

1 **Reference:** On page 11, Ms. Lee indicates that Hydro has understated its' depreciation
2 expense due to restating original cost to net book value and moving from
3 sinking fund depreciation to a remaining life technique.

4 **NLH-IC-18** **Would Ms. Lee agree that if Hydro depreciated its' assets based on the**
5 **remaining life in years (months) rather than using depreciation rates that**
6 **there would be no change in depreciation expense. For example, the**
7 **original cost of 100,000 and a reserve of \$20,000 depreciated over a**
8 **remaining life of 50 years would give the same depreciation expense as**
9 **80,000 depreciated over a remaining life of 50 years.**

10 **RESPONSE:** By Hydro's accounting approach of ceasing depreciation expense once an asset
11 is fully depreciated, the expenses resulting from depreciation based on the
12 remaining life in years (months) rather than using depreciation rates would be
13 different as long as there are assets within the account that are fully recovered.
14 This is evident from response to RFI CA-NLH-240. It is the opinion of Ms. Lee
15 that the purpose of this proceeding is to establish the appropriate depreciation
16 rates for Hydro, a component of which yes is life. Hydro claims that restating
17 original cost to net book value and moving from sinking fund depreciation to a
18 remaining life technique results in essentially the same expenses. This is simply
19 not true under Hydro's accounting approach.