

1 **Re: Page B-16, Install Meteorological Stations, \$222,000**

2 Q. Please provide a cost benefit analysis, if available, supporting the installation
3 of meteorological stations at these four sites.

4

5

6 A. As noted in PUB NLH 9.0, no formal analysis has been produced that directly
7 addresses the cost-benefit assessment of installing meteorological stations
8 noted.

9

10 Spill events are relatively common on the island interconnected system,
11 taking place in seven of the past ten years. Not all spills are avoidable, as
12 sometimes even with all plants maximizing output nothing can be done to
13 avoid spill. Nevertheless, some spill events can be avoided or mitigated with
14 sufficient information by shifting production between plants. In light of the
15 magnitude of the potential savings that can arise, such as those identified in
16 PUB NLH 9.0, a formal cost-benefit study was not necessary.

17

18 In addition to the benefits of spill avoidance/mitigation, improved information
19 within the watersheds will allow Hydro to tailor reservoir drawdown policies to
20 known snowpack conditions, allowing opportunity to keep hydraulic
21 generating units operating closer to optimum efficiency points.

22 Improvements would be impossible to measure due to the multitude of
23 contributing factors. In general, generation scheduled with a reservoir
24 drawdown policy based upon current system conditions will be more efficient
25 than generation based upon a drawdown policy to protect against historic
26 inflow conditions.