February 14, 2012 Mult	i-Page Muskrat Falls Hearing
Page 1	Page 3
1 February 14, 2012	1 Chairman, Commissioners. We took a look at
2 (9:35 a.m.)	the work we did to prepare the estimate for
3 CHAIRMAN:	the one in 150 year return period and our view
4 Q. Well, good morning everybody. I understand	of this is that the cost of going to one in
there's no preliminary objections or	5 500 would be in the order of 225 to 250
6 preliminary issues I should say, but I just	6 million dollars. That's a very preliminary
7 wanted to announce that we will be breaking	7 estimate, so we'd have to do more analysis to
8 regularly. I was lectured inside about the	8 firm that up, but that's a reasonable view at
9 lack of breaks, so I'm going to be	9 this point.
automatically breaking at, I don't know, an	10 CHAIRMAN:
hour and a half, two hours. It depends on, I	11 Q. And your preference is to look at gas
guess, how the questioning goes and a natural	12 generation as a -
1	12 generation as a - 13 MR. BENNETT:
Mr. Johnson, you're still up, so I'll turn it	14 A as the alternative.
over to you, sir.	15 CHAIRMAN:
16 GREENE, Q.C.:	16 Q. As the alternative.
17 Q. Excuse me for a moment, Mr. Chair. I think	17 MR. BENNETT:
there is actually now a preliminary matter	18 A. That's correct.
that Nalcor would like to address and it has	19 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
to do with the undertakings that were asked	Q. And the third item, Mr. Chairman, was the
21 yesterday.	21 there was a question, I think, by the Consumer
22 O'REILLY, Q.C.:	Advocate about the comparison of PIRA prices
23 Q. There were three, I think, Mr. Chairman.	and the National Energy Board prices, whether
There were three matters that came up	or not they were comparable, and the NEB
25 yesterday for which undertakings were given	25 prices use inflation adjusted prices. I think
Page 2	Page 4
and I think we can respond to those now. The	1 that's correct, is it?
2 first dealt with the date when the carbon tax	2 MR. GOUDIE:
would have been applicable, and I've put on	3 A. It's Steve Goudie. The NEB and the EIA
4 the made the Commissioners that shows	4 referenced prices in CA-53 would be in
5 up, that information shows up in Exhibit 101	5 constant dollars. I think there's 2008 or
6 Muskrat Falls Project, pages 65. Page 65,	6 2009 constant dollars. And our Exhibit 4 as
7 that's the Navigant report, and I don't know	7 filed would be in nominal dollars. So if you
8 if someone wants to speak to that over there,	8 compared our nominal dollars to the constant
9 if we can pull that up? You have copies of it	9 dollars from EIA and NEB, you would
there. And according to the Navigant report,	incorrectly conclude that there was a great
11 it's 2017.	disparity in those forecasts.
12 CHAIRMAN:	12 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
13 Q. Okay, alright.	13 Q. That's it.
14 O'REILLY, Q.C.:	14 GREENE, Q.C.:
15 Q. Anyway that's addressed in that exhibit, that	15 Q. I also had one preliminary matter and it's to
16 question.	deal with the witnesses when they're speaking.
17 CHAIRMAN:	17 I wonder if you could identify yourself,
18 Q. I'd like to look at that, yeah.	18 because in looking at the transcript last
19 O'REILLY, Q.C.:	night, a lot of the comments made by Mr.
20 Q. Okay. The other is what is the cost of the	20 Humphries or statements made by Mr. Humphries
21 incremental cost in going to one in 500 as	21 were attributed to Mr. Kean. So I think it
opposed to one in 150, that issue. The cost	22 would be helpful as you go to speak if you do
23 is Gilbert, do you want to -	23 remember to identify your name each time.
24 MR. BENNETT:	
	24 Thank you.
25 A. It's Gilbert Bennett. Good morning, Mr.	25 MR. JOHNSON:

1 Q. Thank you. Good morning, gentlemen. I'd like 2 to sort of take up where we left off yesterday 3 when we were talking about the sensitivity 4 analysis and I guess for the benefit of people

who are here present today or people who may be watching on the webcast, could you just

briefly explain what the purpose is of the

sensitivity analysis in the context of comparing the two options for study before

this panel.

### 11 MR. GOUDIE:

12 A. The purpose of the sensitivity analysis is to identify the critical variables that are 13 leveraging our decision making on the 14 investment analysis. So we will try to 15 16 identify those variables in the CPW analysis that are most important to the results coming 17 out of the strategist generation expansion 18 plans. So we would vary fuel prices. We 19 could vary capital costs. We could vary load 20 and see what the pertinent impacts are on the 21 CPW preference for Interconnected Island. 22

23 MR. JOHNSON:

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And of course, the reference case preference for the Muskrat Falls Labrador-Island link,

Page 5

Page 7
could you just give a comment to us about the
thinness of the preference if we change the
assumptions to that extent?

4 MR. GOUDIE:

A. This is Steve Goudie. That sensitivity 5 represents a 50 percent increase in the in-6 service capital cost of the Muskrat Falls 7 generation investment and the Labrador-Island 8 link. It is the leverage in the analysis, so 9 10 if those two major capital components did increase by 50 percent, the CPW preference for 11 the Interconnected alternative would begin to 12 13 approach zero.

#### 14 MR. BENNETT:

A. It's Gilbert Bennett. Maybe I can add to
 that. I think the question though that has to
 be considered is the likelihood of that
 scenario.

19 MR. JOHNSON:

20 Q. That's what was I -

21 MR. BENNETT:

A. Okay. So, you know, the risk, yes, if you do the analysis, you increase the capital cost by 50 percent, that is the analytical outcome, but the question that begs itself is what's

Page 6

based on the assumption embedded that we have been working with, is nearly a 2.2 billion dollar preference in 2010 dollars for Muskrat Falls over Labrador-Island link or over the Isolated option. I would like to, if we could, Mike, bring up page 207 of the MHI report, in particular Table 42?

And I guess the first sensitivity that I'd bring your attention to this morning, having visited some of the earlier sensitivities listed there yesterday, is the one numbered eight, being the Labrador-Island HVDC link and Muskrat Falls capital cost increased by 50 percent. So in other words, if we were to increase the present estimates for the Muskrat Falls and Labrador-Island link scenario by 50 percent, we would see an evening out in the preference between the two options. There still would be a nearly 200 million dollar preference for the Muskrat Falls Labrador-Island link scenario. And I guess, at that stage, it's more of a comment for people who are watching these proceedings when they see a possibility of the preference

Page 8 the likelihood of that and what steps are we

taking in order to minimize that situation.

3 MR. JOHNSON:

Q. Right, right. And I guess we could plug in 4 whatever number we wanted to this and it'll 5 produce a mathematical result. What -- from 6 7 Nalcor's standpoint, what -- if we look at, you know, a range, let's say the textbook 8 range around DG2, should we use a high 9 sensitivity test? Is that instructive to us? 10 Is that the one we ought to use in your 11 judgment? 12 13 MR. BENNETT:

A. I think from my perspective, the sensitivity 14 analysis has demonstrated a sensitivity on 15 capital cost and then I think, you know, from 16 our perspective, the steps to be taken would 17 be to mitigate that exposure. So, you know, 18 19 it comes back to all of the techniques and approaches that Mr. Harrington and Mr. Kean 20 described yesterday in order to narrow in that 21 range and to mitigate and diminish that 22 sensitivity and that concern. 23

24 (9:45 a.m.) 25 MR. JOHNSON:

being largely erased under that scenario,

O. If we look at scenario nine, again another 1 2 thin outcome, and perhaps not an outlandish scenario whereby we would be assuming that 3 over the long run the fuel costs would 4 decrease by 20 percent, annual load growth 5 6 would decrease by 20 percent as well on top of 7 that and then the third whammy, if you will, you'd have the capital cost increasing for 8 Muskrat Falls generating station and the 9 10 Labrador-Island link by 20 percent and we arrive at a preference of about 159 million 11 dollars in 2010 dollars for the Muskrat Falls 12 13 option over the Labrador-Island link. Just comment on that scenario, in terms of -- put 14 15 some commentary around that scenario.

#### 16 MR. BENNETT:

Well, I think, you know, one 17 A. Sure. observation that I would make is that we look 18 at other situations that are also reasonable 19 20 and should be considered. If you're doing this analysis at DG3, we would have a clearer 21 22 view of the Federal loan guarantee. We know the Federal loan guarantee diminishes the CPW 23 of the Interconnected alternative. A current 24 fuel price forecast would improve the CPW 25

24

25

1

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

preference for the Interconnected Island 1 2 alternative as well. So, I think that, again, 3 the importance and value of the sensitivity analysis is to give us a basis for further 4 5 analysis and to highlight and identify the areas where we need to focus attention. That 6 as a predictive tool, their usefulness is 7 limited because we're dealing with our earlier 8 9 analytical inputs. So, in terms of highlighting areas for focus, they're 10 absolutely valuable. In terms of identifying 11

13 further advance and define the numbers, they're extremely valuable, but the next step 14 in this process will be to look at the numbers 15

the areas where we need to do more work and to

at a later stage, at Decision Gate 3, where 16

you have further clarity on all the input 17 information. 18

19 MR. JOHNSON:

12

Q. You referenced the guarantee and just explain 20 for those who may be looking on what role that 21 plays in the sensitivity analysis. Why is it 22

that that changes or has a bearing upon the 23 preference? 24

25 MR. BENNETT:

Page 9

A. The fundamental value in the Federal loan 2 guarantee is to reduce the cost of financing and therefore the interest expenses included 3 in the generation assets and the transmission 4 facilities. 5

Page 11

#### 6 MR. JOHNSON:

Q. And the underlying reason being that Canada's 7 credit rating, being AAA, allows access or 8 their standing behind the project allows the 9 10 borrowers for the project to get access to cheaper funds? 11

## 12 MR. BENNETT:

A. They have a -- yes, they have a strong credit rating, there's no question. 14

#### 15 MR. JOHNSON:

Q. At DG3, I believe -- in terms of the status of 16 the guarantee, at DG3 -- in DG2, up 'til DG2, 17 it wasn't -- it was a possibility because the 18 commitment had not been vet announced by the 19 Prime Minister. Now several months ago, the 20 commitment was announced. How will that 21 22 change your using that as an input at DG3?

## 23 MR. BENNETT:

A. I think it -- at the next stage of analysis, we will have further insight in the terms of

Page 10 Page 12

the loan guarantee and we'll be in a better position to specifically identify the

3 financing terms, the financing and commercial

parameters that we're using for the project 4

and we'll have much greater clarity in that

input. 6

# 7 MR. JOHNSON:

Q. Finally, the final thin scenario, as we go down to scenario ten, where we assume an annual load decrease of 880 gigawatt hours and then we assume on top of that that the capital cost associated with the Muskrat Falls generating station and Labrador-Island link cost increase by ten percent, again, your commentary on that sensitivity.

# 16 MR. BENNETT:

A. I mean, my perspective is exactly the same as it was for number nine. It's a good analytical tool. It's valuable input. It highlights the areas that we need to focus attention on, but again, it doesn't include the benefit of the Federal loan guarantee, nor does it include the cost of carbon. 24 MR. JOHNSON:

25 Q. In terms of looking at the base case estimate

February 14, 2012	Multi-Page	e <sup>TM</sup> Muskrat Falls Hearing
I	Page 13	Page 15
of the Isolated Island option, which is right	1	Q. So not a so is the takeaway not a material
2 up at number one, on top of the chart there	2,	difference?
3 it has a cumulative present worth of 8.8	3 MI	R. STRATTON:
4 billion dollars and I guess my question wou		A. It would not be material, so low forecast.
5 be are there in Nalcor's assessment, are		R. JOHNSON:
6 there areas where Nalcor has been conserva	ative 6	Q. Under the reference case, when is our if
7 in relation to costs or factors that impact	7	things pan out in accordance with the
8 upon the CPW preference or the CPW of the	he 8	assumptions in the reference case, when is our
9 Isolated Island option? I'm trying to get a	. 9	next energy deficit?
sense of, you know, were you conservative		R. HUMPHRIES:
any of your inputs on that?		A. It's Paul Humphries. Our next energy deficit
12 MR. BENNETT:	12	will be in the 2020 time period.
13 A. I think our efforts were I would		R. JOHNSON:
characterize them as reasonable. We've us		Q. 20?
reasonable inputs, reasonable forecast		R. HUMPHRIES:
information and the results are as they're	16	A. Around 2020.
presented. I wouldn't call them conservative		R. JOHNSON:
I wouldn't call them optimistic. I think	18	Q. And how about capacity?
19 they're reasonable.		R. HUMPHRIES:
20 MR. JOHNSON:	20	A. We are predicting a capacity shortfall for 2015.
21 Q. Okay. I just wanted to get a sense of that,	21	
22 in terms of our looking at it, whether we	22 MI 23	R. JOHNSON:
should say well, Nalcor said that was conservative. But I guess the point that you		Q. If we were to assume that overnight we lose 880 gigawatts of load, I guess, in 2013, what
conservative. But I guess the point that you made a second ago was that it doesn't inclu		would that do to our next energy deficit and
<del>-</del>		
certain costs pertaining to possibilities of	Page 14	Page 16 next capacity deficit?
2 greenhouse gases, okay.		R. HUMPHRIES:
3 MR. BENNETT:		A. Well, if that were to happen overnight, both
4 A. That's right.	4	of those deficits would change. They would
5 MR. JOHNSON:	5	move out into the future. What the exact
6 Q. In terms of the industrial load forecast, we		dates are I wouldn't be able to tell you right
7 spoke about that a bit yesterday and I think		now, but they would not be 2015 and 2020.
there were some updates to load projections		R. JOHNSON:
9 the case of some of the industrial customer		Q. Okay.
and I was interested in knowing whether the		REENE, Q.C.:
had been an update in relation to Vale's loa		Q. I wonder if you would be able to provide that
12 since the reference case.	12	information? That is one of the areas that I
13 MR. BENNETT:	13	had hoped to ask as well.
14 A. Turn to Mr. Paul Stratton here for this one.	14 MI	R. HUMPHRIES:
15 MR. STRATTON:	15	A. Yes, we should be able to provide that.
16 A. It's Paul Stratton. We do have an update of		R. JOHNSON:
the peak requirements from Vale, but they		Q. Okay. In relation to the in-feed option, as
not provide us any energy requirements upo		described in the reference, what is the role
to the forecast. So from that point of	19	of Upper Churchill power?
20 reference, their demand requirement is		R. BENNETT:
las madagethe darum bereterra recould armost the	aim   21	In the comment mechanics and two was married

modestly down, but we would expect their A. In the current reference case, we use power 21 energy requirements to be at the same load 22 from Churchill Falls I think beyond the 2057 factor that it would have been in the earlier time frame. 23 24 MR. JOHNSON:

Q. And what's the reason why we would need it 25

21

22

23

24

cases.

25 MR. JOHNSON:

February 14, 2012	Mulu-P	Page Muskrat Fans nearing
	Page 17	Page 19
1 beyond 2057?	1	reference price versus expected price and I
2 MR. BENNETT:	2	wonder if Nalcor has any observations with
3 A. At that point I think we see a small er	nergy 3	respect to possible use of an expected price
4 shortfall with the energy supplied f	rom 4	as opposed to a reference price?
5 Muskrat Falls.	5	5 MR. GOUDIE:
6 MR. JOHNSON:	6	6 A. Yes, this is Steve Goudie. We certainly did
7 Q. I guess a question that was put to	me 7	7 review MHI's review of that. PIRA does
8 yesterday was what would be the role of	of Upper   8	8 provide well, we subscribe to two services
9 Churchill prior to that or you know, the	-	9 from PIRA Energy. One is the basic oil
date of 2041. I don't know if that's		,
obviously 2041 is not within the	two 11	1 &
strategist scenarios, but I guess people		e e
be interested in knowing why we're	waiting 13	1 1 0
until 2057 for it, I guess.	14	E
15 MR. BENNETT:	15	1 6 3, 3
16 A. Well, if Muskrat Falls is in the i		$\varepsilon$
available for the supply and the supply		•
met from Muskrat Falls, then we		,
sufficient energy supply from that fac	-	•
and we don't need additional energy of		<b>3</b>
Island system until the 2057 time fram		
22 MR. JOHNSON:	22	•
23 Q. A follow up question from a customer		
24 watching the proceedings yesterday ha		1
25 with Nalcor yesterday advised that	the 25	So when we come to do our basic analysis for
	Page 18	Page 20
1 Maritime link would provide 475 meg		interconnected island, we can use a reference
2 backup energy for Newfoundland if the		2 price forecast or we can use the expected.
3 outage on the transmission line from N		The expected has a higher low run price than
4 Falls and the question goes on to say		our reference forecast, and that's why the MHI
5 Nalcor also said that Muskrat Falls v		result shows that if we use an expected as
6 proceed without a Maritime link. So		opposed to a reference, the preference for the
7 question is if no Maritime link, what i		7 interconnected island will increase by a
8 backup plan for the island? 9 MR, HUMPHRIES:	_	8 substantial degree.
		9 (10:00 a.m.) 10 MR. JOHNSON:
10 A. This is Paul Humphries. As we ind 11 yesterday, based on the analogy I went		
yesterday, based on the analogy I went yesterday with the actual exposure that	-	
would have in the event of a loss of the		13 MR. GOUDIE:
and the probabilities of being there, we		
that from a probabilistic perspective,		
would be in a situation no different that		
are today and we would not need add		
backup beyond the capacity on the isla		18 MR. JOHNSON:
we also in the opportunity of should		
deemed that additional backup is requi		20 MR. GOUDIE:
21 could add additional combustion turbin		
22 MR. JOHNSON:	22	
23 Q. The next question I have is: as you kn		
the MHI report in relation to fuel pri		•
forecasting MUI makes some commen		25 MD TOTINGON.

25 MR. JOHNSON:

forecasting, MHI makes some commentary about

6

Page 21

- Q. Okay, and the weightings, I understand that -1
- in the two paragraphs up from that table, MHI 2
- says, "The impact on the CPW using the 3
- expected price rather than the reference price 4
- was examined based on the March 202010 5
- forecast, the prices provided by PIRA set out 6
- 7 on Page 10 of 37 in Exhibit 43, an assumed
- weightings of 50 percent/25 percent/ 25 8
- percent, the resultant expected prices are 9
- 10 higher than the reference prices". These
- assumed ratings, can you fill us in on what -11
- or assume weightings, can you fill us in on 12
- what that means? 13
- 14 MR. GOUDIE:

16

1

- A. The weightings would be PIRA's assessment of 15
  - the likelihood of those scenarios. I don't
- believe they characterize them as assumed 17
- weightings. I think they characterize them as 18
- probabilities. 19
- 20 MR. JOHNSON:
- Q. So the 50 percent probability, that would be 21
- 22 their -
- 23 MR. GOUDIE:
- A. The reference.
- 25 MR. JOHNSON:

Page 22

- Q. That would be their best assessment, and then
- 2 25 percent would be the high, 25 percent would
- be the low? 3
- 4 MR. GOUDIE:
- A. That's correct.
- 6 MR. JOHNSON:
- Q. Okay. I'm going to turn to a slightly 7
- different topic having to do with HVDC system 8
- 9 losses. If we could bring up page 206 of the
- MHI Report. At Section 12.20, MHI says that 10
- 11 "Nalcor assumed HVDC system losses are set at
- 5 percent. However, there is reason to 12
- believe they could be higher based on a 13
- response to RFI NHI Nalcor 62. If the loss 14
- 15 percentage is 10 percent, which is Nalcor's
- worse case design scenario, then there will be 16
- higher transmission losses associated with the 17
- Labrador-Island Link HVDC system when 18
- 19 operating at capacity. An incremental
- increase of 5 percent of system losses may 20
- result in the addition of 150 million dollars 21
- 22 to the CPW cost for the in-feed option". First
- just to start off, just explain to us what 23
- we're talking about when we're talking about 24
- transmission losses? 25

- 1 MR. HUMPHRIES:
  - A. This is Paul Humphries. Transmission loss,

Page 23

Page 24

- that is the because of the resistive nature 3
  - of a transmission system, there is a loss
- generated through the transmission, so that at 5
  - the end of the day you will get less power out
- of the end of the line than you put in at the 7
- 8 beginning.
- 9 MR. JOHNSON:
- 10 Q. Okay, and that would be a concern particularly over long distances like 1100 kilometres? 11
- 12 MR. HUMPHRIES:
  - Q. Over the long distance, yes, and also on the
- level of load on the line, as losses increase 14
- proportionately with the loading on the line. 15
- 16 MR. JOHNSON:
- Q. Okay, and in terms of the HVDC, is that is 17
- HVDC over AC technology better for losses or 18
- is there any does it have any bearing on it? 19
- 20 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. Generally HVDC has a better loss performance 21
- 22 than AC.
- 23 MR. JOHNSON:
- O. And how would these losses translate into 24
- having an effect on the CPW for the options? 25
- 1 MR. HUMPHRIES:

8

- A. Well, if the losses are higher than were
- 3 anticipated in the analysis, you are actually
- getting less energy from the line. So that 4
- - has to be made up from another source.
- 6 MR. JOHNSON:
- 7 Q. Okay, and I guess what is your comment about
  - the possibility that transmission losses could
- 9 be higher as stated by MHI?
- 10 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. At periods when the link is operating at full 11
- capacity, the losses will be higher, but on an 12
- average, they will be closer to the 5 percent 13
- range than they are to the 10 percent overall 14
- average loss, based on the anticipated 15
- loadings of that line. 16
- 17 MR. JOHNSON:
- Q. And is there any dispute with the mathematics 18
  - of MHI that if they're 10 percent, then it
- does add 150 million, or has that been 20
- 21 checked?
- 22 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. I'm not sure that we've verified that. 23
- 24 MR. GOUDIE:
- 25 A. The way that - Steve Goudie, sorry. The way

Page 25 at is 1 Q. Okay, and that's where those maximums would be rom 2 reached?
The state of the s
rom 2 reached?
3 MR. HUMPHRIES:
rom 4 A. Yes.
ven a 5 MR. JOHNSON:
ls 6 Q. I have a question regarding oil. It's
oply 7 addressed in Section 12.10 of the MHI Report.
to 8 It's basically inventory. That's at page 197.
Just to get your comment and try to understand
this, it indicates that, "Nalcor did not
ergy is 11 include the carrying cost of fuel inventory,
which is normally part of the rate base
component used in determining the cost of
s I 14 service for the utility". MHI goes on to say,
on, 15 "In the current comparative analysis of CPWs,
the value of fuel inventory would only be
e significantly different between the two
options in the period where Holyrood is no
n longer generating base load power which exists
prior 20 mostly from 2017 and on. If fuel inventory
us, 21 carrying costs were included in the CPW

23

24

25

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 26 Page 28

significance to that number?

