

1 Q. **Re: Marine Terminal Refurbishment**

2 Further to the previous question, did Hydro reduce voltage by ten percent in order
3 to address the jacket heating issue. If it did, why has not Hydro made a claim
4 against Tyco in respect of this advice? If Hydro did not reduce voltage as directed,
5 why did it not do so?

6

7

8 A. As stated previously in P2-CA-NLH-47 the term “jacket” should be replaced with
9 “sheath”.

10

11 No, Hydro did not reduce voltage by ten percent in order to address the sheath
12 heating issue. Hydro’s records do not indicate why the voltage was not reduced as
13 suggested by Tyco in their letter dated May 23, 2002 (copy provided under P2-CA-
14 NLH-47). For additional information, please refer to the responses to P2-CA-NLH-47
15 and P2-CA-NLH-50.