. 6 fuel required to serve the Island. Do you agree that when energy rates are set to reflect marginal cost, customers will see the direct benefit (savings) of reduced fuel consumption if load is reduced, in the same way they will be responsible for the extra cost in fuel consumption when load increases? Please explain your answer in detail.

 PUB-IC-7

In Section 5.4: Holyrood Capacity Versus Energy Classification, the IIC's Consultants state that 100% classification to energy of Holyrood's fuel costs does not properly reflect the cost driver as sometimes the plant operates at inefficient levels to provide transmission support/capacity in contrast to when it operates at efficient levels to provide energy. Please provide or estimate how many MWh a year of Holyrood generation is used as an energy driven resource and how many MWh as a capacity driven resource.

PUB-IC-8

In Section 5.4: Holyrood Capacity Versus Energy Classification, the IIC's Consultants state that 100% classification to energy of Holyrood's fuel costs does not properly reflect the cost driver as sometimes the plant operates at inefficient levels to provide transmission support/capacity in contrast to when it operates at efficient levels to provide energy. Do you agree that before Holyrood's role changes (Island-Labrador Interconnection) to a 100% backup and reliability resource, Holyrood continues to be the marginal resource to produce energy to the system? Please explain your answer.

PUB-IC-9

In Section 5.4: Holyrood Capacity Versus Energy Classification, the IIC's Consultants state that 100% classification to energy of Holyrood's fuel costs does not properly reflect the cost driver as sometimes the plant operates at inefficient levels to provide transmission support/capacity in contrast to when it operates at efficient levels to provide energy. Do you agree that classifying Holyrood's rate base, O&M and depreciation on the basis of capacity factor (72.24% demand and 27.76% energy) recognizes the cost causality of the generating resource? Please explain your answer.

PUB-IC-10

In Section 6.1: Industrial Rate Design, page 42, lines 5-6, the consultants contend that one of the perspectives of the 2008 report, that "Holyrood generation would be the incremental cost for the system for a substantial future period of time," is no longer valid. Do you agree that until the Island-Labrador Interconnection comes online, Holyrood remains the incremental generation cost of the system? If you disagree please provide a detailed explanation.

PUB-IC-11

In Section 6.1: Industrial Rate Design, page 42, lines 5-6, the consultants contend that one of the perspectives of the 2008 report, that "Holyrood generation would be the incremental cost for the system for a substantial future period of time," is no longer valid. Do you agree that major changes in the next few years (e.g., Island-Labrador Interconnection, transitioning load for Vale

and Praxiar, a new marginal cost study) should require a new GRA in less than three years to review all of these changes? Please provide a detailed explanation of your response.

PUB-IC-12

In Section 6.1: Industrial Rate Design, page 42, lines 5-6, the consultants contend that one of the perspectives of the 2008 report, that "Holyrood generation would be the incremental cost for the system for a substantial future period of time," is no longer valid. Do you agree that when energy rates are below marginal cost, there are adverse price signals that are incentives to consume additional amounts of energy that provide benefits below the resource costs of producing the additional energy? Please explain your response.

PUB-IC-13

In Section 6.1: Industrial Rate Design, page 42, lines 25-29, the consultants state that "...it is not an advisable time to adopt the type of rate design proposed in the 2008 Final Report (or other alternative rate designs based on marginal costs, two block rates, or the incremental value of Holyrood fuel). This is because attempting to adopt the rate design concepts from 2008 would (a) exacerbate rate pressures on customers at a time when they are already experiencing a high degree of rate impacts, and (b) be obsolete by the time of the Labrador infeed." What tail block energy rate do you contend would provide a better price signal than the marginal cost of energy? Please explain your answer in detail.

PUB-IC-14

In Section 6.2: NP Rate Design, page 44, lines 3-4, the consultants state that "A preferred approach today would remain rooted in the 2007 principles, namely that the second block rate is linked to the Holyrood incremental cost." If setting NP energy rates so that the second block is linked to the incremental cost of fuel at Holyrood provides the correct price signal, please explain why the same logic should not apply to setting tail block energy rates for Industrial Customers. Please provide a detailed explanation of your response.

PUB-IC-15

PUB-IC-16

Please provide a copy of Table 6-1: NP First and Second Block Rates: 2015 vs. 2007 in electronic format with all formulas and links intact.

In Section 6.4: New Energy Supply Cost Deferral Account, page 49, lines 31-32, in reference to the proposal to protect Hydro from price changes for Power Purchase Agreements such as the Exploit generation (which assets the Province intends to transfer to Hydro's regulated operations), the consultants state that "It is neither necessary nor advisable for the PUB to approve the inclusion of Exploits generation prices to the RSP or deferral accounts as it causes uncertainty and high degree of exposure for ratepayers." Would you agree that an alternative to these new energy supply cost deferral accounts is to have more regular periodic GRA filings? Please provide a detailed explanation of your response.

PUB-IC-17

In reference to Corner Brook Pulp and Paper Frequency Converter, Section 7.5: Conclusion, item 3: Not Specifically Assign, page 60, lines 32-35, the consultants state "(a) The impact of this allocation [removing all 2015 cost increase from 2007 specifically assigned charges] would be to reduce the

1 2 3 4	specifically assigned cost to CBPP compared to what Hydro has proposed, and an increase to energy rates to all Island Interconnected customers compared to the GRA proposal of less than 0.07% (less than 5 one-thousands of a cent/kWh)." Please provide all supporting calculations and workpapers, in
5	electronic format with formulas and links intact, for the 0.07% increase in energy rates.

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland this 15th day of June 2015.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

Sara Kean Assistant Board Secretary