#### 1 MR. HUMPHRIES:

described?

22

23

24

25

A. Well, as we progress with the design and 2 specification and tendering of these 3 particular elements, be it the converter 4 5 station equipment, transformers, and the transmission line, we will know the exact 6 7 components that go in there and we will have a 8 handle on the physical impedances of these 9 systems and we will be able to do a better estimation of the losses, more accurate 10 11 estimations.

inform us, as to how you will get a better

handle on what those transmission losses will

be in conjunction with those processes just

## 12 MR. JOHNSON:

Q. Is there a likelihood that there could be as high as 10 percent losses? You know, is that in the cards?

#### 16 MR. HUMPHRIES:

17 A. Close to 10 percent, yes, that could be if the line is at full peak load, but we have to 18 19 realize that the line will not - when we look at Muskrat Falls, Muskrat Falls is an 20 megawatt facility with approximately 21 22 percent capacity factor. It is not possible 23 for Muskrat Falls to load that line at 24 megawatts 24 hours a day, 365 days a year. 25 MR. JOHNSON:

my question is, is that a material enough figure to worry about, is there any

analysis, the consequence would be an increase

in the CPW for the isolated island option, and

accordingly would serve to further increase

the gap between the two CPW values". I guess

#### 4 MR. GOUDIE:

5 A. That cost is accounted for once we move out of the Strategist framework and into the revenue 6 7 requirement framework. So it's not a cost that's accounted for directly in Strategist, 8 but it is a cost that's accounted for when we 9 take the Strategist output and begin to build 10 11 the total revenue requirement for the company, and that is - to your question, it is not a 12 13 material cost.

# 14 MR. JOHNSON:

Q. Okay. If I could turn you to page 187, Section 12.4 of the MHI Report. This is a discussion of the Muskrat Falls PPA, and it basically indicates, "That the premise supporting the use of a PPA approach relies on the base assumption that Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro will sign a take-or-pay contract with Nalcor for the specified Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro energy purchases from Muskrat Falls that Strategist has projected. As equity owner of the Muskrat

Fel	bruary 14, 2012 Mult	i-P	age <sup>™</sup> Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 29		Page 31
1	Falls Project, Nalcor will eventually receive	1	MR. JOHNSON:
2	its target return on the investment over the	2	Q. So under cost of service, if it was all lumped
3	life of the asset based on the volumes	3	
4	consumed", and then it goes on to explain	4	
5	that, "To determine the PPA prices, it was	5	
6	assumed that all firm output 4.5 terawatt	6	would just be charging - they'd have to
7	hours generated by the Muskrat Falls	7	collect the cost of that generating facility
8	generating facility would be sold. Then the	8	through the rate no matter what you took?
9	internal rate of return would be 11 percent	9	MR. GOUDIE:
10	and equity financing would be 100 percent.	10	A. That's correct. So irrespective of output,
11	These assumptions resulted in a price of	11	
12	approximately \$76.00 a megawatt hour in 2010	12	rate based.
13	dollars, escalated at 2 percent in nominal	13	MR. JOHNSON:
14	terms". Could you for the purpose of people	14	Q. Okay, and in terms of that model, how did you
15	who are watching this webcast tell us the	15	fix upon that sort of model, how did that come
16	difference between PPA and cost of service	16	within the contemplation of Nalcor?
17	because in another section MHI talks about if	17	MR. GOUDIE:
18	there's any difference in CPW terms when using	18	A. Well, that would have been something that we
19	the PPA in the cost of service? Just explain	19	work through with our financial advisors
20	the PPA and why it was chosen?	20	because essentially when you move to the PPA
21	MR. GOUDIE:	21	approach, you're really focused on cash flow
22	A. I guess if we start with the cost of service	22	and you're not focused on net book value of
23	framework because we're all - or some of us	23	the asset. All that matters is cash and being
24	are more familiar with that, if we bring the	24	able to meet your obligations and meet a
25	Muskrat Falls investment into a cost of	25	threshold or hurdle return across the period
	Page 30		Page 32
1	service framework, then the revenue	1	of time that you're evaluating, given the
2	requirement is not dependent on the output	2	output of the plant that's being sold to the
3	sold from the facility. So in the first year	3	island.
4	of following in service, the revenue	4	(10:15 a.m.)
5	requirement will be a function of its	5	MR. JOHNSON:
6	depreciation charge and its return on rate	6	Q. So in terms of - you use the example or you
7	base. So 100 percent of the net book value of	7	made reference to the fact that if you were
8	that plant will be subject to a cost of	8	going with a cost of service approach, given
9	service calculation in year one. By contrast,	9	the fact that the customers on the island
10	with a PPA approach, instead of the focus	10	would not be taking the full Muskrat Falls
11	being on the net book value of the asset as it	11	power for a number of years out, that the
12	goes into service, you're focused on the	12	impact on the customer would be great, I take
13	internal rate of return or the return on	13	it?
14	equity over the life of the project, and the	14	MR. GOUDIE:
15	advantage for consumers is that following a	15	A. That's correct.
16	PPA approach, your initial pricing will be	16	MR. JOHNSON:
1,7	lower plug it will be constant in real	1.7	O And so if we did it that way what would we ha

- 17 Q. And so if we did it that way, what would we be 18 talking about in terms of the amount that 19 would have to be charged for generation, say?
- 20 MR. GOUDIE:
- 21 A. We have answered that question in an RFI for the PUB. I think it's PUB 46. So the 22 question was if the cost of service pricing 23 were applied in determining the power of 24 purchase price, what would be the power of 25

lower, plus it will be constant in real

inflation adjusted terms. By contrast with a

very high initial unit charges because we

cannot absorb all of the output of Muskrat

about 40 percent of it in the first year. So

the unit charge of that will be very, very

Falls onto the island system. We only require

cost of service approach, you're going to have

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

high.

Fe	bruary 14, 2012 Mult	i-Page <sup>11</sup>	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 33		Page 35
1	purchase price paid by Hydro to Nalcor from	1	Consumer Advocate that we would file a revised
2	Muskrat Falls power and energy in the first	2	answer to that dealing with that, and we're
3	calendar year supply, and the answer to that	3	hoping to have that sometime today, and I've
4	is that it would be \$214.00 per megawatt hour	4	indicated that, so that we will have a revised
5	in the first year.	5	RFI filed on that question, that should be
6	MR. JOHNSON:	6	ready, and then if there's a question that
7	Q. And so that would be on a per kilowatt hour	7	comes out of that, well, fine, we can deal
8	charge, 21 cents, basically. You make the	8	with it, but we would like to refile the RFI.
9	point there that it would decline each year	9 MR.	JOHNSON:
10	thereafter as the island sales base grows and	10 Q.	Okay.
11	the return on rate base declines. Just	-	EILLY, Q.C.:
12	explain that?		Is that satisfactory?
13	MR. GOUDIE:	1	JOHNSON:
14	A. The Labrador-Island link is funded on a cost	14 Q.	Okay. Now regarding DG 3, I just want to look
15	of service basis, or it's analyzed, I should	15	ahead a little bit, we heard yesterday that
16	say, on a cost of service basis. So in the	16	there is going to be a review of all DG 3
17	early years of the transmission link, the	17	inputs at that Decision Gate stage, and an
18	revenue requirement reflects the high net book	18	updated CPW analysis, and as well there's
19	value and as that asset is depreciated over	19	going to be updates as relates to the various
20	time, the return on rate base applies to net	20	reliability issues that we canvassed
21	book value, which is book value less	21	yesterday, whether it be integration, etc.
22	depreciation, and that return on rate base	22	What is planned in terms of having that
23	will decline over time as the asset is	23	reviewed, let's say, to make sure that it's
24	depreciated. So, therefore, the cost of	24	all copasetic, what sort of processes will
25	service on the Labrador-Island transmission	25	that be worked through?
	Page 34		Page 36
1	link will decline.	1 MR.	BENNETT:
2	MR. JOHNSON:	2 A.	Certainly within - this is Gilbert Bennett.
3	Q. Okay, and -	3	Within Nalcor, we will subject our internal
4	MR. GOUDIE:	4	analysis to review by consultants within our
5	A. Actually, no, I'm sorry, I'm talking about the	5	own Decision Gate process. Our CEO, Ed
6	Labrador-Island transmission link. I should	6	Martin, who is the gatekeeper of that process
7	be talking about Muskrat Falls, sorry, but	7	may request reviews within our own internal
8	it's the same principle.	8	context, and I guess I can't comment on what
9	MR. JOHNSON:	9	might happen beyond that if anything.
10	Q. Same principle, okay. There was a question	`	31 a.m.)
11	that was posed by a customer was what would be		JOHNSON:
12	the - what would be or what is the incremental		I think it might be a space now where I'm
13	standalone kilowatt hour cost of Muskrat Falls	13	going to have to consider if I have anything
14	power all the way to Soldiers Pond under - I	14	left. I just want to check notes, Mr.
15	guess under that - I guess that's the question	15	Chairman, if I could.
16	that's basically posed in #27, I believe.	16 CHA	
1	O'REILLY, Q.C.:		We shall break. I'm not even asking you all,
18	Q. Yeah, and I think we indicated - Mr. Chairman,	18	we're just going to break.
19	just to step in here, there was a response to	19	(RECESS)
20	that question that was filed in CA-27, I think	`	48 a.m.)
21	it was, 27 or 28, and there was some issue		JOHNSON:
22	about whether or not that would inform - the		I think, Mr. O'Reilly, you have a point to
23	answer to that would inform this process, but	23	make.

24 O'REILLY, Q.C.:

25

Q. Yes. Mr. Chairman, Mr. Stratton, who spoke

there seems to be a lot of curiosity about the

issue, and so we agreed yesterday with the

24

4 CHAIRMAN:

6 MR. JOHNSON:

8 O'REILLY, Q.C.:

Q. You're listening.

O. I'm listening.

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 37 previously in response to a question from the 1 2 Consumer Advocate on the projection for the Vale load, he'd like to make a correction to 3

his previous response, I think, so he's 4

sitting in the chair there and would like to 5

address that. 6

7 CHAIRMAN:

O. Sure.

9 MR. STRATTON:

A. Paul Stratton. I just want to clarify what my 10 statements that were made previously that with 11 respect to the Vale load being lower or higher 12 13 based on a set of numbers that were provided to us recently, and with respect to the Vale 14 15 load requirement that was included in our DG 2 16 analysis, the new power requirement provided to us from Vale are modestly higher, not 17 modestly lower, and my earlier statements were 18 with respect to an interim number that was 19 provided to us last spring, but, of course, 20 were not included in the DG 2 analysis. The 21 22 end result of that the requirements are modestly higher and that would improve the 23 preference for the island link. 24 25 MR. JOHNSON:

> Page 38 Page 40

Q. Okay. Perhaps what we could have is just 1 2 confirmation of the change in terms of what 3 was in the reference case and what it's now, so we're dealing with some harder numbers 4 5 maybe.

6 MR. STRATTON:

25

A. Yes, that can be provided, yes.

8 MR. JOHNSON: Q. Mr. Chairman, I don't have anything further for the panel at this stage. However, I would 10 11 say there may be something arising from Board 12 Counsel's questions that I might wish to 13 pursue further. As well, just for the benefit 14 of people who may be watching and providing me 15 email questions, you know, some of them are coming in early in the morning and at night 16 17 time, I'm going to get those together and file 18 them in the proceeding pursuant to my role for 19 Nalcor to answer. There are some further outstanding questions because there's been a 20 21 number of questions, a good number of 22 questions asked in recent days, which not 23 unexpectedly have not been answered as yet, so 24 we're going to have a meeting of counsel to

19 and when we expect it. These additional ones that you're getting now, of course, will go in 20 the pile to be dealt with, but, you know, 21 22 there's a limit to the resources we have available, particularly while these 23 proceedings are going on at the same time. 24 We're endeavouring to do it. We'll work it 25 1 out. 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Q. Okay, thank you. So Madam Greene, I think it's your turn. 4 5 GREENE, Q.C.: Q. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, gentlemen. 6 7 I'd like to follow up first with one of the 8 questions that Mr. Johnson asked just before 9 the break, and it concerns power from the Upper Churchill Power Plant. In responding to 10 11 the question, Mr. Bennett went to the power and energy built into the analysis that would 12 13 come in in 2057. I'd like to discuss the 14 availability of Upper Churchill power prior to

that because that is one of the issues that

has been raised as to why can't the province

wait until 2041 when it will access to Upper

Churchill power, and I just wanted Nalcor to

have the opportunity to be able to respond to

Bennett to MHI Nalcor #3 where that question

was asked by the Board. Could you bring up

that RFI, please, Mr. McNiven. So Mr.

Bennett, with this as a reference, can you

explain why it is not economic to wait until

that question, and I'd like to bring Mr.

schedule will be around that. So I just want

to let people know that I'm getting the

messages and they'll be put on the record.

Q. Mr. Chairman, that's fine, and we can discuss

that - counsel will discuss it, appreciating

the fact that most of the questions up to

February 6th, I think, was the last bunch that

remain outstanding, but leading up to the

preparation for this, it's just been trying to

get the resources to get all these answers

on it - we have a status report to the Board

together and get them filed, and we're working

last Friday and to counsel as to where we were

Page 39

determine where we are on that, and what the

Page 41 2041 to access the Upper Churchill power?

#### 2 MR. BENNETT:

A. Yes, thank you. So there are a couple of 3 considerations here. First of all, from an 4 economic perspective, I guess, if we look at 5 waiting until 2041, the Holyrood facility 6 7 would continue in service for another 30 years approximately from today, we would have to 8 install scrubbers and precipitators on that 9 10 facility, we would still continue with our thermal expansion plan until 2041. So in 11 looking at the economics, the outcome of our 12 analysis was that there would be a substantial 13 premium from an economic perspective to 14 maintaining an isolated scenario until 2041, 15

## 18 GREENE, O.C.:

time.

16

17

1

2

10

11

12

14

25

Q. If you'd turn to page 3 of 3 to that answer, 19 on line 17 to 20 we see the impact on the CPW 20 analysis. Could you please explain what that 21 would be, Mr. Bennett? 22

and then interconnecting at that point in

#### 23 MR. BENNETT:

A. Well, what we're doing here is looking at the 24 cost on the system between now and 2041. We 25

1 GREENE, O.C.:

Q. Okay. One additional question related to that is concerning the amount of recall power still 3 available from the Upper Churchill power 4 contract. What consideration has been given 5 by Nalcor to bringing in the remaining 6 available power over the transmission link 7 when it is built? 8

Page 43

Page 44

#### 9 MR. BENNETT:

10 A. There is a small amount of capacity of power available from Churchill Falls in the peak 11 winter season, but at this point in time with 12 Muskrat Falls delivering 4.9 terawatt hours to 13 the system, we have more than sufficient 14 energy from Muskrat to meet our needs here on 15 16 the island.

## 17 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. In terms of the power policy and the 18 Electrical Power Control Act for least cost 19 power, have you considered whether the 20 recalled power would be a lower rate and a 21 22 lower value than Muskrat Falls power, and 23 whether under the power policy that should be the first to be brought over the link? 24

25 MR. BENNETT:

have the thermal expansion plan in there, so the scrubbers and precipitators at Holyrood,

3 #6 fuel oil, are continued in this plan until

that point in time. From there, a comparison 4 5

to the interconnected plan, we would then

interconnect with Labrador and we would import 6 energy from Labrador to the island. Now one 7

consideration in there is the price reference 8

9 that's used for that supply, and I guess from

a broad perspective, there are two ways that energy could flow. That energy could flow

into the market post 2041 because the power

contract will have expired, or we can move it 13

to the island. In both cases, that energy has

value and we've used that value in our 15 analysis. 16

#### 17 GREENE, Q.C.:

A. That's correct.

Q. So one of the primary reasons is the 18 requirement to burn fuel at Holyrood or 19 another oil fired plant until such time as 20 that power would be available, the cost of 21 that makes it uneconomic and is a major factor 22 in the CPW analysis? 23 24 MR. BENNETT:

Page 42

A. Well, if the link and Muskrat Falls are looked 1 2 at in tandem or together as a combined supply 3 and transmission facility, then Muskrat Falls at the outset has the ability to meet all of 4 5 the needs and the marginal cost of Muskrat Falls energy at that point in time will be

6 7 zero.

# 8 GREENE, O.C.:

9 Q. But in terms of the cost of the remaining recall, would that be a lower cost than the 10 11 Muskrat Falls power?

# 12 MR. BENNETT:

13 A. I suppose you could look at the 80 megawatts that's available in the winter, but the 14 15 question is if you only look at that 80 megawatts, you haven't created a business case 16 for the transmission link. So, therefore, 17 there's no way to get it here. 18

## 19 GREENE, Q.C.:

20 Q. No, and I - if the link is already built, the question is who should have - is Nalcor 21 considering providing the remaining recall 22 power at the lower rates available if it's 23 cheaper and selling the more expensive Muskrat 24 Falls energy west? 25

<b>February 14, 2012</b> M.	Iulti-Page <sup>™</sup>	Muskrat Falls Hearing
Pag	e 45	Page 47
1 MR. BENNETT:	1 GREENE, Q	Q.C.:
2 A. I guess, at the end of the day when you look	2 Q. Rev	ision 1, yes. It's just a confirmation of
at the total value, the value is available on	3 the	capital cost estimates. So we see there
4 a consolidated basis from either source.	4 in li	ne 9 to 10 -
5 GREENE, Q.C.:	5 MR. KEAN	:
6 Q. And that has not been factored into the	6 A. Yes	, that is correct, they were prepared in
7 analysis?	7 201	0.
8 MR. BENNETT:	8 GREENE, C	Q.C.:
9 A. That has not been factored into our analysis.	1	the information on the project cost
10 GREENE, Q.C.:		nates that's before the Board were
11 Q. I'd like to turn now the Decision Gate		lized and completed as of August, 2010?
process, and we did have discussion around	12 MR. KEAN	
that yesterday, and we did hear yesterday the		, that is correct.
difference between Decision Gate 2 and	14 GREENE, C	
Decision Gate 3, and how Nalcor has used or		poking at Decision Gate 2, which used the
chosen the Decision Gate process to assist as	_	tal cost estimate that we have from
it moves forward with the development and I	1	gust, 2010, we had some discussion yesterday
will not go through that ground again, but		o what that meant for a project
there was some follow up from Mr. Johnson's		nition, and yesterday, I believe, Mr.
questions where we still have some uncertainty		rington indicated that, and possibly Mr.
with respect to some of the areas that were		n, but that certainly at Decision Gate 2
covered yesterday. The first thing I wanted		degree of project definition is less than
to talk about is the Decision Gate 2, which is		that you're anticipating for and chosen as
24 the point that Nalcor has passed, it was the		ision Gate 3. So the degree of project
point where project selection to proceed		nition is less at Decision Gate 2 in
Pag		Page 48
1 further to detailed engineering, is that		parison to Decision Gate 3, is that
2 correct?	2 corr	
3 MR. BENNETT:	3 MR. KEAN	
4 A. Yes, that is correct.		, that is correct.
5 GREENE, Q.C.:	5 (11:00 a.n	
6 Q. And the Decision Gate 2 decision was made in		
7 November of 2010, is that correct?	1	l yesterday Mr. Harrington indicated it
8 MR. BENNETT:		ald be approximately 5 percent, and I
9 A. Yes, it is.		dered if you - I'd like him to comment on
10 GREENE, Q.C.:		today. The amount of project definition
Q. And the capital cost estimates that were used		one could typically expect to see at
in the CPW analysis that was completed and		ision Gate 2 is what percentage?
filed with the Board were actually done as of	13 MR. HARR	
August, 2010, is that correct? We can go to PUB Nalcor 39.		l, the AACE standard, which I hope someone help me out -
15 PUB Nalcor 39. 16 MR. BENNETT:	15 can 16 MR. KEAN	•
17 A. I was looking for confirmation from either		on Kean. Typically the amount of
Jason or Paul, but my understanding is that's		nition is driven by the amount of
19 correct.		ineering done is a typical factor in that
20 GREENE, Q.C.:		ard, and for a Gateway Phase 2, which is
21 Q. Yes, and if you'd like to go check, Mr.		cally called Front End Loading Phase 2 in
22 Bennett, we can take a moment. It's PUB		industry, it's somewhere in the range of 5
23 Nalcor 39.		0 percent, depending on the type of
24 MR. MCNIVEN:		ect and the new technology employed or
25 Q. Is that Revision 1?	25 not.	the second secon

February 14, 2012	Multi-P	Page T	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 49		Page 51
1 GREENE, Q.C.:	1	1	know what the standard is, which could be as
2 Q. And the project definition is one of the key	y 2	2	high as 50 percent to the extreme on one side,
factors in developing the project cost	3	3	and the question is, having worked with the DG
4 estimate, is that correct?	4	4	2 classed estimate, where you feel that you
5 MR. KEAN:	5	5	fall within that range of the standard, and
6 A. Yes, the project definition is one of the key	' 6	5	without reading the transcript, and we can if
7 aspects. However, there are several other	r 7	7	you'd like me to, but I guess to paraphrase
8 aspects.	8	8	it, I think - and Mr. Harrington acknowledged
9 GREENE, Q.C.:	g	9	in replying to the question that Mr. Johnson
10 Q. But presumably you need to know what	your 10	)	was pushing him a little bit, but that if he
project is to be able to determine what the	11	1	would be in the range of 15 to 20 percent if -
cost will be to build it, is that correct?	12	2	he thought you were closer to the middle of
13 MR. KEAN:	13	3	the range versus the extremes, and I just
14 A. Yes, that is correct.	14	4	wanted to follow up with you today on that.
15 GREENE, Q.C.:	15	5 MR.	HARRINGTON:
16 Q. Yesterday we also talked about the range the	nat 16	6 A	Paul Harrington. Okay, I wish to clarify your
we associate with a Decision Gate 2 estima	ite, 17	7	question there. Within the standard that
and the AACE Class 4 estimate which is the	ne   18	3	you've quoted, AACEI, there's the wide range
standard and which has been applicable f	or 19	9	of $+50$ to $-30$ , but they also quote $+20$ to $-15$
Decision Gate 2, I think it was indicated the	e 20	)	range as well. So that's the narrow band that
range is to a $+50$ on the high side, to a range	e 21	1	they're talking about, and I think in my
of -50 on the low side. That is the range for	r 22	2	testimony yesterday, I indicated I thought
DG 3 Class 4 estimate?	23	3	that we were closer to the narrower range.
24 MR. KEAN:	24	4	Does that answer your question?
25 A. The range for a DG 2 estimate as per AAC	E 25	5 GRE	ENE, Q.C.:
	Page 50		Page 52
1 recommended practice, 18R 97, is in the ra	nge 1	1 Q.	Yes, and I guess in fairness to - probably we
2 of - for a wide range of industries, -50 to	2	2	should go to the transcript, page 141 from
3 +50. However, we did as part of the overa	dl 3	3	yesterday. If you look at lines 13 to 17,
4 evaluation of the cost estimate for the	4	4	page 141.
5 project, as in our submission in November	er, 5	5 MR.	HARRINGTON:

stated that we went through a risk analysis in 6 7 June 2010, which gave us much greater clarity 8 on what the actual accuracy was.

