Q. Historically, if a capital upgrade to existing plant is made, how has Hydro accounted 1 2 for the new plant added? How has Hydro accounted for the plant replaced with the 3 upgrade? Is the historical accounting treatment expected to continue prospectively or is the company planning on revising its accounting treatment? If there are plans to revise the accounting treatment, please explain the new accounting and when it is expected to be implemented.

7

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A.

Historically, if a capital upgrade to existing plant was made, Hydro would have capitalized the upgrade. If the upgrade resulted in the replacement of identifiable asset components, Hydro would retire the net book value (cost less accumulated depreciation) related to these assets from the plant records. If Hydro was unable to identify specific components that were replaced, then it is probable that no retirement would have been recorded in the asset records. Under IFRS, implemented January 2011, Hydro is capitalizing the upgrade in the same manner as under Canadian GAAP, however under IFRS, Hydro must derecognize a portion of the old asset regardless of whether it had been identified as a component and depreciated separately.