

1 Q. **Re: B-5, Rewind Generator Units 1 and 2, \$112,200 in 2012, \$1,107,600 in 2013,**
2 **and \$10,681,400 in future years**

3 On page 4 of Volume I, Tab 2, Hydro states that “...the AMEC report stated it would
4 be ‘considered appropriate to proceed with the installation of a new stator winding
5 at the next major outage in 2012’.”, and the quote is supported by footnote 3 at the
6 bottom of the page, which indicates the quotation is found in Appendix E. Since
7 this quotation cannot be found in Appendix E, please provide the appropriate
8 support for the quotation, or the appropriate footnote.

9
10

11 A. The footnote in the budget proposal was incorrect. The quote, from the AMEC
12 condition assessment, can be found on the 20th page of the Appendix A4 Unit 1 in
13 Section 6.1.1.2 "Condition" and subsection "Stator Windings" and the last
14 paragraph of that section:

15

16 “While the test result is considered likely to be pessimistic, there is no doubt that
17 the windings have deteriorated extensively in service. All the test results show that
18 the right phase is in very weak condition. It has operated for a further 7 years to
19 date, without a failure, but further deterioration can be expected, especially in the
20 end-winding part. Since the generator is required for another 5 years as a
21 generator, and a possible further 25 years for synchronous condenser operation, it
22 is considered appropriate to proceed with the installation of a new stator winding
23 at the next major outage in 2012. “