GREENE, Q.C.:

25

Q. Okay. I guess, perhaps we went to the range, 10 and again it's really not - I'm not looking 11 12 for a specific number from you, but I guess 13 one of the issues yesterday, Mr. Johnson in talking about the range, which is the range 14 15 expressed by the international standard which is a broad range, then brought you to your 16 17 view of the range for the current DG 2 estimate that you have used. In looking at 18 19 the transcript, and I just wanted to have some 20 clarification around it today, because in 21 reading it and listening yesterday there was a 22 little bit - I was confused or left with some 23 confusion as to where you actually were with 24 your view of your range, what you think

A. Yes. 6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. So you just confirm what you've just said, you believe you're more in the middle of the range. Then, I guess, Mr. Bennett also commented on Nalcor's perspective on where it feels its DG 2 estimate is within that range, and if we look further down on page 142, lines 12 to 17, Mr. Bennett stated with respect to the range that it wasn't appropriate for Nalcor to state where it thought it was within the range at this point in time. So my question to you to further understand the type of information we had at DG 2, and when we look at some of the sensitivities as to the relevance of the sensitivities, I wondered, Mr. Bennett, in light of - my understanding is that Nalcor is for this range at -50, which is the extreme range, that for DG 2 estimate, that you are not willing - that you're not

Nalcor's estimate is within that range. We

	Muiu-Page	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 53	Page 55
1 prepared to say where you are, your	riew, 1 par	ticular case when you read the question, it
2 within that range?	2 wa	s, "What was the degree or range of accuracy
3 MR. BENNETT:	3 use	ed by Nalcor for the Decision Gate 2
4 A. Well, I think that when you look at	he 4 est	imate for Muskrat Falls power". The answer
5 process that's followed, of course, we	will 5 did	n't come back with the range. It's one of
6 never know the accuracy of the estimat	until 6 the	se times sometimes 2 and 2 is not 4, it's 2
7 you have a final cost to compare it aga	nst. 7 and	1 2 is green. So I wondered if you could
8 I mean, that's the nature of the process	hat 8 hel	p me understand the answer to that question
9 we're in, and as Mr. Harrington and M	Kean 9 as	to how that deals with the range of
pointed out, there is an evolution a	id 10 acc	curacy around the DG 2 estimate?
progression of the design of the project	and, 11 MR. KEA	1:
therefore, there is a continued evolution	and 12 A. Jas	on Kean. I would like to direct you to PUB
increasing accuracy of estimates using	the 13 Na	lcor 42.
practices that they both set out in the		Q.C.:
presentation yesterday. So when we l	ook at 15 Q. I'd	like to deal with 43 first, please,
that, you know, we identify some tro		cause the question was very specific
First of all, as Mr. Harrington pointed	out, 17 que	estion, and then we can go, of course, to
we've done extensive investigation on	ite, we 18 42,	but let's deal with 43.
19 went through a - completed a ri		1:
identification process, and we have fo		B Nalcor 43 doesn't explicitly respond to
21 attention on the key risks that we see in		question as noted. It does provide
22 project planning and project execution		rity as to what is the contingency that was
from there, it's fine to say, yes, we thin		luded in the DG 2 analysis.
that we have that done, but for Nalcor t	•	
25 the estimate is within this specific ran	ge, 25 Q. An	d the contingency, you mentioned to me
	Page 54	Page 56
it's a very difficult thing to do based or	the 1 bet	Fore that one of the elements in a project
2 nature of the process that we're in.	50 2 cos	st estimate is the estimate of the cost, the
3 people have pointed out that the extrem	e edge 3 cap	pital cost estimate. Another component is
4 of the range is -30 to +50 percent. The		contingency, is that correct?
5 a narrow end of the spectrum, as	Ir. 5 MR. KEA	<b>1</b> :
6 Harrington just pointed out as well, but		s, that is correct.
7 than completing the analysis that we've	done, 7 GREENE,	Q.C.:
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So	Q.C.: the answer to the question then on Nalcor
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43	Q.C.: the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that the	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea	Q.C.: the answer to the question then on Nalcor
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that the estimate is, you know, at this point in the stimate is the complete of the complete	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAN	Q.C.: the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range? N:
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that th estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. That	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEA1 was 12 A. Ye	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy.
than completing the analysis that we've that the second of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that th estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. Tha the point I was trying to make yesterda	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAI was 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE,	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy.  Q.C.:
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that th estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. That the point I was trying to make yesterda GREENE, Q.C.:	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAN was 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE, 14 Q. Oh	Q.C.: the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range? N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy. Q.C.: , I'm sure it does, but can you explain -
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that th estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. That the point I was trying to make yesterda GREENE, Q.C.:  15 Q. Right, and we're only talking about a	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAI was 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE, 14 Q. Oh DG2 15 and	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy.  Q.C.: , I'm sure it does, but can you explain - I that's why perhaps you can help me
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that th estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. That the point I was trying to make yesterda GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Right, and we're only talking about a Class estimate as well.	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAI was 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE, 14 Q. Oh DG2 15 and 16 und	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy. Q.C.: , I'm sure it does, but can you explain - l that's why perhaps you can help me derstand the answer and how it related to
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that the estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. That the point I was trying to make yesterda GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Right, and we're only talking about a Class estimate as well.	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAN was 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE, 14 Q. Oh DG2 15 and 16 und 17 wh	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  S: s, contingency does relate to accuracy.  Q.C.: , I'm sure it does, but can you explain - l that's why perhaps you can help me derstand the answer and how it related to at the range was?
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that the estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. That the point I was trying to make yesterda GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Right, and we're only talking about a Class estimate as well.  MR. BENNETT:  A. That's correct.	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAI was 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE, 14 Q. Oh DG2 15 and 16 und 17 wh 18 MR. KEAI	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy.  Q.C.: , I'm sure it does, but can you explain - ld that's why perhaps you can help me derstand the answer and how it related to at the range was?  N:
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that the estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. That the point I was trying to make yesterda I4 GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Right, and we're only talking about a Class estimate as well.  MR. BENNETT:  A. That's correct.	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAI was 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE, 14 Q. Oh DG2 15 and 16 und 17 wh 18 MR. KEAI 19 A. Ye	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy.  Q.C.: , I'm sure it does, but can you explain - l that's why perhaps you can help me derstand the answer and how it related to at the range was?  N: s, if I could direct you to PUB Nalcor 42.
than completing the analysis that we've that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that th estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. Tha the point I was trying to make yesterda GREENE, Q.C.: Q. Right, and we're only talking about a Class estimate as well. TMR. BENNETT: A. That's correct. GREENE, Q.C.: Wonder, Mr. McNiven, if you could b	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAN 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE, 14 Q. Oh DG2 15 and 16 und 17 wh 18 MR. KEAN 19 A. Ye ing up, 20 GREENE,	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy.  Q.C.: , I'm sure it does, but can you explain - l that's why perhaps you can help me derstand the answer and how it related to at the range was?  N: s, if I could direct you to PUB Nalcor 42.  Q.C.:
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that the estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. That the point I was trying to make yesterda the GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Right, and we're only talking about a Class estimate as well.  MR. BENNETT:  A. That's correct.  GREENE, Q.C.:  GREENE, Q.C.:  Under Mr. McNiven, if you could be please, PUB Nalcor 43. The Board had	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAI was 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE, 14 Q. Oh DG2 15 and 16 um 17 wh 18 MR. KEAI 19 A. Ye ing up, asked 21 Q. Su	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy.  Q.C.: , I'm sure it does, but can you explain - ld that's why perhaps you can help me derstand the answer and how it related to at the range was?  N: s, if I could direct you to PUB Nalcor 42.  Q.C.: re.
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that the estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. That the point I was trying to make yesterda the GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Right, and we're only talking about a Class estimate as well.  MR. BENNETT:  A. That's correct.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. I wonder, Mr. McNiven, if you could be please, PUB Nalcor 43. The Board has this question as well during the RFI pro-	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAN 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE, 14 Q. Oh DG2 15 and 16 und 17 wh 18 MR. KEAN 19 A. Ye ing up, asked 21 Q. Su ess, 22 MR. KEAN	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy.  Q.C.: , I'm sure it does, but can you explain - l that's why perhaps you can help me derstand the answer and how it related to at the range was?  N: s, if I could direct you to PUB Nalcor 42.  Q.C.: re. N:
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that the estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. That the point I was trying to make yesterdated GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Right, and we're only talking about a Class estimate as well.  MR. BENNETT:  A. That's correct.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. I wonder, Mr. McNiven, if you could be please, PUB Nalcor 43. The Board had this question as well during the RFI products and this was - sometimes when we	done, 7 GREENE, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAN 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE, 14 Q. Oh DG2 15 and 16 und 17 wh 18 MR. KEAN 19 A. Ye ing up, asked 21 Q. Su ess, 22 MR. KEAN ask 23 A. Th	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy.  Q.C.: , I'm sure it does, but can you explain - l that's why perhaps you can help me derstand the answer and how it related to at the range was?  N: s, if I could direct you to PUB Nalcor 42.  Q.C.: re. N: is particular RFI, the response deals with
than completing the analysis that we've other than reviewing the nature of the that's been completed, it would be difficult to say that we think that the estimate is, you know, at this point in within these specific parameters. That the point I was trying to make yesterda the GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Right, and we're only talking about a Class estimate as well.  MR. BENNETT:  A. That's correct.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. I wonder, Mr. McNiven, if you could be please, PUB Nalcor 43. The Board has this question as well during the RFI pro-	done, work 8 Q. So ery 9 43 10 rea me 11 MR. KEAI was 12 A. Ye 13 GREENE, 14 Q. Oh DG2 15 and 16 und 17 wh 18 MR. KEAI 19 A. Ye ing up, asked 21 Q. Su ess, 22 MR. KEAI ask 23 A. Th you 24 par	the answer to the question then on Nalcor with respect to a contingency, did that lly relate to the accuracy range?  N: s, contingency does relate to accuracy.  Q.C.: , I'm sure it does, but can you explain - l that's why perhaps you can help me derstand the answer and how it related to at the range was?  N: s, if I could direct you to PUB Nalcor 42.  Q.C.: re. N:

redruary 14, 2012	Mulu-Page	Muskrat rans nearing
	Page 57	Page 59
1 to AACE International Recommended	Practice, 1 MR. KEAN:	
2 #18R-97, typically accuracy ranges fo	r a Class 2 A. Yes,	most certainly we will have greater
4 estimate can be -15 to -30 on the lov	v side, 3 conf	idence.
4 to $+20$ to $+50$ percent on the high	side, 4 GREENE, Q.	C.:
5 depending on the technical complexit		heard a little bit yesterday about the work
6 project, the degree of project definit		has been ongoing since November 2010
7 appropriate reference information,		the current date. I'd like now to talk
8 inclusion of appropriate continger		at the commitments that have been made to
9 determination".	•	us an appreciation of how much work has
10 GREENE, Q.C.:	<u> </u>	done. I wonder, Mr. McNiven, if you
11 Q. Thank you. So that is one of the com		d bring up PUB Nalcor 178.
1		
-		
the range when you get to the total pr		Nalcor 178?
14 cost?	14 GREENE, Q.	
15 MR. KEAN:		Nalcor 178.
16 A. That's correct.	16 MR. MCNIV	
17 GREENE, Q.C.:		n't have that -
18 Q. The next decision point is Decision (		
The degree of accuracy that would		respect to that, it was a response to an
associated with a project cost estimate		hat was filed, I believe on Friday, so we
21 3 is the AACE Class 3 estimate, is t	hat 21 can	go through it as well. The question was
22 correct?	22 how	much money had been spent by Nalcor from
23 MR. KEAN:	23 DG 2	, which was November 2010, up until the
24 A. Yes, that is correct.	24 end	of December 31st, until just six weeks
25 GREENE, Q.C.:	25 ago	So how much money was spent.
	Page 58	Page 60
1 Q. And the range of accuracy for that level is	-	•
2 MR. KEAN:		ne response to PUB Nalcor 178, the answer
3 A. The range of accuracy for a Class 3, it var		the total expenditures incurred for the
depending on the industry, but as per AA		od from DG 2, November 2010, to December
5 Recommended Practices, it's usually in	-	, 2011, was approximately 82.8 million
6 range of -20 to -10 on the low side, +10		
7 +30 on the high side. I will also add that in		
8 the aspects of hydro power construction, v		y, and then the forecast expenditures until
9 of course is the project that we're speakir		·
of today, there is a working group that is	·	
sitting to develop appropriate recommer		forecast expenditures from January 1st,
practice for that industry, and I'm actually		2, up to DG 3, are estimated at
		coximately 12 to 15 million dollars per
provide in future greater clarity.	14 mor	
15 GREENE, Q.C.:	15 (11:15 a.n	
16 Q. Around the range of accuracy for hydro?	16 GREENE, C	
17 MR. KEAN:		I believe yesterday you indicated that you
18 A. Yes.	_	ected to be in a position to make a
19 GREENE, Q.C.:		ision Gate 3 decision in June of 2012, is
Q. So as we move forward to DG 3, we would	1	correct?
21 to see a better - because you have mor		
project definition for the project, the		t's correct.
23 expectation is that you have more confide		
in the cost estimate that will be used at that	,	hat would be approximately using the 15
decision point is that correct?	25 mill	ion - another 90 million dollars you

million - another 90 million dollars you

decision point, is that correct?

Fe	bruary 14, 2012	Multi-	Page "	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	]	Page 61		Page 63
1	expect to spend?		1 MR. H	ARRINGTON:
2	MR. HARRINGTON:		2 A.	It's very - I mean, we're in a bidding
3	A. Within the region of 12 to 15 million		3	process, so for me to provide the Board or
4	dollars per month at the high end, yes.		4	anybody with information that would provide to
5	GREENE, Q.C.:		5	the bidder -
6	Q. And that would give some indication of the		6 GREE	NE, Q.C.:
7	amount of engineering, financial, other typ	e	7 Q.	And I don't mean by individual tender. I just
8	work that has been done to further refine th	e	8	meant a sum - rule of thumb, you know, how
9	project definition, to further define the		9	much money, the value of the work that's ready
10	project cost estimate, is that correct?		10	to be awarded from a global perspective, not
11	MR. HARRINGTON:		11	by each individual.
12	A. That is correct.		12 MR. H	ARRINGTON:
13	GREENE, Q.C.:		13 A.	Oh, okay. We can do that. Would you like me
14	Q. Part of the work that has been ongoing is to	<b>I</b>	14	to step through the package titles now?
15	prepare tenders as well that will be required	i	15 GREE	NE, Q.C.:
16	for the work, is that correct?		16 Q.	I don't know if you need to do the titles, but
17	MR. HARRINGTON:		17	you can give some indication of the type of
18	A. That is correct.		18	work you're ready to go to tender with.
19	GREENE, Q.C.:		19 MR. K	EAN:
20	Q. I wonder, Mr. McNiven, if you could go to	I	20 A.	This is Jason Kean. I can step through each
21	website for Nalcor for Lower Churchill, wh	nere :	21	of the RFPs, Request for Proposals, from the
22	the tenders are posted. I wonder if you coul	d :	22	top, if you wish, and provide clarity as to
23	just describe some of the tenders that are		23	the scope and boundaries of those.
24	outstanding, whether this list is up to date,		24 GREE	NE, Q.C.:
25	and to give us an indication of the total		25 Q.	Or some of them can be broken down by subject,
	I	Page 62		Page 64
1	value of the tenders that are ready to be		1	like, getting the site ready. I was thinking
2	awarded?		2	more of a high level - we don't need to go
3	MR. HARRINGTON:		3	through each line item.
4	A. I can talk to the actual package titles, but I		4 MR. K	KEAN:
5	really don't have the value of those at my		5 A.	So these - okay. Starting Package CH0048,
6	fingertips.		6	there was an expression of interest issued,
7	GREENE, Q.C.:		7	and subsequently a request for proposal for
8	Q. I wonder if Nalcor could provide some	e	8	the actual clearing of vegetation associated
9	indication of the total value of the tenders		9	with the access road and the laydown areas at
10	that are either now ready - that are listed as		10	the Muskrat Falls site. That then facilitates
11	pending, or ready to be awarded.		11	the construction of the access road, which is
12	O'REILLY, Q.C.:		12	noted as Package CH004, which is approximately
13	Q. I'm sorry, would you repeat that?		13	a 19 kilometre access road from the Trans
14	GREENE, Q.C.:		14	Labrador Highway to the generation site at
15	Q. I wonder if Nalcor could provide an indicat	ion	15	Muskrat Falls. Further, if you could just
16	of the value of the tenders that are listed as		16	scroll up again, there are noted some packages
17	being ready to be awarded or that are pendi	-	17	for equipment associated with construction
18	the ones they have listed on the website?		18	power, specifically Package PH0036, 37, 38,
19	O'REILLY, Q.C.:		19	that are packages that are required to
20	Q. A listing of those?	I	20	facilitate the distribution of construction
21	GREENE, Q.C.:		21	power around the Muskrat Falls construction
22	Q. Just the value - no, the listing is there; the		22	site. That is some of the key early
23	value, how much money are we talking a		23	infrastructure works that must be established.
24	that Nalcor is ready to award or almost read	- 1	24	You will also note on the top there is a
25	to award.	]:	25	Package CT0341, which is an Expression of

Page 65 Page 67 Interest related to the right-of-way clearing Q. Yesterday we talked a bit about the activities 1 1 2 of the transmission line between Muskrat Falls 2 that must be done before you're in a position and Churchill Falls. There is also Packages to move to DG3 and I think your slide 43 3 3 CH0023 and 24, which are Expression of listed some of the principal activities. Some 4 4 Interest related to seek firms that may wish of these activities are within Nalcor's 5 5 6 to participate in the clearing of the Muskrat control and some are with external parties. 6 7 Falls reservoir. Package CH003 and CH002 Mr. Harrington mentioned yesterday or said 7 relate to the facilities, the infrastructure yesterday that you would be in a position to 8 8 facilities that must be established at Muskrat make a Decision Gate 3 in June. Did that 9 9 10 Falls, specifically the 1500 person 10 include all of the activities with external accommodations complex and the associated 11 parties or was it related only to Nalcor's 11 administrative buildings. 12 12 activities? 13 GREENE, O.C.: 13 MR. HARRINGTON: A. Paul Harrington. I was referring to the 14 Q. So in looking at this, you get the impression 14 that Nalcor is putting itself in a position to activities that were within my control. 15 15 16 start the project immediately with DG 3 16 GREENE, Q.C.: approval. Is that correct? Q. So that would be doing the project definition, 17 17 updating your cost estimate, those kinds of 18 MR. BENNETT: 18 things? Is that correct? 19 A. We're preparing for potential project sanction 19 and I think there is a -- there are two stages 20 MR. HARRINGTON: 20 to our Decision Gate process. First is a A. Those kinds of things, that is correct. 21 21 22 series of analyses that need to be done in 22 GREENE, O.C.: order to confirm a sanction decision, at which 23 23 Q. There are other activities that are listed point funds will be made available for here on the slide. The first one, which is 24 24 construction start. The other aspect of our the engineering to increase project definition 25 25 Page 68 Page 66 planning process is to make sure that we're 1 and obtain a class three estimate, that's what 1 2 ready to take that work on and in order to 2 you and I just talked about, isn't it, Mr. 3 demonstrate and be actually prepared, we need 3 Harrington? to get expressions of interest, request for 4 4 MR. HARRINGTON: 5 proposals compiled so that we understand the A. Paul Harrington. Yes, that is correct. costs and we're prepared to move. 6 6 GREENE, Q.C.: 7 Issuing the RFP is not a commitment to 7 Q. Okay. Similarly, the next one, the ultimately award the RFP, an important point 8 8 procurement and contracting of long lead to make. I think the other important point 9 9 times, that's again within Nalcor's control? here is that some of these packages are of 10 Is that correct? 10 11 significant value and it's important for us to 11 MR. HARRINGTON: 12 have those as inputs into our DG3 estimates. 12 A. That's correct, and the previous question kind So activities like reservoir clearing, the 13 13 of alluded to some of that activity. construction camp, those are large ticket 14 14 GREENE, Q.C.: 15 items in the capital cost estimate and would Q. The next bullet, aboriginal consultations and 15 need to be -- it would be very helpful if they agreements, that would be one I would classify 16 16 17 were available to us in greater detail than we 17 in the category of there is an external party would have from initial estimate. 18 18 that has some input into the timing for that. 19 Can you provide an anticipated date when that 19 GREENE, O.C.: Q. Do you plan on awarding any of these contracts 20 will be concluded to your satisfaction to 20 21 or these tenders prior to DG3? 21 allow you to move to DG3? 22 MR. BENNETT: 22 MR. HARRINGTON: A. A decision has not been made to award those 23 23 A. The aboriginal consultation of course, you

24

25

know, we have the New Dawn Agreement with the

Innu Nation which was a significant step along

24

packages.

