

1 Q. Refurbishment of the Fuel Oil Storage Facility, Holyrood Thermal Generating
2 Station

3 Since 2015 and 2016 appear, at this point, from a planning perspective, to be the
4 critical years, why would it not be possible for NLH to delay the refurbishment of
5 Tank #3 for one to two years, until there is greater certainty regarding the future of
6 the Holyrood Thermal Generating Station?
7
8

9 A. It is not advisable for Hydro to delay the refurbishment of Tank 3 for one to two
10 years, until there is greater certainty regarding the future of the Holyrood Thermal
11 Generating Station. The graphs provided under Figures 1 to 4 in the report
12 "Refurbishment of the Fuel Oil Storage Facility, Holyrood Thermal Generating
13 Station, July 2011" indicate that the minimum storage capacity required for the
14 years 2002 to 2005 is three tanks, and there are times when four tanks are
15 required. The annual fuel consumption during that time period was comparable to
16 that provided in Table 3 for 2008, a year when there was comparable power
17 generation demand and energy profile. Table 3 also provides the fuel consumption
18 forecast for the years 2012 to 2016 and forecasts significantly higher annual fuel
19 consumption compared to what was experienced in 2008. Power generation will be
20 proportionately higher in those years compared to 2008. With consideration to the
21 graphs, provided under Figures 1 to 4 in the above-noted report, and the fuel
22 consumption forecast provided in Table 3, the storage tank capacity required to
23 support generation in the years 2012 to 2016 will be at least three tanks in reliable
24 condition. Presently there are only two tanks in reliable condition.
25

26 Tanks 2 and 4 have been recently rehabilitated. With Tank 1 also in a deteriorated
27 state, the risks of losing the Tank 3, ice blockage of Conception Bay, and hydraulic

- 1 variability make the reliability of the tanks essential to assure reliable fuel supply to
- 2 the Holyrood Generating Station.