

1 Q. **Re 2011 Capital Plan Section, pages 22 -23, "Holyrood Projects in a No Infeed**

2 **Scenario:**

3 With reference to the table of estimated expenditures at pp. 22-23 of the "2011
4 Capital Plan" section and Appendix B to that section, provide a breakdown of those
5 expenditures which identifies, project by project and year by year, the projects and
6 dollar amounts estimated to be incurred if the Lower Churchill project with Island
7 Infeed is maintained as a planning option but with a commissioning and operations
8 timeframe which is indefinitely postponed beyond 2015/2016?

9

10

11 A. It is not possible to provide the requested information at this time. The table is a
12 conceptual list of projects based on familiarity with the equipment and knowledge
13 of plant life extension work performed at other thermal generating plants. Most of
14 the cost estimates presented are order of magnitude and are not based on
15 thorough condition assessments, well developed project scopes or detailed cost
16 estimates. Should the Lower Churchill and High Voltage Direct Current projects be
17 delayed indefinitely, it would be necessary to complete a condition assessment of
18 the thermal generation components of the Holyrood Thermal Generating Station to
19 establish when the projects listed on pp. 22-23 would be required to be
20 implemented. Only then would it be possible to identify with certainty the scope,
21 priority, cost, schedule and cash flow for each project. To attempt to provide the
22 information requested at this time would be, at best, conjecture.