25 GREENE, Q.C.:

Fel	bruary 14, 2012 N	<b>Iulti-</b>	Page <sup>T</sup>	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Pag	e 69		Page 71
1	that path towards DG3 and in fact towards DG2		1	Comprehensive Study for the transmission, the
2	We are also carrying out consultations with		2	Labrador-Island transmission link will be
3	aboriginal groups in accordance with the		3	filed imminently with the two governments. It
4	requirements of the environmental assessmen	t	4	is a comprehensive study. It's not a panel
5	processes which are under way. So aboriginal		5	process. That will go into that process
6	consultation is an ongoing event. We also		6	within, I expect, the next month and a half.
7	consult with aboriginal groups regarding		7 GRE	ENE, Q.C.:
8	permitting as well. So it's an ongoing thing.			So again, that would be more definitive than
9	It's not necessarily on or off. It's just		9	the aboriginal consultations? There will be
10	making sure that, yes, that's something that	1	0	clearance or no clearance that will allow you
11	we check that we're on target.		1	to go to DG3?
12	GREENE, Q.C.:			BENNETT:
13	Q. So that's not a trigger that must be pulled			That's right.
14	before you get to DG3?			ENE, Q.C.:
1	MR. HARRINGTON:			We've already had limited discussion on the
16	A. Yes, it's a degree of readiness. Can I		6	Federal loan guarantee. Is that something
17	characterize it in that way? It's not	1	7	that must be in place before DG3 decision can
18	necessarily on or off. It's a matter of how	1	8	be made?
19	far along you are and you know, it's up to			BENNETT:
20	others to make that decision, yes, we are far			I think that decision would depend on the
21	enough along.		21	progress and outcome of negotiations at the
- 1	GREENE, Q.C.:		22	time. You know, we're working with Canada.
23	Q. Now the environmental release is the next		23	The province is working with Canada. I can't
24	bullet where is that a situation where		24	make a determination as to whether that's a
25	there actually has to there will be a		25	prerequisite.
	·	e 70		Page 72
1	definite point at which you know you have		1 GRE	ENE, Q.C.:
2	environmental release and you can proceed? Is			So that's a maybe, okay. What about the
3	that different than the aboriginal	,	3	agreement with Emera and the Maritime link?
4	consultations?			BENNETT:
	MR. BENNETT:			The analysis that we've used for DG2 is not
6	A. It's Gilbert Bennett. Yes, we will receive		6	does not indicate that Emera and the
7	ultimately a response to the Joint Panel		7	conclusion of those agreements is a
8	Review Report in the form of a decision by the	۹ ا	8	prerequisite to selecting Muskrat Falls or the
9	Federal and Provincial governments.		9	Labrador-Island link as a preferred
- 1	GREENE, Q.C.:	1	0	alternative.
11	Q. And that dealt with the generation facilities?			ENE, Q.C.:
- 1	MR. BENNETT:			Other activities that would be done before
13	A. Yes, that's right.		3	DG3, we've talked about some of them as well.
1	GREENE, Q.C.:		4	One is a new load forecast. Is that correct,
15	Q. Do you have an update as to the anticipated		5	an update of the load forecast and the
16	date for that decision?		16	generation expansion plan?
1	MR. BENNETT:			HUMPHRIES:
18	A. Those are both Cabinet decisions and we			Yes, that's correct.
19	wouldn't have a committed date from either			ENE, Q.C.:
20	government.			. When do you anticipate that that will be
- 1	GREENE, Q.C.:		20 Q 21	completed?
22	Q. What about the environmental assessment			HUMPHRIES:
23	process for the transmission line?			That will be done in anticipation of DG3,
	MR DENNETT		A	which is midveen June

25 GREENE, Q.C.:

which is midyear, June.

Impact Statement

A. The Environmental

24 MR. BENNETT:

Page 73

- Q. The CPW analysis, will that be updated for
- 2 **Decision Gate 3?**
- 3 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. It's Paul Humphries. Yes, it will.
- 5 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. And again, the timing for that?
- 7 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. It will be at the same time of the strategist
- analysis. It will all be done as a complete
- 10 package.
- 11 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. And it all comes together in midyear, is it? 12
- 13 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. Yeah.
- 15 GREENE, O.C.:
- Q. When the engineering work is done as well?
- 17 (11:30 a.m.)
- 18 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. Well, there's going to be -- there will be a
- slight delay between the finalization of costs 20
- and the completion of that review and 21
- analysis, updated analysis, but it will all be 22
- in that general time frame, yes. 23
- 24 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. And there had been a previous risk assessment, 25

Page 74

24

- a project risk assessment completed for DG2. 1
- 2 Will there be an updated risk assessment
- provided for the project as of DG3? 3
- 4 MR. KEAN:
- A. Jason Kean. Yes, the risk assessment process 5
- is an ongoing process. A thorough and 6
- comprehensive risk analysis will be completed 7
- pre DG3 to define the appropriate accuracy, as 8
- well as a contingency recommendation.
- 10 GREENE, O.C.:
- 11 Q. And that updated risk assessment will identify
- the key risks as determined following your 12
- detailed engineering? Is that correct? And 13
- the mitigation strategies that deal with the 14
- 15 risks?
- 16 MR. KEAN:
- 17 A. Jason Kean. Risk management is an ongoing
- activity within the project. The purpose of 18
- the risk analysis is to translate -- is now 19
- once you have completed a base cost and 20
- schedule expectation, you consider the risks 21
- that are around those and the analysis 22
- facilitates a more informed decision about 23
- 24 contingencies ranges.
- 25 GREENE, Q.C.:

Page 75 Q. So the risk assessment you'll have done to

- support the DG3 decision will identify all of 2
- the major risks that you will have identified 3
- at that point in time? Is that correct? 4
- 5 MR. KEAN:
- A. Yes, that is the intention.
- 7 GREENE, O.C.:
  - Q. And it will include what the mitigation
- strategies are to deal with the identified
- 10 risks?
- 11 MR. KEAN:
- A. Jason Kean. Yes, that is the intention.
- 13 GREENE, O.C.:
- 14 O. Another item that had been mentioned in the
- documentation filed by Nalcor that was a 15
- 16 critical factor is the successful completion
- of your pilot on the horizontal drilling. Do 17
- you view that as a show stopper for DG3, in 18
- other words, you must have a successful 19
- completion of a pilot for the HDD drilling? 20
- 21 MR. HARRINGTON:
- 22 A. This is Paul Harrington. Currently, the
- horizontal directional drilled hole is at 1.5 23
  - kilometres. So you know, we're pretty close
- right now to doing the initial hole. The 25

Page 76 second part of that geotechnical information 1

- that we're gathering and putting together will 2
- include a reaming out of that existing hole. 3
- So you know, it's not an on-off type 4
- 5 situation. We are pretty confident that we --
- you know, that the technology is robust. We 6
- just wanted to gather all of the geotechnical 7
- information together on that pilot hole to 8
- 9 make sure that we weren't missing anything.
- 10 GREENE, O.C.:
- 11 Q. So will the successful completion of the
- pilot, will you require that that be completed 12
- before you make a DG3 decision? 13
- 14 MR. HARRINGTON:
- A. No. 15
- 16 GREENE, O.C.:
- 17 Q. Okay. So that's not one, what I call a show
- stopper? 18
- 19 MR. HARRINGTON:
- A. No. 20
- 21 GREENE, O.C.:
- Q. Okay. Are there other items that must be 22
- completed before you'll be able to move 23
- through DG3, other than the ones we've just 24
- 25 talked about?

February 14, 2012	Multi-Pa	age <sup>TM</sup>	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	age 77		Page 79
1 MR. HARRINGTON:	_	GREE	ENE, Q.C.:
2 A. I think there was another slide which	2	Q.	I meant in terms of a DG3 for your project
identified again, you know, some of them	1 3		definition to allow you to go to tender. I
are within my purview and some aren't, so it	's 4		meant complete but for what you require for
5 difficult for me to answer that question	5		DG3.
6 absolutely because I can't.	6	MR. F	HARRINGTON:
7 GREENE, Q.C.:	7	A.	Well, of course, if it's complete.
8 Q. If you look at the slide that's up there now	8	GREE	ENE, Q.C.:
9 that we talked about first, slide 43, those	9	Q.	And all the other activities my only point
are the ones that Nalcor had outlined as being	g 10		is that all of these activities are going to
required prior to DG3. We just talked about	11		come together in midyear so that you can make
some additional ones that weren't shown of	n   12		a decision.
slide 43 and my question is: in addition to	13	MR. E	BENNETT:
what's on slide 43 and in addition to the ones	s 14	A.	It's Gilbert Bennett. To the extent that some
we just talked about, is there anything, from	15		of those factors are beyond our control, we
your perspective, that needs to be completed	d 16		couldn't commit that they would be done
before you're in a position to make the	17		ultimately by midyear.
decision at DG3?	18	GREE	ENE, Q.C.:
19 MR. HARRINGTON:	19	Q.	And that -
20 A. Paul Harrington. Looking at this list, which	20	MR. E	BENNETT:
is fairly broad, I would say that from a	21	A.	But we have an expectation that, you know, if
project perspective, we've covered off all of	22		those processes process normally, yes, they
the items there.	23		would be complete by midyear.
24 GREENE, Q.C.:	24	GREE	ENE, Q.C.:
25 Q. And the anticipation right now is that all of	25	Q.	Okay. So that's all I was what is the
Pa	age 78		Page 80
1 these activities will be at a point where you	1		anticipated date for all of these activities.
2 hope to be able to make a decision	2		It is the same, okay. And at that point in
3 approximately midyear about proceeding to	3		time, at DG3, we see that we do have a fuller
4 project sanction?	4		definition of the project and a more from
5 MR. HARRINGTON:	5		your perspective, you will have greater
6 A. That is correct.	6		confidence in the cost estimates that we have
7 GREENE, Q.C.:	7		before the than we have the estimate that's
8 Q. For all of the factors we just talked about?	8		now before the Board?
9 They're all going to come together so that in	9	MR. F	HARRINGTON:
midyear you will be in a position to review	10	A.	I couldn't quite hear what you said at the end
and make a recommendation on whether you hav	e 11		there, sorry.
successfully met DG3?	12	GREE	ENE, Q.C.:
13 MR. HARRINGTON:	13	Q.	Okay. Right now, as we've already talked
14 A. Paul Harrington. Yes, that is what the	14		about, the estimate that the Board has before
project team is striving to achieve.	15		it is as of August 2010?
16 GREENE, Q.C.:	16		HARRINGTON:
17 Q. Okay. So the anticipated date, not only for	17		That is correct.
the project engineering work to be complete	18		ENE, Q.C.:
and the project estimate and the schedule to	19	Q.	You hope to make a decision in midyear on
100 houndated it is not 11. Tour Come 11. C	100		mma a a adim a ta mmaia at a amatia m sushama suass susill

21

22

23

24

25

Page 77 - Page 80

proceeding to project sanction where you will

have an updated number of items that are

critical to you, one of which will be a new

cost estimate for the project which will be based on a better definition as you've evolved

the definition of what's required for the

complete, I think is what you said?

be updated, it is actually June for all of

those activities? Is that what I take from

A. Paul Harrington here. The engineering

your response?

23 MR. HARRINGTON:

20

21

22

24

February 14, 2012	Multi-Page		'age ''' Muskrat Falls Heari		
Pag	ge 81		Page 83		
1 project?	-	1 GRI	EENE, Q.C.:		
2 MR. HARRINGTON:		2 (	2. In terms of the forecast that was presented to		
3 A. Yes, that is correct.		3	the Board for the analysis for the in-feed		
4 GREENE, Q.C.:		4	versus Isolated island scenario, did you		
5 Q. So approximately midyear in 2012, there will	11	5	extend the normal time frame that you look at		
6 be all of this updated information available		6	in doing the load forecast?		
7 which will provide a greater which will be		7 MR.	STRATTON:		
8 different than what's now before the Board in	n	8 A	A. Yes, that is correct. Our traditional		
9 terms of the cost estimate, the degree of		9	forecast would be for a period of 20 years.		
10 accuracy for that estimate, the project	1	10 GRI	EENE, Q.C.:		
definition, et cetera?	1	11 (	2. And how did you take it then from the 20-year		
12 MR. HARRINGTON:	1	12	period you normally do for the entire period		
13 A. Yes.	1	13	for the sensitivity analysis, which is what,		
14 GREENE, Q.C.:	1	14	57 years or so after 2067?		
15 Q. I wanted now to turn to the topic of load, so	1	15 MR	STRATTON:		
perhaps Mr. Stratton might like to join the	1	16 A	Yes, the methodology that we applied in this		
panel for this.	1	17	instance was we looked at the total provincial		
18 Yesterday, Mr. Stratton, you stated that	1	18	require or sorry, the total island		
the last forecast or the forecast that was	1	19	requirements of load in the latter period of		
used for the analysis that is before the Board	2	20	the forecast and we took an average of the		
was 2010 load forecast? Is that correct?	2	21	last five year period and projected that out		
22 MR. STRATTON:	2	22	an average load increment and extended that		
23 A. Paul Stratton. Yes, that is correct.	2	23	out with allowances for electric heat		
24 GREENE, Q.C.:	2	24	saturation in the province because one of the		
25 Q. Okay. When does Nalcor normally prepare	a 2	25	major drivers of load in the province is		
Pag	ge 82		Page 84		
load forecast?		1	electric heat. Once our electric heat is		
2 MR. STRATTON:		2	saturated, then our load will be more a		
3 A. Paul Stratton. Our forecasting process is		3	function of our economic growth in the		
4 generally an annual forecast process that is		4	province and the end, or I guess we tailored		
5 undertaken in the fall of the year and is		5	that down in the load forecast to what we		
6 finalized sometime in the spring of the year.		6	expect would be an economic growth load for		
7 What's involved with that is an economic		7	the island.		
8 forecast from the Department of Finance that	.t	8 GRI	EENE, Q.C.:		
9 flows into that, an energy price forecast that		9 (	One of the questions that has arisen is the		
is provided to us from the PIRA Energy Group.	p, 1	10	length of the forecast period, and what is		
and our own internal estimates of wholesale	1	11	your level of confidence in taking out what		
pricing of electricity.	1	12	you normally do for a 20-year forecast, out		
13 GREENE, Q.C.:	1	13	for an additional 37 years? Does that affect		
Q. So this is an annual exercise that you look at	1	14	the confidence level you have in your load		
every year normally?	1	15	forecast?		
16 MR. STRATTON:	1	16 MR	STRATTON:		
17 A. That is correct.	1	17 A	A. I guess as a load forecaster, I would expect		
18 GREENE, Q.C.:	1	18	that as time goes out in the load forecast,		
1.0 0 WI 21'-1 C 20110					

20

21

22

23

24 GREENE, Q.C.:

25 Q. Okay. Looking at the industrial component of

more error in that forecast.

forecast over that time.

there is the possibility that there would be

methodology that we have used, as a

forecaster, I believe that to be a reasonable

But the

A. Because of all the -- we decided to keep a

flow into this, the DG2 analysis and to

complete this process with the Public

consistent set of forecasts and information to

Q. Why wasn't it done for 2011?

Utilities Board.

19

21

22

23

24

25

20 MR. STRATTON:

repruary 14, 2012 Mult	i-Page Muskrat Fans nearing
Page 85	Page 87
the load forecast and just to get an	this assumption that my support team have done
2 appreciation for the size of that in	the calculations properly for me, because
3 comparison to the load, would you agree that	they've also and I don't expect you to do
4 the total the industrial load as a	4 the calculation there, but -
5 percentage of the total Island load can vary	5 MR. STRATTON:
6 from 17 to 20-21 percent depending on the year	6 A. Well, in our -
7 we're looking at in your forecast period?	7 GREENE, Q.C.:
8 MR. STRATTON:	8 Q roughly 17 to 20 percent is the industrial
9 A. I think I need to see a point of reference	9 load?
10 here.	10 MR. STRATTON:
11 GREENE, Q.C.:	11 A. Yes, that is correct.
12 Q. If you want to look at Exhibit 1, Addendum 3.	12 GREENE, Q.C.:
Normally what is the percentage of the	13 Q. Okay. And it's just to give people a context
industrial load of the total island load?	of what we're talking about. There's no trick
That was the only question I was asking, and	questions. It's just to give people a
16 I'm saying it varies year by year, but roughly	16 context. The next is of the industrial load,
is in the range 17-18 percent up to 20-21	what percentage is the Corner Brook load? So
percent over the forecast period.	if we're talking roughly 20 percent as
19 MR. STRATTON:	industrial load, who's your largest customer
20 A. I'm not sure I understand your question,	and what percentage of the industrial load is
21 sorry.	Corner Brook Pulp and Paper Mill? There see,
22 GREENE, Q.C.:	I've given you a hint in the question of
23 Q. Of the total load for the island, so much is	23 Corner Brook.
domestic. We usually broke it down into big	24 MR. STRATTON:
categories as domestic or residential load;	25 A. Corner Brook will represent the largest load
Page 86	Page 88
1 there's the industrial load.	in the industrial customer class.
2 MR. STRATTON:	2 GREENE, Q.C.:
3 A. Correct.	3 Q. Okay. And subject to checking, will you agree
4 GREENE, Q.C.:	4 that it's roughly it's over half of the
5 Q. Two of them together, the residential load	5 industrial load comes from the Corner Brook
6 which in that I include general service, all	6 Pulp and Paper mill, subject to checking?
7 of your small businesses, that plus the large	7 MR. STRATTON:
8 industrial customers make up the total island	8 A. Corner Brook is roughly 800 and sorry,
9 load.	9 roughly 960 gigawatt hour load and our total
10 MR. STRATTON:	industrial load requirement I believe is
11 A. Correct.	approximately around 1200 gigawatt hours.
12 GREENE, Q.C.:	12 GREENE, Q.C.:
13 Q. Okay. What's the breakdown between those two	13 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear the last part, your
big components of the load? The residential	14 total?
load is what percent versus the industrial	15 MR. STRATTON:
load?	16 A. Total industrial requirements would be
17 (11:45 a.m.)	approximately 1200 gigawatt hours.
18 MR. STRATTON:	18 GREENE, Q.C.:
19 A. I'm not sure I have those numbers in front of	19 Q. And Corner Brook load is?
20 me. I can get them.	20 MR. STRATTON:
21 GREENE, Q.C.:	21 A. Now that's currently.
22 Q. Sure. It's only at a high level. We have a	22 GREENE, Q.C.:
23 total island load composed of two big	23 Q. Yes.
components. What's the percentage of one and	24 MR. STRATTON:
roughly, if you look at it, let's accept for	25 A. That's not in the forecast.

February 14, 2012	Multi-Pa	age TM	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 89		Page 91
1 GREENE, Q.C.:	1		with them twice a year?
2 Q. Right. So if you look out over the period	1 2	MR. S	TRATTON:
again at the forecast, subject to checking,	3	A.	We would in the past, we have always been
4 you'll agree that Kruger is at least half of	4		consistent in our approach with dealing with
5 the load generally of the industrial load?	5		the industrial customers and their
6 MR. STRATTON:	6		requirements and back when Abitibi's, Abitibi
7 A. Roughly speaking, yes.	7		Stephenville and Abitibi Grand Falls, were
8 GREENE, Q.C.:	8		still operating, those forecasts would have
9 Q. Okay. And yesterday we had a little bit of	of 9		been maintained in our forecast until the
discussion about how you determine the	he   10		definitive notices were given to the province
industrial load forecast.	11		that they ceased to exist.
12 MR. STRATTON:	12	GREE	NE, Q.C.:
13 A. That's correct.	13	Q.	Okay. So when you did have the updates of the
14 GREENE, Q.C.:	14		industrial customer load annually, the closure
15 Q. Okay. And I understand from your answer	r that 15		of the two mills was not forecast? Is that
you do that by having discussions directly	ly 16		correct, based on how you got your annual
with the customer because they know th	eir 17		updates from them?
business best and you -	18	MR. S	TRATTON:
19 MR. STRATTON:	19	A.	That is correct.
20 A. That is correct.	20	GREE	NE, Q.C.:
21 GREENE, Q.C.:	21	Q.	You mentioned what the total requirements of
22 Q. And in fact, you mentioned, I believe, yo	ou 22		the Corner Brook mill is. I believe you
have two twice during the year, you d	o 23		mentioned it was 900 and -
receive updated forecasts from your indust	rial 24	MR. S	TRATTON:
25 customers. Is that correct?	25	A.	Approximately 965 gigawatt hours a year.
	Page 90		Page 92
1 MR. STRATTON:	1	GREE	NE, Q.C.:
2 A. Typically we would go out for two twic	e a 2	Q.	Okay. For the purpose of the analysis, Hydro
year for updates to their forecast, yes,	3		chose to do a sensitivity using 880 gigawatt
4 that's correct.	4		hours, which is somewhat less than the Corner
5 GREENE, Q.C.:	5		Brook load, the total requirements of the
6 Q. And you also advised that you had rece	nt 6		mill, and we talked yesterday about the impact
7 discussions with Corner Brook and that th	iere 7		that that would have. I wonder if we could go
8 was no significant or material change in th	eir 8		to PUB-Nalcor-51, please? And if you could
9 load forecast?	9		scroll down? We talked about that yesterday.
10 MR. STRATTON:	10		If the 880 gigawatt hours or the 140 megawatt
11 A. That is accurate.	11		load is taken out of the forecast starting in
12 GREENE, Q.C.:	12		2013 so if the load is lost and we don't
13 Q. Is that correct?	13		have that amount of load is lost, there was
14 MR. STRATTON:	14		a question yesterday with respect to the
15 A. That is correct.	15		impact that that would have on the capacity
16 GREENE, Q.C.:	16		deficit and the energy deficit and I wonder,
17 Q. We have seen in the past the impact that the	he   17		Mr. Humphries, in terms of that, again subject
closures of the mills can have on the total	18		to check, for the calculations done, would you
load requirements for the island. We have	ve 19		agree that the capacity deficit is probably
seen that in some of the documentation th	nat 20		2020-2021?
Nalcor has supplied how the load has drop	pped 21	MR. H	IUMPHRIES:
22 when the two mills owned by Abitibi clos	sed. 22	A.	Yes, I'd agree it's in that range.
Did you follow the same process when you	ı were 23	GREE	NE, Q.C.:
doing the load forecast when you wer	re 24	Q.	Okay. And the energy deficit would be into
25 supplying Abitibi that you had discussion	ns 25		2030-20312

2030-2031?

