

1 Q. **Re 2011 Capital Plan - Individual Capital Projects:**

2 **Project B-10, Upgrade Hydrogen System-Holyrood:** Provide details and all
3 underlying assumptions with respect to the cost benefit analysis of this project,
4 including particulars of what work would be done under the alternative upgrade
5 without electrolyzer and bulk storage.
6
7

8 A. The following assumptions were made with respect to the cost benefit analysis of
9 the project:
10

11 *Study Assumptions*

12 Present Worth Year: 2010*

13 Number of Years in Study: 20

14 Discount Rate: 8%

15 *Costs accrued at year end.
16

17 *Cost Assumptions with Electrolizer Installation*

18 Capital Costs: 2011 - \$1,191,900

19 2012 - \$ 800,400

20 Maintenance Costs: \$2,500 per year, escalated using Nalcor's 2010

21 Inflation and Escalation Forecast
22

23 *Cost Assumptions without Electrolizer Installation*

24 Capital Costs: 2011 - \$771,600

25 2012 - \$726,600

26 Maintenance Costs: \$3,000 per year, escalated using Nalcor's 2010

27 Inflation and Escalation Forecast

1 Hydrogen Gas Costs: \$60,100 per year based upon historical costs,
2 escalated using Nalcor's 2010 Inflation and Escalation Forecast.

3

4

5 The scope of work for both alternatives will include the installation of new
6 hydrogen control panels, piping replacement, relocation of manual control valves
7 on all three units, and the installation of automatic emergency vent valves on Units
8 2 and 3. However, the least cost alternative as determined by the cost benefit
9 analysis will also include the installation of an electrolyzer and low pressure bulk
10 storage system.