Q. I	Re Complete	Condition Assessmen	t Phase	2
------	-------------	---------------------	---------	---

Given that the Phase 2 Report builds upon the Phase 1 report, is it prudent to proceed with the approval of the Phase 2 Report (a) if the assumptions which underlie the Phase I report are in question? and (b) prior to a reasonable opportunity to receive and study the final Phase I report?

A.

The Phase 2 report will assist Hydro in determining the measures that need to be taken to ensure Holyrood continues to operate as a reliable generating facility up to 2016 and a synchronous condensing thereafter. These measures are also applicable and beneficial in maintaining the reliability of the plant if it is required to continue operating as a generating station beyond 2016 into the foreseeable future. The equipment that will be focused on in the Phase 2 study is required for long term operation whether the plant changes its operation to a synchronous condensing plant or continues to operate as a generating station.

a) Yes, it is prudent to proceed with approval of the Phase 2 report as no information gathered to date has caused questions regarding the underlying assumption.

b) Yes, it is prudent to proceed with approval of the Phase 2 report prior to receiving the final Phase 1 report. In May of 2010, Hydro received documentation outlining the Level 2 recommendations that would be incorporated in the final report related to key balance of plant systems required for operation of the Holyrood Thermal Generation Station as a synchronous condensing facility to 2041. A copy of the documentation received from AMEC is located in Appendix B of the proposal.