25

supplying Abitibi, that you had discussions

**February 14, 2012** Page 93 Page 95 reduction were to come prior, obviously the 1 MR. HUMPHRIES: A. Subject to check, yes, I agree with that. 2 urgency of the decision to make a capacity or energy decision has changed. 3 GREENE, O.C.: 3 Q. And I'm just checking my numbers as well for -4 GREENE, Q.C.: - I think I gave you the right numbers that --Q. So given that, one of the considerations is --5 5 so if that amount of load is lost, it has a or has Nalcor considered moving in an 6 6 significant impact on when capacity is 7 incremental way to put -- right now a capacity 7 deficit in 2015 and your energy deficit is required and energy as well? Is that correct? 8 8 9 MR. HUMPHRIES: what, 2020-2021? Would you consider putting 9 A. Yes, it would. 10 in something to address the peaking problem 10 before you run into the energy problem by 11 GREENE, O.C.: 11 adding a combustion turbine or doing a small 12 Q. And I just wonder because the MHI report 12 referred to the significance of the industrial hydro site to gain additional certainty with 13 13 load for the total island load requirement, respect to the future load of your industrial 14 14 given the small nature of our industrial base, customer group? 15 15 16 three customers going to one and additional 16 MR. HUMPHRIES: one, and with the history of the two mill A. Well, in reality, that's what's happening. We 17 17 closures and with the significance of this are adding a combustion turbine for the 18 18 type of load on your generation expansion capacity issue in for 2015. So that window, 19 19 plan, has Hydro considered doing any our energy deficit is 2020. So there is a 20 20 independent studies with respect to the pulp time frame there. 21 21 and paper industry in the province and its 22 22 GREENE, O.C.: forecast future? 23 23 Q. In terms of adding additional combustion 24 MR. HUMPHRIES: 25 A. No, we have not. 25 MR. HUMPHRIES: Page 94 Page 96 A. Beyond the -1 GREENE, Q.C.: 2 GREENE, Q.C.: 2

- Q. The sensitivity or the analysis supplied to
- the Board assumes that the Corner Brook load 3
- is there until 2067? Is that correct? 4
- 5 MR. STRATTON:
- A. Paul Stratton. Yes, that's correct.
- 7 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. Okay. And we also heard yesterday, of course, 8
- 9 that there's also an assumption that there is
- no new industrial load? 10
- 11 MR. STRATTON:
- A. That is correct as well. 12
- 13 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. Have you considered doing any sensitivities 14
- around the impact on the generation expansion 15
- plan of the loss of a significant industrial 16
- load and how that will be factored into your 17
- 18 analysis?
- 19 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. Paul Humphries. Again, the timing of that 20
- reduction is a significant factor. If that 21
- reduction in load would come post the Muskrat 22
- Falls decision, I think the analysis has shown 23
- that the Interconnected alternative is still 24
- 25 the cost effective choice. But prior -- if the

- Q. Yeah. The one that you've already built into 3
- your plan. 4
- 5 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. No, we really haven't considered that, no. 6
- 7 GREENE, O.C.:
- Q. I'd like to look at the load growth in 8
- 9 Labrador in so far as it impacts the load on
- the island and I wonder if you would go to 10
- 11 PUB-Nalcor 16, please, Mr. McNiven?
- 12 MR. MCNIVEN:
- A. 16?
- 14 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. 16. There's been -- Mr. Johnson brought you 15
- to some commentary in the media in his 16
- questions yesterday. Another one as -- and we 17
- just talked about another issue that has been 18
- in the media which was the future of the 19
- Corner Brook mill. This one deals with the 20
- media coverage of potential load growth in 21
- Labrador and this one is framed in terms of a 22
- specific -- a potential specific load growth 23
- and I'd like you to comment first about the 24 25
  - potential for the load growth for Rio Tinto

Page 97

- with respect to the mine in Labrador, given 1
- 2 there's been so much media attention to it.
- Is Nalcor aware of future load requirements of 3
- 4 expansion?
- 5 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. Yes, we are.
- 7 GREENE, O.C.:
- 8 Q. And what is the order of magnitude of that?
- Because based on the media reports, it would
- 10 be a very significant increase in load for
- 11 you.
- 12 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. It has the potential to be a significant increase in load, yes. 14
- 15 GREENE, O.C.:
- 16 Q. The question was if that load were to
- materialize as you just -- as the 17
- announcements in the media, what impact it 18
- would have on your generation expansion plan? 19
- And when you say it would be a significant 20
- expansion of load, could you indicate an order 21
- 22 of magnitude that you are -- is the potential
- you're talking about? 23

additional load.

24 MR. HUMPHRIES:

1

3

A. It could be up to a 500 megawatt load, 25

#### 1 MR. HUMPHRIES:

Q. It's less speculative than the aluminum

Page 99

Page 100

- smelter--it would be less speculative than the 3
  - aluminum smelter, but it's still not what we
- would consider a firm request. 5

#### 6 GREENE, O.C.:

4

- Q. And how does Nalcor then plan for future 7
- potential load growth? Do you need a signed 8
- power contract? How do you build that into 9
- 10 your planning?

#### 11 MR. HUMPHRIES:

- 12 A. Well generally on the Island--in the Island
- scenario, yes, we would have to have a firm 13
- 14 commitment from a customer because in most
- cases it would involve a requirement to build 15
- 16 and add additional resource, so--and there would be a lead time, be it three to five 17
- years, whatever would be required to establish 18
- 19 that generation.
- 20 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. So if we were fortunate enough for a large 21
- customer to come, you'd say, sorry, we--come 22
- 23 back in three or five years?
- 24 MR. HUMPHRIES:
  - A. No, that's not necessarily the case, there are

# Page 98

- Q. Are there other potential load growth that you
- expect in Labrador--or that is anticipated in 4
- 5 the same way as Rio Tinto?
- 6 MR. HUMPHRIES:

2 GREENE, O.C.:

- 7 A. Well there are numerous, I guess,
- 8 opportunities in Labrador, as there have been
- 9 for the past twenty years we've been talking
- about load increases in Labrador, and we 10
- 11 continually interface with these potential
- customers, but we have no firm commitment from 12
- 13 either of those yet, including IOC, so it's
- 14 difficult to incorporate that into the
- 15 planning process in any real kind of way that
- you would commit dollars to, to address until 16
- 17 we have a firm commitment.
- 18 GREENE, Q.C.:
- 19 Q. In the past there has been also a discussion
- 20 about an aluminum smelter which was--didn't
- 21 occur, I'd say that was speculative, are you
- 22 saying that the potential for the expansion in
- 23 Labrador that we're talking about here is as
- 24 speculative as the aluminum smelter would have 25
  - been?

- things that you could do in the interim, 1
- particularly in Labrador. On the Island, an 2
- isolated scenario is you don't have many 3
- options; there's not much you can do. But in 4
- 5 Labrador, we do have existing recall energy,
- there's the opportunity to import energy for a 6 customer in the interim, and if we do build 7
- 8 Muskrat Falls, there will be excess capacity
- 9 in Muskrat Falls available to supply a new
- customer in short notice. 10
- 11 (12:00 p.m.)

## 12 GREENE, O.C.:

- 13 Q. That's a good lead into where I wanted to go
- next. If we scroll down to--the question was: 14 If the load materialized, how would you meet 15
- the load? So if you can please keep going 16
- down, the answer was--it's the next page 17
- actually--the answer was that in the event any 18
- 19 of the projects proceed, you would have two terawatt hours of production available from 20
- Muskrat 'cause this is what you don't require 21
- 22 and you don't have it committed to Emera, so rather than selling out west, you'll use it in 23
- Labrador and also your recall power. And then 24 we have Cull Island at the larger site would 25

21

Page 101

- also be available to meet those needs. So 1
- 2 they would have to be, Gull Island would have
- to be developed, of course, which would--if 3
- these loads materialize? 4
- 5 HUMPHRIES:
- A. Well again it depends on the ultimate size, if 6 it's an extremely large load, Gull Island may 7 make sense, but there are also alternatives 8
- available on the Island that we could develop, 9 10 the remaining hydros and wind, now the fact
- that we would have an interconnection, that 11
- would help to address a, what I would call a 12
- medium size problem. 13
- 14 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. So there would be future developments to 15 16 address it. Can we go, please, to PUB-NALCOR-
- 72? I will give you a moment to read that, 17
- Mr. Humphries. This talks about if the load 18
- materializes in Labrador, just as announced by 19
- IOC or Rio Tinto, how that would use the 20
- energy from Muskrat Falls and if you read 21
- 22 through, I'll give you a moment, but the
- question will be is that by 2027 all of 23
- Muskrat Falls would be required to supply the 24
- load and then we would start to see a deficit 25
  - Page 102

- on the Island. 1
- 2 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. Could you page down a bit please?
- 4 GREENE, O.C.:

8

- Q. So the idea being that yes, if this load 5
- materializes and if you're going to use 6
- 7 Muskrat to supply it, we're going to run out
  - of Muskrat energy to meet the Island load
- 9 requirements in the--around 2027, so you would
- have to develop something else for the Island? 10
- 11 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. We would have to develop another source, 12
- whether it was for the Island or alternately -13
- 14 GREENE, O.C.:
- 15 Q. Right, so this could have a significant impact
- then on the generation expansion plan, should 16
- the--for the Island, should the Industrial 17
- load actually develop in Labrador? 18
- 19 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. It would have an effect on the expansion plan 20
- 21 overall, yes.
- 22 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. And it would be a significant impact, 23
- depending on the size of the load? 24
- 25 MR. HUMPHRIES:

- Page 103 A. Depending on the size of the load, we could
  - 2 have a significant impact on expansion plan,
  - 3 yes.
  - 4 GREENE, Q.C.:
  - O. Is Nalcor in discussions now? And I'm not 5
  - going to the content of the discussions, but 6
    - do you have any expectation as to when there
  - might be greater certainty with respect to 8
  - these potential loads in Labrador?
  - 10 MR. HUMPHRIES:
  - A. No, I do not. 11
  - 12 GREENE, Q.C.:
    - Q. I'd like to turn now to the issue of the
  - probabilistic reliability assessment and MHI's 14
  - recommendations that that type of reliability 15
  - assessment be completed certainly before 16
  - decision gate 3? I wonder if you could bring 17
  - up slide 65, Mr. McNiven, from Nalcor's 18
  - presentation yesterday. So here Nalcor is 19
  - responding to one of MHI's recommendations and 20
    - if you go to the next slide please? I took
  - that slide and your information yesterday to 22
  - be that you are agreeing with MHI's 23
  - recommendation and that you will be doing the 24
  - type of probabilistic reliability assessment 25
- - for the overall in-feed option, including the 1
    - generation facility and the link as 2
    - recommended by MHI. Is that what I should 3
    - have taken from that? 4
    - 5 MR. HUMPHRIES:
    - A. Yeah, we will be doing the probabilistic 6
    - models for the link and incorporating that in 7
    - our probabilistic generation expansion 8
    - analysis, yes. So the probabilistic--the 9
    - forced outage rate which we are currently 10
    - using in our analysis was based on a previous 11
    - probabilistic model and then we agree it was 12
    - old and dated, but we are currently in the
    - 13 process of updating that model to reflect the 14
    - current thinking of the Muskrat Falls Maritime 15
    - Link and that will be incorporated in the new 16
    - 17 analysis for DG3.
    - 18 GREENE, Q.C.:
    - 19 Q. You're talking about the PTI studies for the
    - 1980s? 20
    - 21 MR. HUMPHRIES:
    - A. Yes, I am. 22
    - 23 GREENE, Q.C.:
    - Q. So you have accepted MHI's recommendation? 24
    - 25 MR. HUMPHRIES:

Page 104

<b>February 14, 2012</b>	Multi-I	Page <sup>™</sup>	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 105		Page 107
1 A. Yes.		1	actual level of compliance that would be
2 GREENE, Q.C.:		2	required to satisfy theNPCC, Northeast Power
3 Q. Was there any reason why you hadn't up	pdated	3	Co-ordinating Council requirements for full
4 the 1980 studies prior to now?	·	4	membership. Whether that would mean a blanket
5 MR. HUMPHRIES:		5	adoption of all the reliability standards or a
6 A. We're in the process, for DG2 we did not 1	have	6	portion of those, or adapting some of those to
7 them updated, we were in the process	of	7	current situations, particularly on the Island
8 updating.		8	system where we're exploring those
9 GREENE, Q.C.:		9	opportunities and we feel, because of the
10 Q. On the NERC Standards, I'd like to go to t	hat 10	0	nature of the connection that we have or will
recommendation. Again you addressed		1	have with the North American grid, both to
yesterday and that would be slide 69, N		2	Labrador and to Nova Scotia, if that happens,
McNiven. Does Nalcor agree that comp	oliance 1	3	because it's a HVDC link, the significance of
with NERC's Standards now has become	part of 14	4	reliability events on the Island will have
the phrase "good utility practice" which	is 1:	5	less of an impact on the neighbouring
commonly used by utilities, they state the		6	jurisdictions. So some of the conditions on
complying with good utility practice and	-	7	the Island that may not necessarily comply
now in Canada that would include comp	-	8	fully with NERC Standards at this stage, it
19 with NERC's Standards?	19	9	may not be mandatory that we would need those
20 MR. HUMPHRIES:	20	0	in place, day one, or even ever and they could
21 A. Compliance with NERC's Standards in Ca	nada is 2	1	be grandfathered.
22 still mandatoryor still discretionary or		2 GREI	ENE, Q.C.:
voluntary, sorry, so again it's left up to th	ie 2:	3 Q.	I am going to ask you about the implications
discretion of the jurisdiction in question.	, 24		of that for your interconnection with Nova
but when we look at dealing with entities	s in 2:	5	Scotia, just in July, 2011, the Nova Scotia
	Page 106		Page 108
1 the United States or participating in the		1	Utility Board has mandated that Nova Scotia
2 market, the significance of these standards		2	Power follow NERC Standards. Have you looked
becomes more important and in some cases w	ould :	3	at the implications of if there is to be an
4 be considered mandatory for operating in thos	se 4	4	interconnection with Nova Scotia, what that
5 markets.		5	will mean for Newfoundland?
6 GREENE, Q.C.:			HUMPHRIES:
7 Q. It's my understanding that eight of the ten	,	7 A.	Yes, and we have to look at what it means for
8 provinces, the utilities in eight of ten	1	8	Newfoundland as opposed to what means to Nova
9 provinces now comply with NERC Standards,		9	Scotia as well.
the only two exceptions being PEI and	10		ENE, Q.C.:
11 Newfoundland, is that your understanding?	1	-	Um.
12 MR. HUMPHRIES:	12		HUMPHRIES:
13 A. I think that's correct.	1.		Yes, we enter in discussion with ourselves and
14 GREENE, Q.C.:	14		Nova Scotia and the regulator to determine
15 Q. If we look at slide 69 and this was in	1:		what those implications are.
response to the recommendation in the MHI	I .		ENE, Q.C.:
17 report that Nalcor undertake a self assessment			And are you able to say at this point in time
and get ready for compliance with NERC. I	13		in that consideration whether it will require
wasn't sure and this is one I wanted Nalcor to	1		additional work to be included with respect to
comment on, as to whether they agree with the	I .		any aspects of the Muskrat Falls project,
recommendation or not and what action they'			which would affect the project definition
22 taking in response to it?	22		and/or the project costs?
23 MR. HUMPHRIES:			HUMPHRIES:
A. Yes, we agree with that and we are doing a	24		I'm not in a position to say definitely, no, I
25 self assessment prior to DG3 to determine the	2:	3	am not.

February 14, 2012	Multi-P	'age Muskrat Falls Hea	Ting
	Page 109	Page	e 111
1 GREENE, Q.C.:	1	source of supply for the city. If we were to	
2 Q. Do you anticipate that it will?	2	lose one of those stations, it has an impact	
3 MR. HUMPHRIES:	3	to us internally, but it's not likely that it	
4 A. We don't anticipate that it will be	4	was affect anything beyond our boarders. So	
5 significant.	5		
6 GREENE, Q.C.:	6		
7 Q. Someyou mentioned that the curre	ent 7		
8 reliability criteria, you would be assessing			
9 those as well with the compliance with res	_		
to NERC? Can you just give a little, perhap	_		
overview from your perspective the differ	`	-	
between Nalcor's or Hydro's planning cri		2 (12:45 p.m.)	
and system operational requirements and		GREENE, Q.C.:	
would be required if you doif you ar		· -	
15 required to meet NERC's Standards?	15		
16 MR. HUMPHRIES:	16		
17 A. From the perspective of the basic philosop			
of our criterias, again, they are very	`	•	
19 similar. The major differences are how	we 18		
-		• • •	
respond to contingency situations and		•	
certain scenarios, the level of redundance	*		
when they have internal stations and the		MR. HUMPHRIES:	
23 types of things, like backup power suppl		5 11	
and redundant systems. Those are the big		1	
differences, but from the perspective of h	iow 25	available, it would make less power available	
1	Page 110		e 112
they will affect our requirements to the NE		1	
2 complaint, the biggest factor is do these		area, but it would not affect our interfaces	
deficiencies, could they have an impact or		,	⁄a
4 reliability of our neighbouring systems. A	And 4	Scotia via the Maritime Link.	
5 as I said earlier, because of the DC link	5	GREENE, Q.C.:	
6 connections, the likelihood of any of the	se 6	Q. I just wanted to make sure that was	
7 events causing issues is low and if we g	go 7	understood. The otherin your planning	
8 through a process and demonstrate this to	the 8	criteria one of the differences with NERC is	
9 regulatory councils, there's no reason to	0 9	on the generation, whether you have backup	,
10 expect that these deficiencies cannot be	e 10	generation. The Newfoundland standard is, the	ne
grandfathered in because they're of no pro	oblem 11	two, the loss of load hour expectation, how	
to anyone, other than ourselves.	12	does that compare to a NERC Standard?	
13 GREENE, Q.C.:	13	3 MR. HUMPHRIES:	
Q. Just to follow up on that, my understanding			
what you just said is if we can shut off	_		
Newfoundland so that it does not impact			
supply, going to Nova Scotia is not an iss			
because as long as you can firewall No			
19 Scotia, Newfoundland won't have to		-	
20 compliant with NERC Standards?	20		
21 MR. HUMPHRIES:	1	GREENE, Q.C.:	
22 A. No, that's not what I said, I said like for,	22		ıilt
let's take an example of our Hardwood		•	
24 Terminal Station or our Oxen Pond Term		MR. HUMPHRIES:	
25 Station here in the city, they are the larges			
25 Station here in the city, they are the large	23	It beautiful that be the standard in	

Fe	ebruary 14, 2012 Mult		age <sup>TM</sup>	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 113			Page 115
1	which we progress to before we would initiate	1		to Nalcor's submission, page 38?
2	additional additions of capacity or grant	2	MR. M	CNIVEN:
3	capacity 'because it's a capacity factor. In	3	GREEN	NE, Q.C.:
4	the North American Standard, it's double that,	4	Q.	Is that the book Volume 1?
5	one in ten years. By virtue of the fact that	5	GREEN	NE, Q.C.:
6	we will have an interconnection to the North	6	Q.	Yes, sorry, Volume 1. So if you could bring
7	American grid and we're part of that network	7		it up a little bit higher on the screen to
8	and could enter into reserve sharing	8		see. So can you just take us through some of
9	agreements with our neighbours, to comply with	9		the keythe time periods here and what you
10	a one in ten year is not a large issue, it	10		have used in the base case at relevant
11	happens naturally. The reserves are there and	11		periods, because this is the forecast you
12	it would not bring any additional -	12		used, as I understand it, in the analysis for
13	GREENE, Q.C.:	13		the price of fuel in your analysis.
14	Q. So you would address it by dealing with	14	MR. G	
15	interconnection agreements with -	15		The columns labelled Reference Forecast at
16	MR. HUMPHRIES:	16		January 2010 are the prices that entered into
17	A. Reserve sharing agreements with our neighbours	17		the CPW analysis.
18	and things like that.	18		NE, Q.C.:
19	GREENE, Q.C.:	19		And if you scroll to the bottom, so in 2025,
20	Q. But through the interconnection.	20		the forecast would have been 145 dollars and
21	MR. HUMPHRIES:	21		can you explain the difference between when
22	A. Yes, through the interconnection.	22		you used .7 percent fuel and 2.2 percent fuel,
23	GREENE, Q.C.:	23		why you are showing both columns on the page?
24	Q. I want now to turn to the fuel price forecast.	24	MR. G	
25	I guess we've seen that one of the critical	25	A.	Under the Isolated Island Strategist run, we
	Page 114			Page 11
1	factors is fuel price and that the fuel costs	1		used 0.7 percent low sulphur fuel until the
2	make up about 70 percent of the cost for the	2		scrubbers are in service and then we -
3	Isolated Island Option. So in order to swing	3	GREEN	NE, Q.C.:
4	the preference, it's pretty hard without some	4	Q.	Which is when? 2020?
5	impact on the fuel. So on of the key	5	MR. G	OUDIE:
6	assumptions you used in doing the CPW analysis	6	A.	2015, around 2015, and then we revert to a
7	is the forecast price of fuel for the forecast	7		higher sulphur fuel of 2.2 percent and then we
8	period. And we talked a little bit about that	8		utilize that fuel out to the end of the
9	yesterday. So how long was the period that	9		service life in the Holyrood units.
0	you forecasted fuel prices for, Mr. Goudie?	10	GREEN	NE, Q.C.:
11	MR. GOUDIE:	11	Q.	So in this particular reference you stopped at
12	A. Steve Goudie. The PIRA based forecast goes	12		2025, can you give some indication what the
13	from 2010 to 2025 and then we extend that out,	13		numbers were past that? Say, 2040, what would
14	we hold the 2025 price constant in real terms,	14		you have used for number 2.2 fuel then?
15	and then we just extend that out by the	15	MR. G	OUDIE:
16	general inflation rate of two percent.	16	A.	All forecasts beyond the 2025 planning horizon
17	GREENE, Q.C.:	17		here would have been escalated at a two
18	Q. So you forecast the price of oil out to 2067,	18		percent inflation rate.
19	which is the length of the forecast period?	19	GREEN	NE, Q.C.:
20	MR. GOUDIE:	20	Q.	So if I did that, what would I have for 2.2
21	A. We do.	21		percent fuel in 2067?
22	GREENE, Q.C.:	22	MR. G	-
23	Q. And just to give people an appreciation for	23		In 2067? I don't have that now right here in
24	the prices that you're talking about	24		front of me, but I think our point would be
25	throughout that period perhancif we could go	25		that they are constant in real terms. We are

