

1 Q. Re: Replace Fuel Tank-Burnt Dam (Tab C)

2 At page C-8 it states "the remote location of Burnt Dam contributes significantly to
3 the overall cost of this project, resulting in it being a higher cost than comparable
4 upgrades at more readily accessible sites." Why not install the tank at or near the
5 Granite facility instead of at Burnt Dam from both a cost and operations point of
6 view?

7
8
9 A. Installation of the tank at or near the Granite Canal facility will not significantly
10 reduce the cost of the project as the Granite facility is nearly as remote as Burnt
11 Dam. Additional cost would need to be incurred at Granite as a new site would have
12 to be developed which would involve civil foundation work and fencing, as well as
13 installation of an electrical power supply.

14
15 From an operations perspective, there is no advantage for the tank to be located at
16 Granite Canal, as the primary destination for most flights is Burnt Dam. Using a tank
17 at Granite Canal would incur time delays for refuelling operations as well as some
18 additional and costly (approx. \$1,700/hr) flight time. Passengers would have to
19 disembark during fueling operations for safety reasons, which would not be a
20 concern at Burnt as this is the final destination of most flights.