

1 **Q. Re: Holyrood (HTGS) Stack Breeching**

2 With the use 0.7% sulfur fuel on a go-forward basis, does Hydro have any
3 operational experience or consultant's opinion that would indicate that a major
4 (e.g., \$261,410 as in 2006) internal insulating block replacement will be needed
5 within the 9-year CBA period, if Alternative 3 or 7 is implemented? In the fifteen
6 (15) years' prior to the major replacement in 2006, what was expended by Hydro
7 for internal insulating block replacement? In the period 2006 to 2011, what has
8 Hydro expended for internal insulating block replacement? For the nine-year CBA
9 period, what is Hydro estimating will be the cost of internal insulating block
10 replacement if Alternative 3 or 7 is implemented?

11

12

13 **A.** Yes, with the use of 0.7% sulfur fuel on a go-forward basis, Hydro does have
14 operational experience that would indicate that a major (e.g., \$261,410 as in 2006)
15 internal insulating block replacement will be needed within the nine year CBA
16 period, if Alternative 3 or 7 is implemented. However, the rate of internal insulating
17 block degradation is not due to the sulfur content in the fuel oil. The internal
18 insulating block degradation is caused by the low temperature limit of the existing
19 adhesive membrane that bonds the blocks to the steel casing. The adhesive has a
20 maximum temperature limit of 200 degrees F whereas the flue gas temperature is
21 in the range of 310 degrees F. Hot flue gas can come in contact with the adhesive
22 by travelling through cracks in the insulating block or by exposure to areas where
23 insulation blocks have fallen away from the casing. The gas will then gradually break
24 down the adhesive, causing more blocks to fall free, thereby creating new contact
25 surface for continued deterioration of the adhesive and more blocks to fall away.
26 When the last breeching inspection was performed in 2010, it revealed extensive
27 deterioration to the insulation liner and the cost estimate to make repairs was

1 \$230,000. However, no insulation repairs were made at that time because a full
2 breaching refurbishment project was being planned with work to be completed in
3 2011.

4
5 For the full 15 years prior to the major replacement in 2006, the amount expended
6 by Hydro for internal insulating block replacement is not readily available. However,
7 for the years 2000 to 2005, an estimated total of \$57,000 was expended.

8
9 In the period 2006 to 2011, Hydro has expended a total of \$318,992 for internal
10 insulating block replacement.

11
12 For the nine year CBA period, Hydro is estimating that the cost of replacing internal
13 insulating block will be \$626,998 if Alternative 3 or 7 is implemented. The cost
14 includes the initial capital cost associated with replacing the internal insulating
15 block and the historic eleven year average block maintenance cost applied to each
16 year of the nine year CBA period for each of the alternatives. In 2009, the
17 inspection revealed that extensive repairs to the internal insulating liner were
18 required and the cost to complete the repairs was estimated to be \$100,000. In
19 2010, another inspection was completed on the internal insulating liner and the
20 cost to complete the repairs had increased to \$230,000. The repairs were not
21 undertaken in 2009 or 2010 as a capital project to refurbish the entire stack
22 breaching was proposed for 2011. Based on the rate of insulation deterioration
23 between 2009 and 2010, it was assumed that the cost of block repairs would
24 increase by 50 percent if the work was completed in 2011, compared to the cost
25 estimated in 2010 for an estimated cost of \$345,000.