that they are constant in real terms. We are

throughout that period, perhaps if we could go

Multi-Page TM **February 14, 2012** Page 117 Page 119 not escalating above the rate of inflation. 1 MR. GOUDIE: 2 GREENE, O.C.: A. Well we handle it by going in with a reputable company that produces a comprehensive analysis Q. And so when you looked at the fuel price, we 3 3 saw already that it is one of the biggest behind their fuel price projections. We do 4 4 movers in the CPW analysis, can we look at RFI sensitivity analysis to understand the 5 5 MHI-Nalcor 41, Rev1 for sensitivity please? leverage that alternative price projections to 6 6 our reference case would have on our base case 7 Page 2. And one of the ones that Mr. Johnson 7 talked about vesterday in looking at the I mean, the uncertainty is 8 8 sensitivities, was where there was a decrease prevailing and one of the reasons why we 9 9 10 of fuel cost by 44 percent and I just wanted 10 analyze and ultimately recommend an Interconnectioned Island Option is exactly to you to explain what is that 44 percent from? 11 11 Is it from those fuel prices we just talked get rid of that uncertainty because if that 12 12 uncertainty ever comes home to roost on the 13 about? 13 wrong side, electricity will be very un-14 MR. GOUDIE: 14 affordable on the Island of Newfoundland. A. It's 44 percent across the board decrease in 15 15 16 the reference prices that were in the 16 GREENE, O.C.: Strategist run. Q. So that's the uncertainty--the probability 17 17 that it will probably go higher, rather than 18 GREENE, O.C.: 18 lower in your base case. 19 Q. Right, so the forecast that we just looked at, 19 all of the prices would be 44 percent lower? 20 MR. GOUDIE: 20 21 MR. GOUDIE: A. Well I mean, based on PIRA's, you know, 21 professional assessment, they have the high 22 A. Yes, that's correct. 22 and low and pretty well even odds, but they 23 23 GREENE, Q.C.: are based on specific analysis that they do. Q. In terms of looking at that, I think you 24 already indicated that fuel is about 70 25 GREENE, Q.C.: 25 Page 120 Page 118 percent of the cost in the Isolated Island of Q. And we've heard that PIRA is a provider of 1 1 the 8.8 billion? 2 2 fuel price forecast, have you considered or reviewed getting forecasts from other known 3 MR. GOUDIE: 3 fuel price forecasters? A. It is 70 percent of the CPW, yes, that's 4 5 5 MR. GOUDIE: 6 GREENE, Q.C.: A. Not-6 7 Q. And as these sensitivities illustrate that 7 GREENE, Q.C.: changes in the fuel price have a significant Q. How did you select PIRA for example, and have 8 impact on the preference? you considered others? 9 10 MR. GOUDIE: 10 MR. GOUDIE: 11 A. It does. 11 A. Well we selected PIRA back in the late 1990s because we were all in need of a reputable 12 GREENE, Q.C.: 12 company that could assist Hydro in putting 13 Q. One of the, again the public issues that 13 there's been comment about is the difficulty forward projections for its bunker fuel cost 14 14 in forecasting fuel prices. We don't know at Holyrood from a company that would be 15 15 next week, because if we did, I guess we may-basically accepted by the PUB and by the 16 16 if we had that ability to actually determine When we considered them 17 17 Intervenors.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 117 - Page 120

initially, we looked at their client list and

their reputation and I mean, today PIRA has an

excess of 500 clients around the world, all of

the major oil and gas companies subscribe to

commercial banks, so they are well respected

PIRA services, all of energy marketers,

petroleum refineries, all major world

in the energy market analysis field.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fuel price, we'd probably all be somewhere

else, but because there's so much uncertainty

around it, we don't know what it would be, as

evidenced by fuel by fuel price variability in

the last few years. How does Nalcor take that

into account, the difficulty in forecasting

What weight do you place on that risk?

fuel prices over the 57 year analysis period?

repruary 14, 2012	Mulu-Pa	ge Muskrat rans Hearing
	Page 121	Page 123
1 GREENE, Q.C.:	1	morning there may have been some difficulty in
2 Q. Have you considered using any other pro	ovider 2	hearing me.
of that forecastin recent years?	3 (	O'REILLY, Q.C.:
4 MR. GOUDIE:	4	Q. Much better.
5 A. In this review, we have not explicitly	5 (	GREENE, Q.C.:
6 considered anybody else, but we have	been 6	Q. Okay, good. I was going to say if at the back
7 mindful of where other projections are t	hat 7	of the room, if you can just wave your hand
8 are publicly available, such as EIA or NEB	. 8	and I'll know that you want me to speak up
9 GREENE, Q.C.:	9	louder or over on the panel as well if you
10 Q. And as we heard yesterday, Nalcor's evi-	dence 10	can't hear me, please let me know. I do have
is that those costs, their projections are	11	a few follow-up questions to the topics that
consistent when you look at the fact that t	they 12	we had talked about before lunch. And first,
arehow you have done the forecast, one	-	I'd like to talk about the Probabilistic
constant dollars and one is not?	14	Reliability Assessment, and Mr. Humphries, you
15 MR. GOUDIE:	15	indicated that you would be following up,
16 A. Yes, that's correct, yes. Our projections a		Nalcor would, and would be completing a
all in nominal dollars.	17	Probabilistic Reliability Assessment and spoke
18 GREENE, Q.C.:	18	specifically with respect to key finding No.
19 Q. Thank you, Mr. Chair, that is a conveni		5. I'd like, please, Mr. McNiven, if you
place to break, in terms of the flow if it's		could bring up the MHI report, volume 1, page
21 convenient -	21	9. And there was some uncertainly among my
22 CHAIRMAN:	22	colleagues as to whether your answer included
23 Q. Okay, we'll break for lunch. I just got on		that key finding which is No. 6 and if the
24 quick request arising from this navigant is		Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Study to
here and I'd just like you to run the numb		be undertaken is in the process, as I
		<del>-</del> <del>-</del>
	Page 122	Page 124
1 assuming the carbon pricing comes in eff		understand it right now, by Nalcor, will address the full extent of those studies that
2 2017, what would that mean in terms of		
3 carbon charge per domestic rate payer		are described in key finding No. 6.
4 Newfoundland? Because I mean I see y	-	MR. HUMPHRIES:
5 2.65 million, it's driven up, it rises to 2.6.		A. Okay, with respect to the studies identified
6 million because of the incidents of carb		in Section 6, the ongoing work, no, it does
7 pricing. And what would interest me is fi		not address those level of studies. Nalcor is
8 domestic rate payer, what would that me		aware, I guess, that these types of studies
9 this should come to pass?	9	have been done and are done in other
10 MR. GOUDIE:	10	jurisdictions, but to date they have not been
11 A. Okay, we'll take that one.	11	adopted as part of our normal decision-making
12 CHAIRMAN:	12	process in the least cost analysis process.
13 Q. Thank you.		GREENE, Q.C.:
14 GREENE, Q.C.:	14	Q. So before lunch when you said that Nalcor was
15 Q. We'll reconvene at 2:00 Mr. Chair?	15	updating the PTI report and was completing a
16 CHAIRMAN:	16	Probabilistic Reliability Assessment, you were
17 Q. Yes, sorry, 2:00 we'll start again.	17	talking about only the type of work PTI had
18 (12:30 p.m.)	18	done in the 80s, which goes back to key
(Adjourned for Lunch)	19	finding No. 5, the forced outage rate update?
20 (2:03 p.m.)		MR. HUMPHRIES:
21 CHAIRMAN:	21	A. That's correct.
Q. So I think we'reare there any prelimin	·	GREENE, Q.C.:
matters? So I think we're back to	23	Q. Well I guess I should ask you a few more
24 GREENE, Q.C.:	. 24	questions then.
25 Q. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I understand th	nis   25 M	MR. HUMPHRIES:

	Multi-Pag	ge Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 125	Page 127
1 A. Sure.		now, we have not made a decision, not to
2 GREENE, Q.C.:	2	accept it, but from the perspective of
3 Q. Because I had taken your answer to be		starting to incorporate this kind of analysis
4 were addressing both of those key find:	· ·	into our traditional base cost decision making
5 were accepting both of the recommen	-	process, is a significant deviation from our
6 Are you familiar with the Probabili		norm. And it's our view that before we would
7 Reliability Assessments as discussed in		commit to doing such a, taking on such a task,
8 MHI report and as recommended by MH		that we would really need to assess, not only
9 MR. HUMPHRIES:	9	with ourselves, but also with stakeholders,
10 A. Yes, I am familiar.	10	customers and the Board, the implications of
11 GREENE, Q.C.:	11	including such an analysis and what impact it
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12 Q. Are you aware that other major utilitie		may have on the overall lease cost decisions.
those type of assessments for major pro	•	GREENE, Q.C.:
14 MR. HUMPHRIES:	14	Q. Did you do any analysis before your DG2
15 A. Yes, I am.	15	decision with respect to whether you would
16 GREENE, Q.C.:	16	adopt or not adopt Probabilistic Reliability
17 Q. Would Hydro normally follow the pra-		Assessment Studies as done by other major
other major utilities with respect to lar	-	Canadian utilities for large projects?
19 Hydro projects?		MR. HUMPHRIES:
20 MR. HUMPHRIES:	. 20	A. Not directly related to this project, no, we
21 A. With respectcan we go back to your p		did not. We have been reviewing and keeping
question first please? Could you just re	_	abreast of developments in the industry, I
that question again?	23	guess, but we have not, as an organization,
24 GREENE, Q.C.:	24	adopted those yet.
25 Q. Was Hydro aware that other major C	Canadian 25 C	GREENE, Q.C.:
	Page 126	Page 128
1 utilities do perform these type of	1	Q. Do you plan to consider it now in light of
2 Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi	es 2	MHI's recommendation?
		MHI's recommendation? MR. HUMPHRIES:
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6	5, 3 N	MR. HUMPHRIES:
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6 it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BO	5, 3 N 2 4	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C	5, 3 M C 4 Ontario 5	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to
<ul> <li>and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6</li> <li>it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC</li> <li>Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in 6</li> <li>have completedwould complete these typ</li> </ul>	5, 3 M C 4 Ontario 5 ees of 6	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in 6  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi	5, 3 M C 4 Ontario 5 Des of 6 es 7	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal	5, 3 M C 4 Ontario 5 es of 6 es 7 ls 8	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?	5, 3 M C 4 Ontario 5 es of 6 es 7 ls 8	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in 6  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:	5, 3 M Contario 5 bes of 6 es 7 ls 8	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes	5, 3 M Contario 5 Ses of 6 es 7 ls 8	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.	5, 3 M Contario 5 Des of 6 es 7 ls 8 9 10 , I 11 C	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in 6  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:	5, 3 M 2 Ontario 5 bes of 6 es 7 ls 8 9 10 11 0 12 13	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Would normally Nalcor consider that pract	5, 3 M Contario 5 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 10 Des of 11 Des of 12 Des of 13 Des of 14 Des of 15 Des of 16 Des of 17 Des of 18 D	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Would normally Nalcor consider that pract  of those types of major utilities, offer major	5, 3 M C	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. I do not think the time is fair to do that
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Would normally Nalcor consider that pract  of those types of major utilities, offer majo  projects, would be a practice you would	5, 3 M Contario 5 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. I do not think the time is fair to do that prior to DG3.
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Would normally Nalcor consider that pract  of those types of major utilities, offer majo  projects, would be a practice you would  normally review and consider adopting?	5, 3 M Contario 5 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 10 Des of 11 Des of 12 Des of 13 Des of 14 Des of 15 De	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. I do not think the time is fair to do that prior to DG3.  GREENE, Q.C.:
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Would normally Nalcor consider that pract  of those types of major utilities, offer majo  projects, would be a practice you would  normally review and consider adopting?  MR. HUMPHRIES:	5, 3 M Contario 5 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 10 Des of 11 Des of 12 Des of 15 Des	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. I do not think the time is fair to do that prior to DG3.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. So just to, again, for the sake of
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Would normally Nalcor consider that pract  of those types of major utilities, offer majo  projects, would be a practice you would  normally review and consider adopting?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We would normally review and consider accounts as the Muskrat Fal  and the major projects such asyes  and aware that they do that, yes.	5, 3 M Contario 5 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. I do not think the time is fair to do that prior to DG3.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. So just to, again, for the sake of completeness, you are not accepting that
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studia  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Would normally Nalcor consider that pract  of those types of major utilities, offer majo  projects, would be a practice you would  normally review and consider adopting?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  M. We would normally review and consider ac  those, yes.	5, 3 M Contario 5 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 6 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des of 6 Des of 7 Des	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. I do not think the time is fair to do that prior to DG3.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. So just to, again, for the sake of completeness, you are not accepting that recommendation or finding by MHI, nor do you
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C have completedwould complete these typ Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Would normally Nalcor consider that pract of those types of major utilities, offer majo projects, would be a practice you would normally review and consider adopting?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We would normally review and consider ac those, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:	5, 3 M 2	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. I do not think the time is fair to do that prior to DG3.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. So just to, again, for the sake of completeness, you are not accepting that recommendation or finding by MHI, nor do you plan to do any assessment of the
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Would normally Nalcor consider that pract  of those types of major utilities, offer majo  projects, would be a practice you would  normally review and consider adopting?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We would normally review and consider ac  those, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Have you looked at MHI's recommendation	5, 3 M 2	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. I do not think the time is fair to do that prior to DG3.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. So just to, again, for the sake of completeness, you are not accepting that recommendation or finding by MHI, nor do you plan to do any assessment of the recommendation prior to DG3?
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studie  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Would normally Nalcor consider that pract  of those types of major utilities, offer majo  projects, would be a practice you would  normally review and consider adopting?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We would normally review and consider ac  those, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  GREENE, Q.C.:  Have you looked at MHI's recommendatio  made a decision not to accept it then?	5, 3 M 2	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. I do not think the time is fair to do that prior to DG3.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. So just to, again, for the sake of completeness, you are not accepting that recommendation or finding by MHI, nor do you plan to do any assessment of the recommendation prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:
and in fact, if you look at key finding No. 6  it's stated there that Manitoba Hydro, BC  Hydro, Hydro Quebec and Hydro One in C  have completedwould complete these typ  Probabilistic Reliability Assessment Studi  for major projects, such as the Muskrat Fal  infeed option?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. Item 6 says for major projects such asyes  am aware that they do that, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. Would normally Nalcor consider that pract  of those types of major utilities, offer majo  projects, would be a practice you would  normally review and consider adopting?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We would normally review and consider ac  those, yes.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Have you looked at MHI's recommendation	Ontario	MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. We will consider it, but as I discussed, it's not as simple as Nalcor making a decision to incorporate this level of evaluation in our decision-making process because it could have significant implications and we feel it needs to be addressed with the stakeholders, the regulator and customers.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. And do you plan to address it with the stakeholders prior to DG3?  MR. HUMPHRIES:  A. I do not think the time is fair to do that prior to DG3.  GREENE, Q.C.:  Q. So just to, again, for the sake of completeness, you are not accepting that recommendation or finding by MHI, nor do you plan to do any assessment of the recommendation prior to DG3?

**February 14, 2012** Page 129 1 GREENE, O.C.: O. I'd like now to turn to Mr. Kean or Mr. Harrington with respect to the difference 3 between DG2 and DG3 again, just a couple of 4 follow-up questions. With respect to decision 5 gate 2, or DG2, we talked this morning about 6 7 the project definition and that at DG2, one would typically see a project definition of 8 five to ten percent, is that correct? 9 10 MR. KEAN: A. Jason Kean. Yes, that's what we indicated 11 12 this morning.

13 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. And in looking at DG 2 at that point in time 14 you were looking at what is before the Board 15 16 are two options: the indeed option and the Isolated Island option. How would you 17 characterize both of those options in terms of 18 project definition? Let's talk about Muskrat 19 Falls, that was at DG2, in your view, was that 20 project definition in the range of five to ten 21 22 percent at DG2?

23 MR. KEAN:

1 2

5

24 A. Jason Kean. Yes, it was.

25 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. Let's look at the Isolated Island option, the documentation before the Board is that the level of analysis with respect to the

3 components of the Isolated-Island option may 4

not have been advanced in terms of analysis

and review as was the documentation for the 6

infeed option, would you agree with that 7

8 statement?

9 MR. HUMPHRIES:

A. Could you repeat that question please?

11 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. In the Isolate-Island option where there were 12 components, including the pollution control 13 upgrades at Holyrood, the eventual replacement 14 of Holyrood, the small hydros, the wind, and 15 the other components of the Isolated-Island 16 option, in responses to RFI's Nalcor advised 17 that that analysis had not been brought to the 18 19 same level as the analysis on the infeed option. 20

21 MR. HUMPHRIES:

A. That's correct, yes. 22

23 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. And that if you did so, it was most likely 24 that the cost of the Isolated-Island option 25

would increase, as opposed to decrease?

2 MR. HUMPHRIES:

A. That's correct. 3

4 GREENE, Q.C.:

7

Q. So the question is in terms of the Isolate-5 Island option, how would you characterize the 6

Page 131

project definition for the Isolated-Island

option at DG2? We just heard that Muskrat 8

Falls was in the range of five to ten percent, 9

10 what is your view for the Isolated-Island option at DG2?

11 12 MR. HUMPHRIES:

A. At DG 2 it would be less than five percent.

14 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. Now, we just talked about project definition 15 16 at the DG2 stage and we know your next key decision point is called DG3, what do you 17 expect the project definition to be normally 18 at a DG3? I think we talked a little bit 19 about this yesterday or you did with Mr. 20 Johnson, so what's the normal range for 21 22 project definition at DG3?

23 MR. KEAN:

A. Yes, it's Jason Kean. The normal--our target 24 is to be on the upper side of the definition 25

Page 130 Page 132 for a completion of a gateway phase 3 work, 1

such as to provide an estimate with the

greatest accuracy as possible. 3

4 GREENE, O.C.:

2

5 Q. And that would be, what is the normal project

definition range at DG3? It's five to ten 6

percent at DG2; at DG3 it's? 7

8 MR. HUMPHRIES:

9 A. It would be somewhere in the range of 20 to 40 percent.

10

11 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. Okay. Mr. Bennett, this morning you gave us 12 an update as to where you are with the 13 environmental assessment process. I had a 14 follow-up question on that, is it possible 15 that in giving approval from an environmental 16 perspective to proceed with Muskrat Falls, 17 that there could be conditions attached to the 18 19 project going forward arising from that green light for the generation facility? 20

21 MR. BENNETT:

A. Yes, it's possible that the Federal and/or 22 Provincial Governments may attach condition to 23 the release of environmental assessment, 24 25 assuming that such release were granted.

bruary 14, 2012 Multi-Page		age Muskrat Falls Hearing		
	Page 133		Page 135	
1 GREENE, Q.C.:		to the tra	nscript unless you'd like me to, Mr.	
2 Q. Has there been any costs associated v	with		es, but you talked a bit aboutthe	
3 compliance with conditions included in		_	was in your view is Nalcor complying	
4 analysis at DG2 for that?		-	standard? And your answer	
5 MR. BENNETT:		essentiall	y was well it depends on your	
6 A. There were a number of costs associate	d with		tion of the standard, is that	
7 environmental issues and mitigation inc	cluded	correct?	ŕ	
8 in that capital cost estimate, yes.		:15 p.m)		
9 GREENE, Q.C.:		R. HUMPHRIE	S:	
10 Q. But were those issues that had already	been 1	A. Yes, that	's correct.	
identified by Nalcor as required or was t	there 1	REENE, Q.C.:		
like a contingency in the event that there		Q. So I wan	ted to go through the standard with	
new or additional conditions imposed?	1		t your interpretation and then we'll	
14 MR. BENNETT:	1	see how i	t may differ from MHI's as to whether	
15 A. We had identified issues that we though	t were	there is in	fact compliance with the standard.	
going to be included as conditions in	the 1	So if we	look at the MHI report, it was just	
17 release at DG2.	1	the simp	lest way on the record to find	
18 GREENE, Q.C.:	1	reference	s to the standard and if there's	
19 Q. Do you have a level of confidence that	there 1	other sec	etions thatI believe they have	
are sufficient funds in the CPW to cover	any 2	quoted th	e whole standard in their report, so	
conditions that may be attached to t	he 2	that's wh	y I say it's the easiest place to	
approval by the government?	2	find it, bu	itso that's why I've chosen to use	
23 MR. BENNETT:	2	that as th	e reference point. So they do begin	
24 A. We look at the proceeding that was carr	ied out 2	and do yo	ou agree that the appropriate standard	
25 for the generation environmental assess	sment 2	as you h	ave in Exhibit 106 is the design	
	Page 134		Page 136	
looking back on the, you know, our pro	_	criteria o	f overhead transmission lines, the	
2 mitigation strategies at the time. Those	_		andard that's referenced there on	
generally in conformance with what we		page 61 d	of the MHI report?	
4 the capital cost estimate. The final		R. HUMPHRIE	<u>^</u>	
5 disposition of recommendations made	by the	A. Yes.		
6 panel is something that is yet to be	-	REENE, Q.C.:		
7 considered.		Q. And that	is the standard you referred to in	
8 GREENE, Q.C.:			ment you filed in Exhibit 106 using	
9 Q. Okay, similarly for the transmission line	?	yourset	ting out your design criteria for the	
10 MR. BENNETT:	1	line?		
11 A. I think that's a fair statement there as we	ell. 1	R. HUMPHRIE	S:	
12 GREENE, Q.C.:	1	A. Yes, it is		
13 Q. I'd like now to move to a different top	pic 1	REENE, Q.C.:		
which is the design for the transmission	line 1	Q. If you go	to the top of the next page, page	
and there has been some discussion als	ready 1	62, I'm ı	reading from the top of the page	
with you with respect to the appropri	ate 1	there. "In	all cases lines should at least	
design for the HVDC transmission line,	and 1	meet the	requirements of a reliability level	
we've talked a bit about the standard use	ed or 1	character	ized by a return period of loads	
the appropriate return period to be used	and 1	fifty year	s, level one." So the one in fifty	
20 I'd like to refer to the MHR report, volun	ne 1, 2	year retu	n period is considered to be the	
page 61. One of MHI's findings is that		minimun	n, is that the way I would read that	
return period chosen or used by Hydro		first para	graph, Mr. Humphries?	
in fifty years doesn't meet the appropri		R. HUMPHRIE		
standard that's recognized for transmis		A. That's ou	r interpretation, yes.	
line designs and vesterday. I won't take	2 1/011	DEENIE O.C.		

