

1 Q. **Re 2011 Capital Plan - Individual Capital Projects:**

2 **Project C-2, Upgrade Burnt Dam Spillway Structure-Bay d'Espoir:** Explain the
3 necessity to proceed with this project in 2011 in light of Hatch's finding of a Health
4 Index of 66 which implies that the asset is in good condition with some
5 deterioration or deficit apparent but function not significantly affected. (Table 2-1
6 p. C 32)

7
8
9 A. The overall Health Index for the site is a weighted average of the condition ratings
10 for the various systems that make up the site. For Burnt Spillway, the calculated
11 site Health Index of 66 does not imply that all systems at Burnt Spillway are in good
12 condition. In reference to the table on Page 24 of Hatch's report, three of the ten
13 systems assessed were given a condition rating of 1, which Hatch defines on Page 8
14 as "poor condition; serious deterioration of at least some parts; function is
15 inadequate". The stop log hoist is one of the systems with the poor condition. On
16 Page 48, Hatch recommended that the stop log hoist be upgraded within two years
17 of 2009.