

Re: Page B-43, Replace Unit Electromechanical Trip Devices (EDT), \$305,300

Q. Please provide a breakdown of costs and cost benefit analysis showing the financial advantage of combining this project with the proposed Generator Auto Synchronization (page B-59) and the Steam Seal Regulator (page B-50) projects.

A. Not all of these projects will be combined; the Steam Seal Regulator project has been deferred and is not part of the work proposed to be undertaken in 2008. Therefore, please disregard the reference to the Steam Seal Regulator found in the first sentence on page B-44 of the Application (“Execution of this project in the same year as the proposed Generator Auto Synchronization and Steam Seal Regulator projects will reduce GE engineering and field support combined costs by including all work in the same contract and schedule.”). The Steam Seal Regulator project is not the same as the “Replace Unit 2 Main Steam Stop Valve” project found at B-50.

Similarly, the reference to the “Steam Seal Regulator” project should be disregarded where it appears in the third paragraph of page B-59.

Only the proposed project at B-43, Replace Unit Electromechanical Trip Devices (EDT), and the proposed Generator Auto Synchronization project at page B-59, would be performed concurrently. The economies to be realized by undertaking these two projects concurrently arise from decreased travel costs for the contractor and reduced time for the contractor’s technical supervisor (GE Controls Engineer).