25 GREENE, Q.C.:

25

line designs, and yesterday--I won't take you

6

7

Page 137 Q. Jumping down to the next paragraph, it is

- 1 suggested--this is a direct quote from the 2
- Standard, "It is suggested to use a 3
- reliability level characterized by return 4
- periods of 150 years for lines above 230 kV." 5
- What is the rating for the HVDC line? 6
- 7 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. It is 320 kV.
- 9 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. The next sentence states, "So if we took that 10
- sentence as stated, it would be that one in 11
- 12 150 years would apply because the HVDC line is
- 320 kV." 13
- 14 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. It suggests it should be. 15
- 16 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. So it is--the word suggestion is used, right. 17
- 18 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. Yes, that's correct.
- 20 MR. BENNETT:
- A. Just for clarification, if I may, just for 21
- clarification on that point, I think the word 22
- "suggested" is important, it puts context 23
- around the decision, it's not a mandatory 24
- requirement and there are other sections of 25

Page 138

- the code where there are mandatory 1
- requirements. 2
- 3 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. And that is what you meant by interpretation 4
- was because the word "suggestion" is used 5
- here 6
- 7 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. Yes. 8
- 9 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. So the next line goes on to say that for lines 10
- below 230--so they're saying if it's above 11
- 230, we think you should use one in a hundred 12
- and fifty, that's how, as a layperson, not 13
- having any engineering background and not 14
- designing transmission lines, I would read 15
- that, or as you better look at it and say 16
- normally if it's above 230 you do one and one 17
- fifty. So then they go on to say even if the 18
- 19 voltage is lower on the line, so if it's lower
- than 230, if that line is a principle or only 20
- source of power to a load, you should also 21
- consider designing it to a higher standard, is 22
- that how I should take the second sentence, 23
- 24 Paul?
- 25 MR. HUMPHRIES:

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- 2 GREENE, O.C.:
- Q. And then the next paragraph, because we've had 3

Page 139

- some discussion around the one in 500 years 4
  - and I'm going to go through with you what the
  - implications are for the Newfoundland system
    - of these different return periods, but I first
- wanted to make sure we were on the same page 8
- as to what the Standard was saying and what it 9
  - meant. So then we get to the next paragraph,
- 10 "Boys--or girls, I should say people, in 11
  - certain circumstances you should even go to a
- 12
- higher return period which is a reliability 13
- level characterized by return periods of 500 14
- years for lines above 230 where the line is 15
- 16 the principle of the only--or perhaps the only
- source of supply to a particular load, and 17
- there failure would have serious consequences 18
- of power supply." So that's again from the 19
- Standard perspective is there should be a 20
- higher reliability depending on the 21
- criticality of the line. 22
- 23 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. That's correct.
- 25 GREENE, Q.C.:

2

- Page 140 Q. And I believe you mentioned yesterday that 1
  - after the ice storm in Quebec, Hydro Quebec
  - 3 changed and enhanced their design to 1 in 500
  - years, is that correct? 4
  - 5 MR. HUMPHRIES:
  - A. As far as I'm aware, yes, that's correct. 6
  - 7 GREENE, Q.C.:
  - Q. And those lines that they enhanced were not 8
  - 9 all just for only source of supply, were they?
- 10 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- 11 A. I suspect not, but I'm not sure.
- 12 GREENE, O.C.:

14

22

- 13 Q. Would you like to check or undertake to check,
  - or do you feel comfortable enough in going
- with the assumption that not all the lines 15
- they upgraded were sole source bringing in 16
- generation from a sole source? 17
- 18 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. I'm comfortable with that for now, yes.
- 20 GREENE, O.C.:
- 21 Q. So they decided to go to the higher return
  - period as a result of the consequence of the
  - impact of the ice storm on the residents of
- Quebec, even though it was a higher cost. 24
- 25 MR. HUMPHRIES:

Page 141

A. Yes.

- 2 GREENE, O.C.:
- Q. From an engineering perspective, maybe not 3 considering the societal costs of the failure.
- 5 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. It was a higher cost, but it also they had a much larger customer base to spread that cost 7
- over as well, compared to Newfoundland. 8
- 9 GREENE, O.C.:
- Q. So, you're concerned about the cost of the 10 rate payer of--and we're going to come to that 11 in the combustion turbines and the costs and -12
- 13 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 GREENE, O.C.:
- 16 Q. So, we will get to the impact on the rate payers because that will be one concern. You 17
- wouldn't do it because it's just too expensive 18
- and we're only a small island, two little rate 19
- payers. So, we can be in the dark more than 20
- they can in Quebec because they can afford to 21
- 22 pay for it.
- 23 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. No, you're reading words into my thoughts 24
- 25 there I think.

Page 142

- 1 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. Anyway, so we'll come to it in terms of the 2
- return period. Actually, I really should get 3
- you to clarify, you raised the statement 4
- 5 before lunch where we could have an outage at
- Hardwoods or at Oxen Pond so that the power 6
- 7 would be out here in the Avalon but the power
- would still be going to the Maritimes? 8
- 9 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. Yes, the power could be going to everyone 10 expect the immediate customers served by that 11
- station. 12
- 13 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. And that is just the fact that the power 14
- system is not a conscious choice if they would 15
- be given preferential treatment or--just 16
- 17 wanted to make sure -
- 18 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- 19 A. My no means, no.
- 20 GREENE, O.C.

25

- Q. One of the reasons you've given--before I get 21
- to that, I guess, my understanding from 22
- looking at the documentation is that the 23
- design period for the line, in fact, had been 24
  - at more than 150 years and that that--it was

- changed to 150 years just before decision gate
- 2 2. Can you confirm that for me, please?
- 3 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. It was changed prior to decision gate 2, I 4
- think. If we go back to the scenario prior to 5
- the Muskrat Falls, when we were looking at the 6
  - 1600 megawatt bi-pole multi-terminal tie to
- New Brunswick and Soldiers Pond, we were 8
- considering a 1 in 150 year design, in that 9
- 10 case. Because in that case it would have been
- an only supply to the Island. It was a much 11
- larger line and we did consider a 1 in 150 in 12
- that scenario. It was carrying 1600 megawatts 13
- of capacity, a portion to Newfoundland and a 14
- portion to New Brunswick. 15
- 16 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. Sorry, I'm not sure. I thought you had agreed 17
- that it had been at 1 in 150 and that decision 18
- 19

2

- 20 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- 21 A. It was -
- 22 GREENE, Q.C.:
- 23 Q. It was, okay.
- 24 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. in that stage. When we converted to the
  - Page 144

Page 143

- current scheme and was Muskrat Falls and the 1
  - 320 kV bi-pole to Soldiers Pond with the
- potential add-on for the Maritime link, that 3
- is when we changed the design to 1 in 50. 4
- 5 That was not just prior to DG2. That was
- early in the evolution of this concept. 6
- 7 GREENE, Q.C.:
- 8 Q. Perhaps over the break, I'll show your counsel
- 9 the exhibit that I am referring to and the
- date that's referenced in it. I can't bring 10
- 11 it up on the screen because it's a
- confidential exhibit. 12
- 13 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
- Q. I'm sorry, the question is? 14
- 15 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. The timing when they changed the design return 16
- period from 1 in 150 to 1 in 150. 17
- 18 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
- Q. The date?
- 20 GREENE, O.C.:
- 21 O. Yes. We can come back to that after the
- 22 break.
- 23 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. Sure. 24
- 25 GREENE, Q.C.:

5

Page 145

- Q. Just to make sure we're talking about the same 1 2 and that I understand I your answer to me. I just wanted to have that discussion. 3
- You mention the size of the line coming 4 in, the HVDC line that's coming in is going to 5 be sized for 900 megawatts, 2 poles, 450 a 6 pole. 7
- 8 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. 450 a pole, yes, with an overload capability 10 on each pole.
- 11 GREENE, O.C.:
- 12 Q. Or you could do--you can take the total load 13 on one pole for ten minutes and then 150 percent of the load on that same--if you lose 14 a pole, you can carry the full load for ten 15 16 minutes on one pole and then 150 percent continuous after that, correct? 17
- 18 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. That's correct, yes.
- 20 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. So, that's a large load coming in from 21
- Labrador to the Island. Do we have any--22
- what's the next largest load on the Island? 23
- This is a 900 megawatt line you're going to be 24
- bringing in. 25

Page 146

# 1 MR. HUMPHRIES:

- A. Just back up here for a second. It's a large 2
- capacity, yes, it is a large capacity, but 3
- from the perspective of the load on the 4
- 5 Island, is that all that capacity needed on
- the Island in 2017, 2021, 2022? No, it's not. 6
- 7 Effectively we are using that line to replace
- the Holyrood plant which has a capacity of 500 8
- 9 megawatts. So, from the perspective of what's
- coming out of the system and what's coming in,
- 10
- we're not talking about 900 megawatts. We're 11
- talking about 500 megawatts. 12
- 13 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. When Holyrood closes and the load forecast 14
- that you filed before the Board shows you 15
- using all of Muskrat Falls energy over your 16
- forecast period, doesn't it? 17
- 18 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- 19 A. Yes, it does, over the forecast period. It also shows that we add additional generation 20
- for capacity addition on the Island during 21
- that forecast period in excess of 250 22
- megawatts. 23
- 24 GREENE, O.C.:
- Q. Yes, but at the points during the forecast 25

period you will be looking to the line to

Page 147

- 2 bring in the full amount of Muskrat Falls
- energy to the Island? 3
- 4 MR. HUMPHRIES:
  - A. I think about the time we would be at this
- stage where we would be using the full 6 capacity for the Island, there would be 7
- additional backup added on the Island. We may 8
- be bringing it in, but the fact there would be 9 10 sufficient reserve on the Island to compensate
- for a significant portion of that capacity. 11
- 12 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. Okay. So, you've gone to the next point that 13 you're going to have backup, but I guess it's 14 during periods of the forecast period, the 15 full amount will be coming over the line and 16 you will have reserve backed up--you will be 17
- adding generation on the Island. So, yes, you 18 may have additional backup and you'll be 19
- adding, et cetera, but at some stages, you 20 will be bringing the full amount of capacity 21
- energy over that line to the Island. 22
- 23 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. At some stage, we will, yes.
- 25 GREENE, Q.C.:

Page 148 Q. Throughout the forecast period--and we're

- 1 2 going to come actually and look at the energy
- balances. We'll talk a little bit more about 3
- when you're going to start using all of the 4 5 energy from Muskrat. Because that's one of
- the concerns, that you don't start using the 6
- 7 energy until later in the period, and we're 8
  - going to come to that.
- So, at some stage and we can go back, if 9 you like and look at the energy tables for how 10
  - long and when you'll be bringing in, but
- you'll be bringing in the full amount over the 12 infeed. So, 900 megawatts is pretty darn big 13
- load, isn't it? 14 15 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. It's significant, yes.
- 17 GREENE, Q.C.:

11

- Q. So, when you come back to the CSA standard 18 19 which is that there is a suggestion that it is
- 1 in 150 for lines above 230. You say that's 20
- only a suggestion, it's not mandatory. So, 21
- that's why you believe you're complying with 22 CSA even though they suggested it should be a 23
- higher standard. How would you deal with the 24
- qualification, the concern they raised where 25

February 14, 2012	Multi-Pa	'age Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 149	Page 151
it isin that case it's not a principle, bu	it 1	1 (2:30 p.m.)
2 it'sI call it where it's a critical line	2	2 MR. HUMPHRIES:
3 that's bringing in a large source of	of 3	A. And we alsothe duration of the outage has to
4 generation. Does that play into it at all	for 4	be factored into this as well. That is the
5 you	5	significant part, particularly from the energy
6 MR. HUMPHRIES:	6	perspective. And, you know, statements that
7 A. Well, from the perspective of critical	, I 7	this line could be out for considerable
8 think, we need to go back and look a	t, in 8	periods of time, we don't believe, from the
9 relation to what the total peak load is o	n the 9	perspective, that the normal, if we had a
Island and the actual energy requiren	nents. 10	failure, the normal restoration time would be
11 And to use some round numbers, let's	assume 11	in the two week timeframe. We don't
the peak is 2000 megawatts and we h		anticipate a situation where this line is
energy requirement of, let's say for r	I	going to be down for half the year.
numbers, let's say 10 gigawatt hours		4 GREENE, Q.C.:
could be a little bit less than that, but for		` &
round numbers. So, when we look at,		1 2
capacity perspective, 2000 megawatts,	the 900   17	there earlier than I had planned, but I will
megawatts at the time when we do rea	I	3
maximum requirement, it's coming clo	I	<b>,</b>
percent, yes. But when we look at		
periods, we also have towhen we do		1
look at the other generating additions	I	y y
are being made on the Island from, as	I	3 MR. HUMPHRIES:
grows and on average it's around, it's	I	,
like 20 to 25 percent of the capacity of	that 25	average of what it's taken us to restore 230
	Page 150	Page 152
line that would be critical in the event	of a 1	kV transmission on the Island as a result of a
loss. Because we would be carrying i	reserve 2	number of ice storms we've had in the past 30
3 which, for the most part, would be or	the 3	years.
4 Island. So, whether that's significant,	it's 4	4 GREENE, Q.C.:
5 definitely not the full capacity and we	feel 5	Q. So, you're basing it on your experience with
6 it's not half the capacity.	6	the current 230 kV system.
7 So, our view is that it is a manageable	e 7	7 MR. HUMPHRIES:
8 number. From the energy perspective	I	B A. Yes.
9 fully utilize Muskrat Falls which is	I	GREENE, Q.C.:
terawatt hours, again, we're looking at		
of 10, in the end, it is 50 percent, but		8 1 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
takes a long time to get to that 50 perc	I	E
13 And as we're getting there, we are a		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
additional generating capacity on the l	I	1 '
system that would compensate for the		
that line.	16	
17 GREENE, Q.C.:	17	
Q. Even though it is a 900 megawatts, yo	· .	j j
out of 2000, it is, in your views, that is	I	
significant enough to cause you, by itse		MR. HUMPHRIES:
consider, leaving apart the idea it's on	-	
suggestion that you go to 1 in 150, if		2 GREENE, Q.C.:
above 230, You're saying that even the	I	
face that it's almost 50 percent of your	-	
is not enough to -	25	operational plan that Nalcor has in place to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

**February 14, 2012** Page 153 address outages in the Long Range Mountains. 1 And I think MHI has raised this in terms of 2 the type of plan they had expected to see, 3 having developed a plan for available 4 inventory of steel structures or anything that 5 would available in the event of an outage. 6 7 MR. HUMPHRIES: 8 A. Does somebody in the Project want to address that? 10 MR. KEAN: A. Yes, it's Jason Kean. One of the activities 11 that the Project team has been engaged in 12 throughout the design of the line is looking 13 at the types of structures and, of course, the 14 number of structures that would be required in 15 16 consideration of the type of line outage we could have. Further design of the structures 17 includes considerable number of dead ends to 18 avoid cascading events. So, that is part of 19 the work that we are doing presently. 20 21 GREENE, Q.C.: 22 Q. That is, in terms of what the actual structure is and I think you mentioned yesterday that 23 you had advanced in terms of what information 24 had been provided to the Board for the DG2 25 Page 154 analysis that you had looked at design 1 loadings in some of the structures. My 2 3 question was, have you developed an operational plan with respect to available 4 5

and how you've made that determination in light of the different nature of the terrain and the climactic conditionals along the terrain? So, Mr. Humphries, you were saying, based on your experience with the 230 system, have you done any analysis to show whether the same conditions would apply? And if so, I'd like you to talk about the Alpine regions and the analysis that you've done to show that it is the same sort of experience you'd expect to see in what you've described as the Alpine regions which includes part of the Long Range Mountains?

Page 155

#### 14 MR. HUMPHRIES:

A. I am not familiar with the level of analysis that has been done, but to get back to Mr. Kean's point, I guess, on some of the things that are being incorporated in the design to limit the -- in the event that we have an outage, to limit the impact by limiting the number of spans because of anti-cascading structures. Coming from that, we will be able to have a more realistic handle on the level -- the amounts of materials we would need to have on hand to react to an event, should it

inventories and whether they would be required to be stored anywhere along so that they could be ready access which is one of the operational risks that are involved with an outage in these types of environment. I appreciate your answer which was dealing with your looking at the design of the line which is one risk. And by the way, you mentioned yesterday you were gone to tender for structural steel. The only thing that was on your website was for the AC line, would that be the same for the DC line?

17 MR. KEAN:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A. The RFP that's been issued is for the AC line 18 19 only.

20 GREENE, O.C.:

Q. So, in terms of how you would deal with the 21 operation--I'm just trying to explore and get 22 you to explain how you chose the two week 23 window from your experience in the current 230 24 system would be applicable for the HVDC system 25

Page 156 happen and also, based on our history, I guess, the events we have had have been more significant because we haven't had that level of reenforcement. We haven't had the anticascading structures. We've been in situations where we've had larger numbers and spans unavailable and we have been successful in restoring transmission in these time frames.

With respect to the Long Range Mountains and those areas, no, we don't have any experience because we haven't been operating in those areas, but I think it's safe to say that if there's any extra reenforcement incorporated in the design, it will be in that area and we will be looking at things to further mitigate the probability of a complete failure, possibly even to the extent of separating the conductors on separate structures in the high risk areas, so that if there were a failure, the probability of losing both poles would be reduced again.

23 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. One of the findings in the MHI report was with respect to the lack of information that was

Page 157 available on the HVDC transmission line, including the design loadings, the root, et cetera. I know you've mentioned there's been a significant amount of work done since. Is any of that information available now? 6 MR. HUMPHRIES: A. I don't have it. I don't know if anyone in the project would want to comment on that. 9 MR. BENNETT: A. It's Gilbert Bennett. That work is not yet complete. 12 GREENE, Q.C.: Q. Okay. So, and just I know you explained yesterday and so that people are following us, you've talked about how you would beef up. You would add the anti-cascading. You would put in more towers. Just to explain again, that does not affect the return period? That's something you would do. It doesn't relate to having more of that just because you're going to the higher return period? Maybe you could just refresh people's memory about that. 

to the current design. So, for example, on the Avalon Peninsula, our designed ice loading is 75 millimetres of ice, which based on the history that we've seen over the operating period of this transmission facility corresponds to the maximum loading that we've seen over approximately a 50-year period.

So the anti-cascade structures, they help

Page 159

So the anti-cascade structures, they help reduce the extent of an outage. The ice loading and the meteorological information is what takes us to the return period. So, all of those learnings, both in respect of analysis of meteorological conditions, has helped inform the design and certainly all of the operational considerations that we've learned over the years have also been incorporated into this design as well.

18 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. And in looking at the upgrade of the 230 kV transmission system, which the Commissioners are familiar with, because it was upgrade program that was brought forward and approved by the Board specifically as a capital project, with the improved design criteria, I guess one of the questions is how do you take

Page 158

context around that. The whole evaluation of

the return period and the discussion that we've had to date about the establishment of the return period, I think maybe has not considered some of the significant work that has been done, both by Hydro and Nalcor, over the past number of years in respect of the Avalon Peninsula, and I think in Exhibit 106, I think Mr. Thomas made a note that if you refer explicitly to the numbers and ice loading information that's provided by CSA in the standard, that the numbers that we're seeing on the Avalon Peninsula system in particular go well beyond what are expected in

A. It's Gilbert Bennett. Maybe I'll put some

24 MR. BENNETT:

the standard. So when we look at the methodology that was described in Exhibit 97, there's a comprehensive approach to establishing those return periods and certainly from Hydro's historical perspective, there have been a number of activities

undertaken over the years to improve the performance of the AC system, particularly on the Avalon Peninsula and so there's a learning process that has been undertaken over a number

of years. Those learnings have been built in

Page 160
that data that you have for your Avalon
Peninsula 230 kV and whether that's

Peninsula 230 kV and whether that's appropriate for bringing in, acknowledging that it's an HVDC line at a higher voltage and is bringing in a major source of power over very difficult terrain conditions, and that's only these types of issues that we're trying to explore with you in terms of your decision on the 1 in 50, given that our independent expert has said that's not good enough, it should be at least 1 in 150 if you have an alternative supply available. So we will

alternative supply available. So we we explore some of those issues further.

I guess one of the -- talking about your AC system, and yes, it has been upgraded and there's been significant dollars spent to do that in the last period of time. One of the reasons you advance for not building the DC line to a higher standard is what's the point. Your AC system is at 1 in 150, so if that's going to be down, there's no point in having your DC system still standing. I'd like to talk to you a little bit about that because as a layperson, I found that kind of troubling or hard to understand why and what that meant.

Page 161 Page 163 So, first, and I can take you to the RFI, most robust criterion for any line on the 1 1 if you'd like, but I gather, Mr. Humphries, 2 2 Avalon and the same is true when we look at that was one of the rationales put forward for the other loading areas. That the comparable 3 3 loading for other lines in those regions is 4 4 still lighter than anything we've done on the 5 MR. HUMPHRIES: 5 A. It was and it is applicable and I think still DC system. 6 6 will be applicable on the Avalon Peninsula, as 7 GREENE, O.C.: 7 an example, you know, which still the ice 8 8 Q. You're talking again about the ice loading which goes to how you can beef up within a design on the Avalon Peninsula is not 1 in 50 9 9 return period, I guess, is what you're 10 even. It's 1 in 25 and if there were an icing 10 event in excess of, let's say in excess of bringing me to. You're talking about the ice 11 11 in 50 years or at the 1 in 50 year level, loadings when you talk about the 75 12 12 there is a high likelihood that the link will millimetres? 13 13 still be standing and the supporting 230 kV 14 MR. BENNETT: 14 lines coming out of the converter station at 15 A. Well, the ice loading, of course is -15 16 Soldier's Pond and serving the local load will 16 GREENE, Q.C.: have failed. So you know, that's -- yeah, we Q. That's what you're talking about, isn't it, 17 17 can take a level of comfort in the increased the -18 18 reliability of the link, but we still have a 19 19 MR. BENNETT: lesser of a design on our underlying bulk 230 A. Well, that's targeted from the return period. 20 20 kV transmission system which is, at the end of So we look at wind, ice, the line wind and 21 21 the day, what serves the load in the province. 22 22 ice. 23 GREENE, Q.C.: 23 GREENE, Q.C.: Q. And I can understand that when you're talking Q. Ambient temperature, all of that goes into 24 24 about the same -- the storm hitting the Avalon your design loading, right, okay. So that was 25 25 Page 164 Page 162 Peninsula, taking out your AC and your DC. part of what I was -- when you say there's no 1 1 point in having one part of your system better 2 MR. HUMPHRIES: 2 than the other, but the response would be, Mr. A. That's right. 3 Humphries, if you were doing that, you know, 4 GREENE, O.C.: 4 Q. You're saying that because that happens and 5 both lines are down, it's no different than 5 your AC system, the converter is not working, one line being down, whether it's your AC or 6 6 you can't take power, so there's no point in 7 7 your DC? having your line up, and I guess sitting at 8 8 MR. BENNETT: 9 home with the lights out, I was just wondering A. Wellif the AC system was down, boy, and if I was 10 10 GREENE, O.C.: 11 at Nalcor, I wouldn't want my DC system down Q. If it hits the Avalon? too. I get the AC system back and that's 12 12 MR. BENNETT: running, but boy, the DC system is down too, 13 13 A. It's Gilbert again. I guess the other way of so I would rather have at least one of them up considering that is if you're going to make an 14 14 and standing if you lost the other system. investment in reliability improvement, the 15 15 question that begs itself is where is the most That's my layman's interpretation. I don't 16 16 want both lines flattened as my crews go out opportune place to make that investment that 17 17 to repair them. provides the most increase in reliability for 18 18 19 MR. BENNETT: 19 customers. A. It's Gilbert Bennett. I think it's important 20 20 GREENE, O.C.: to clarify that the design criteria that we're 21 Q. Okay. So we want to go to the combustion 21 using for the DC system are the most robust 22 22 turbines? and stringent criteria for any transmission 23 23 MR. BENNETT:

24

25 GREENE, Q.C.:

A. Well, even in transmission lines.

24

25

line in the province. So, the 75 millimetre

criteria that we're using on the Avalon is the

February 14, 2012	Multi-Pa	ge <sup>™</sup> Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 165	Page 167
1 Q. Okay.	_	MR. HUMPHRIES:
2 MR. BENNETT:	2	A. Yeah, but again, that's where our load our
3 A. I think Mr. Thomas pointed out in Exl	hibit 106 3	load centre is on the Avalon Peninsula.
4 that an improvement you would get	a greater 4 (	GREENE, Q.C.:
5 improvement in overall reliability	by 5	Q. Now we've talked about the difference between
6 investing in the AC system, as oppos	sed to 6	the 1 in 50 and the 1 in 150 for the return
7 continued investment in the DC side.	7	period. There's also reference in the MHI
8 GREENE, Q.C.:	8	report to that criteria is where there is an
9 Q. So if we can go with that, so your AC	system 9	alternate supply available and if there's no
would be up, your DC system could be		alternate supply, it should be 500, the return
11 you wouldn't have, at some points, do		period should be 1 in 500 and I believe you
on when it happened, without your ba	_	gave some indication of cost this morning as
you would lose all of the in-feed, which		to the cost for doing that which was 250
be as much as 900 megawatts, and dej	. •	million. Is Nalcor giving any consideration -
when it happens, it depends on your a		- I assume the well, what is the
16 backup.	16	consideration you're giving now to the return
17 MR. BENNETT:	17	period design for the line, given MHI's
18 A. I'm not sure I follow that.	18	recommendation?
19 GREENE, Q.C.:		MR. HUMPHRIES:
Q. You said that you would rather invest		A. I guess based on my comments yesterday, we are
21 AC system rather than the DC system.		still remaining at the 1 in 50.
22 what that's the way I took the answ		GREENE, Q.C.:
23 MR. HUMPHRIES:	23	Q. Okay.
24 A. I think that's only to the point that y		MR. HUMPHRIES:
25 would bring the lines to a comparable		A. And it will be a balance between line design
1.1.1.4 227 11.24	Page 166	Page 168
1 reliability. We wouldn't invest to brin	-	and backup generation to provide a level of
2 230 kV lines on the Avalon Peninsula		reliability that overall best suits the
3 150 year design when we only have		requirements of the customers.
4 years on the link. We wouldn't do that		GREENE, Q.C.:
<ul><li>5 GREENE, Q.C.:</li><li>6 Q. We've only talked about storms on the</li></ul>	ne Avalon 5	Q. Before we get to the backup generation issue, the Alpine regions are mentioned in the MHI
6 Q. We've only talked about storms on the Peninsula which could also affect bot		report as areas where there should be special
8 you had a storm on the AC system ou		consideration given, given the meterological
9 the Avalon that could impact only th		conditions that exist. What are the four
small area of the province and wouldr		Alpine regions that have been identified in
the DC line, would it? I'm just trying	_	your meteorological studies? For ease of
understand the thing where you said	-	reference, they are shown in MHI, Volume 1,
better to have a strong AC system and		page 62.
have the same storm affecting only		MR. BENNETT:
small part of your AC system if it's at	· ·	A. It's my recollection on those Alpine areas,
50 and if you have 1 in 150 for your E		there's a short section in Labrador on the
your DC line is still standing righ		coast. There's the Long Range Mountains
throughout the province.	18	crossing, and if my memory is correct, there's
19 MR. HUMPHRIES:	19	a short section in the western part of Central
20 4 7/ 14 11 4- 4 1 41	:4:-	N

21

22

23

A. Yes, it would have to depend on the -- it is 20 21 specific to the location of the line. There's 22

no question about that.

23 GREENE, Q.C.:

24 Q. And it is more of a concern if the big storm 25 happens to hit on the Avalon for both lines? 24 GREENE, Q.C.: 25 Q. So you haven't addressed the issue of any

criteria in those regions.

Newfoundland, and in those areas, in those

Alpine loading areas, as we pointed out in

Exhibit 97, we have not finalized the loading

February 14, 2012	Multi-Pag	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 169	Page 171
additional cost if you were to add addit	_	there were a bi-pole outage, how would Nalcor
2 reliability enhancements to address the	I	deal with the outage. So if we scroll down,
3 region?	3	you get a and you refer to certain measures
4 MR. BENNETT:	4	that would be taken and we talk about the
5 A. We are expecting that the ice loading is	n those 5	Strait of Belle Isle, et cetera, and the
6 regions and the wind loading in those r		additional risk, but if you keep going until
7 will be higher than in the eastern and A	-	it gets to the HVDC line, and I don't know
8 sections of the project. They will be o	I	here, Mr. Humphries, beginning there on
9 millimetres of ice. They will be on	50 9	Exhibit 106, I don't know, you might want to
millimetres that we use in most of the	line.	talk about how you've did the calculation,
Those are extreme areas. We recogni	ze that	looking at the level of unsupplied energy in
and they have been some provision f	I	the in-feed option versus the Isolated island
has been included in our DG2 work.	13	option for the two-week repair window you
14 GREENE, Q.C.:	14	talked about.
15 Q. And what about the costs of doing	those 15 M	IR. HUMPHRIES:
enhancements? Has there been any inc		A. Yes, and again, the analysis done in Exhibit
the CPW for the to reflect additional of		106 is a deterministic type analysis and it
for the Alpine region and the transmi	ssion 18	deals with identifying the worst case exposure
19 line?	19	and we felt that important that to identify
20 MR. BENNETT:	20	that if this line failed at the worst possible
21 A. We have been expecting heavy loading	g in those 21	time, what would the ultimate exposure to
22 areas for quite some time, so for the s	hort 22	customers be and the levels of unserved
distances that are involved in those ar	reas, 23	energy, we went through and we looked at our
our structural estimates would have	been 24	load shave, our anticipated load shave and
higher on a per kilometre basis than yo	ou would 25	we've done calculations of the levels of
	Page 170	Page 172
look at elsewhere in the system.	1	unserved energy during this worse two-week
2 GREENE, Q.C.:	2	period and it comes back to when we'd look at
3 Q. I wonder could you is it possible for	you 3	it from a probabilistic perspective, the
4 to give us an indication of the costs inv	-	probability of having the line fail in the
5 in adding the additional transmission		peak week is not very significant. Normally
6 reliability enhancements in the Alp		icing doesn't happen at peak times. It's at
7 regions?	7	the what we'd call the shoulder periods in
8 MR. BENNETT:	8	the late fall or early spring and during those
9 A. We don't have that here with us, I'm a	fraid. 9	periods, the actual exposure or exposure to
10 GREENE, Q.C.:	10	unserved load is lower again because the load
11 Q. Can I have it as an undertaking that y	ou'll 11	is just not there. We're not at peak. So
provide it?	12	when we did this exercise in Exhibit 106, the
13 O'REILLY, Q.C.:	13	intent was there to show, regardless of the
14 Q. We have it, we can -	14	probability, if this happened at the worst
15 GREENE, Q.C.:	15	possible time, what would it look like and
16 Q. Okay. Now if we go to the issue of the	e backup 16	where would we be.
generation that we were talking abou		REENE, Q.C.:
believe yesterday you mentioned, an		Q. And if your outage was for this minimum you
Humphries mentioned it earlier this aft		said that the maximum outage would be a two-
20 well you could have backup generation	I	week period based on your experience with your
level of unsupplied energy could be ad		current existing 230 system. We've already
in having backup generation instead	I	talked about whether that's a realistic metric
improving the design of the line. Can	I take 23	or not, but that's how you derived you're

25

taking two weeks. You get everything back and

running in two weeks and this is the level of

you to PUB-Nalcor-175, please, Mr. McNiven?

So if we -- this question dealt with if

24

25

February 14, 2012	Multi-Pa	age TM	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 173		Page 175
1 unsupplied energy that would be not me	t during 1	A.	That's correct.
2 that two-week outage?	2	GREE	NE, Q.C.:
3 MR. HUMPHRIES:	3	Q.	And that is not in the CPW analysis at
4 A. That's right.	4		present, is it?
5 GREENE, Q.C.:	5	MR. E	IUMPHRIES:
6 Q. Okay. So if you continue to scroll down	n, the	A.	No. That would actually, it would
7 question was whether your answer wa	as that 7		represent an advancement of cost in the CPW
8 there would be periods that there was go	oing to 8		analysis. These gas turbines actually get
be unsupplied energy and the question w	vas what 9		added but later in the analysis, so if you
would you have to install to ensure that	the 10		when we look at, 11 gas turbines costs a lot
level of unsupplied energy for the in-fee	d was		more than 375 million dollars, but all we're
going to be the same as in the isolate	ed 12		doing, we're advancing the cost and we would
option? Do you recall how many combu	ustion gas 13		bring them forward for transmission
turbines was going to be required?	14		reliability backup earlier than we would for
15 MR. HUMPHRIES:	15		our LOLH requirement.
16 A. As I recall, I think it was 11 combustion	gas 16		NE, Q.C.:
17 turbines.	17	Q.	But would it was the impact on the CPW, I
18 GREENE, Q.C.:	18		think the indication to the answer was 350
19 Q. So for that and we'll come to the			million dollars it would add to the in-feed as
difference in the level of unsupplied ene			a cost?
between the in-feed and the Isolated, bu			IUMPHRIES:
order to ensure that in the in-feed option			That's correct.
the level would be the same as the Isola			NE, Q.C.:
option, it would require 11 combustion	-	Q.	So it would decrease the preference by that
turbines to be installed around the island			amount for the isolated?
	Page 174		Page 176
1 keep that level the same as the Isolate	ed 1		IUMPHRIES:
2 island option?	2		That's correct.
3 MR. HUMPHRIES:			NE, Q.C.:
4 A. That's correct.	4	Q.	Alright. Now just looking at the level of
5 GREENE, Q.C.:	5		unsupplied energy for using your same
6 Q. And what was the cost of those 11	_		analysis, the two-week window, your worst two-
7 turbines?	7		week window, you're going to get all the lines
8 MR. HUMPHRIES:	8		up in two weeks for the DC line coming across
9 A. I don't have the capital cost, the total			the Long Range Mountains, comparing that, the
capital cost but I think the cumulativ			level of unsupplied energy in the in-feed
present worth difference of the expans analysis was less than 400 million dollar			option versus the isolated option, can we go to PUB-Nalcor-168, I believe it is?
analysis was less than 400 million dollar (3:00 p.m.)	13.		And in looking at or we could have
14 GREENE, Q.C.:	14		gone to your Table 5, 106, if you'd prefer.
15 Q. Yes, if you go to it was 350 millio		MR F	IUMPHRIES:
dollars I believe you answered the ques			Yes.
that that would be the impact on the CPW			NE, Q.C.:
18 MR. HUMPHRIES:	18		It's just the same data. To me, it's just
19 A. Yes.	19		what I was used to for getting the number.
20 GREENE, Q.C.:	20		But for me, Mr. McNiven, I need it back for
Q. So to put in the 11 gas turbines to provi			the numbers. It's in two pages. It's just
that same level of reliability as the isolat			when you did the table for the exhibit, you
island option, it would be 11 gas turbine	es at 23		demonstrated the question was the level of
24 a cost of 250 million dollars?	24		ungumplied energy for your two week window

25

unsupplied energy for your two-week window based on your load and the way the question

a cost of 350 million dollars?

24

25 MR. HUMPHRIES:

February 14, 2012	<b>Multi-Page</b>	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 177	Page 179
and you confirmed in the answer is tha	•	would have to revert to load rotations and
2 if you look at the isolated island option		those types of things. For the most part,
3 unsupplied varies from zero megawatt		there would not be based on our load data,
4 to 19, almost 20,000 megawatt hours	*	there would not be a full 24-hour period where
5 years 2017 to 2037. Is that correct, I		we would resort to that. There would probably
6 Humphries?	6	be a period of eight to ten, maybe even 12
7 MR. HUMPHRIES:	7	hours a day, where we would have no problem
8 A. Yes, that's correct.	8	with serving the load, but to get over those
9 GREENE, Q.C.:	9	peaks, we would either we would interrupt
10 Q. Okay. Now if we looked at the Interco		or rotate blocks of load amongst customers for
option for the very same period using t		those periods each day until we got the line
same repair outage, we see that the uns	•	back in service and repaired, and even within
energy now goes from 14 megawatt he	* *	that two-week period, our peak doesn't last
almost 94,000 megawatt hours over the	•	our peak only lasts a day or two. So the
period. So to a layperson again reading	I	worst exposure and the maximum amount of load
to me there's more opportunity for uns		rotation would take place probably over a 48-
energy during the two-week outage in		hour period and as we went either side of that
18 feed option versus the isolated island o		in the two-week period, there would still be
19 Is that correct?	19	load rotations, but they would be less
20 MR. HUMPHRIES:	20	significant.
21 A. Based on those numbers and during		EENE, Q.C.:
periods, yes, that's correct.		Q. Okay. I wonder if we could go to PUB-Nalcor-
23 GREENE, Q.C.:	23	31, which talks a little bit more about under
Q. Now could I go to PUB-Nalcor-174? Ar		frequency load shedding, what it would mean.
25 I wanted to discuss with Nalcor v	I	So, if we scroll down to the bottom of the
	Page 178	Page 180
happens if the bi-pole is down, we do h	_	page, can you just it talks about there, if
level of unsupplied energy that we just		we could begin by reading at line 23, Mr.
about and we're not able to supply loa		Humphries, where the sentence begins "in
4 will Nalcor provide that load? And in I	I	addition to tripping load centres," and if you
5 at this, we see about how you're going		want, you can just take a moment to get the
it and if you want, Mr. Humphries, yo		context of the question and the answer. It
7 either just tell us how you would do it		just describes your under frequency load
8 how you would deal with under freque		shedding scheme.
9 shedding, et cetera, in that situation a	· .	. HUMPHRIES:
what it would mean for the ratepayers?		A. Okay. From the perspective of the event, the
11 MR. HUMPHRIES:	11	transition and there's two issues going. When
12 A. Could you just page down a little further		we look at from the in the context of
13 it's -	13	the Labrador-Island link, there are two events
14 GREENE, Q.C.:	14	that could happen, I guess. One is the loss
15 Q. And you don't have to refer to that.		of a pole and what happens there and the
just it's because I'm sure you know		design at that stage is from the that would
heart as to -	17	be transparent to the customer with the
18 MR. HUMPHRIES:	18	overload capabilities and the ten-minute
19 A. Yeah, okay. Well, what would happen		continuous 150 percent overloads, that would
20 event that we were in a situation where		be a transparent event and that is one of the
21 not have the capacity to serve the load,		integration studies which are ongoing. That
based on the and if this were at the w	-	is one of the contingencies that will be
00		investigated and soutimes - 1

23

24

25

investigated and confirmed.

From the perspective of when we lose that

bi-pole, that is not going to be -- the actual

23

24

25

period of time, worst loading period, the peak

period, there would be a number of hours

during a day where we would be short and we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 181 transition, the contingency when that happens, 1 that will not be a seamless event, you know. 2 There's going to be under frequency load 3 4 shedding. There will be -- we will have to possibly segregate portions of the system and 5 then we will come back and recover and put the 6 7 system back together with the available generation that we have and if there's enough 8 generation there, everything will continue on. 9 10 If there's not, we will enter into these load rotations like I spoke of. 11

> And that will be true at any stage for a loss of the bi-pole, even if -- for argument sake, even if we had the Maritime link and we had a sudden loss of that full 800 megawatt or 900 megawatt supply to the island, it's not going to be seamless. There would be special protection plans in place to isolate areas of load and we would go through an orderly restoration. It's not going to turn around on a dime. Even if the Maritime link was there, you can't turn it around on a dime and pull 500 megawatts in. There would be a restoration period involved. So when that event happens, as we call transiently from the

> > Page 182 Page 184

time the event happens until it settles out, 1 2 there will be special protections and 3 operations going on and service will not be normal, but it will be comparable to any 4 emergency type event that you would have for a 5

major failure on a system.

7 GREENE, Q.C.:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

Q. And just you were explaining that and then the 8 9 line, beginning at line 25, "it is expected that the Avalon Peninsula and potentially the 10 Burin Peninsula, depending upon system load, 11 conditions and HVDC link load conditions will 12 need to be tripped to maintain an electrically 13 isolated island containing remaining hydro 14 electric resources." So again, to a layperson 15 reading that, it sounds like we're tripping 16 off the Avalon and the Burin, so we're going 17 to be isolated from the rest, so maybe should 18 19 we all move to the west coast or what are we to take from that, as a layperson reading 20 21 that?

22 MR. HUMPHRIES:

A. Well, again, that is a short-term trip, no 23 differently than what happens on the system 24 today and it's happened for the past 40 years. 25

We have an under frequency load shedding scheme and it's our first line of approach when we go through a generation loss. That is definitely not a utility norm in North America, you know. Under frequency load shedding are three or four tiers down the attack plan before it -- but it is priority one in Newfoundland and when we -- if we lose a unit at Holyrood, there's an under frequency load shedding scheme in place where we trip feeders to remove loads to protect the integrity of the whole system. If we didn't do that, the whole island would go black. So this is -- we're talking about the same type of arrangements for the loss of the bi-pole. We would do the same thing. If we didn't have these procedures in place, the whole province would go black. And these are -- they're not frequent events. These are events that are probably going to occur once in ten years and from an icing perspective, once in 50 years as a minimum. But there will be other events that will -- with the HVDC link, the equipment problems, converter problems that could end up in a trip of the system. It will be short in

Page 183

duration, but it will happen and when that 1 happens, we will have to -- if we lose both 2 3 poles and whether it's a line problem or a converter problem, we will have to revert to 4 5 these special protections to preserve the integrity of the system. It's no different 6 than -- we will not be doing -- now, we can be 7 doing that six or seven times a year. We have 8 9 done it as many as 12 or 13 times a year.

10 GREENE, O.C.:

11 Q. Is there a target -- does Hydro have a target that it uses for performance measures for the 12 number of under frequency trips? 13

14 MR. HUMPHRIES:

15 A. We've been trying to keep it to six, yes. 16 GREENE, O.C.:

Q. And was that a reduced target and you had to 17 enter into arrangements with customers to 18 19 reduce the number of under frequency trips? 20 MR. HUMPHRIES:

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, I mean, from the perspective of entering into arrangements with customers, the actual loads in our under frequency load shedding scheme, there are some industrial loads there. The paper mills were big contributors, where

February 1	.4, 2012 Multi	i-Page	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 185		Page 187
1 the	y would voluntarily we would trip non-	1	Exhibit 106 again, where we talk about these
1	ential equipment there and that made up a	2	level of unserved energy? And our premise
I	tion of the load, but there's also a number	3	moving forward of maintaining reliability at
1	Newfoundland Power feeders that your home	4	least at levels consistent that we experienced
	I my home may be on and there are times that	5	today, and if you look at the first line in
I	ur lights go out and they're out for 20	6	Table 5 it's page 23 of 34. If you look at
1	nutes or half and hour and there's no	7	the first line in that table, the Isolated
8 obv	vious problem around your neighbourhood and	8	island, and we look at the year 2012 and year
1	t's quite likely what's happened because of	9	2012 is representative of where we've been for
1	under frequency load shedding and through	10	the past long time, number of years, 20 years,
I	luck of the draw, you're on one of those	11	and you look at if we had a common mode
I	ders that day and you get tripped. That's	12	failure, and that means that we lost both
1	en a you know, a fact of life for	13	transmission lines TL202 and 206 from Bay
I	wfoundland for 40 years now and like I say,	14	D'Espoir to Sunnyside at the same time at the
I	not the norm or even accepted in other	15	worst possible time, which has a probability
I	isdictions, but it has been, in my view,	16	of two percent right now, or two to four
	e of the most significant contributors to	17	percent, which is higher than the probability
1	overall system reliability and performance	18	of the loss of a Maritime link, even using the
19 in t	his province for the past 40 years.	19	1 and 50 year design, we would experience an
20 GREENE,		20	unserved energy in the range of 80,000
21 Q. And	d one of the ways of dealing with that would	21	megawatt hours. So when we look at then we
be be	through interconnection agreements that	22	move down into the second block there, the
23 oth	er utilities can take advantage of?	23	island interconnected bi-pole option and we
24 MR. HUMI	PHRIES:	24	look at the numbers that starting off at
25 A. The	e under frequency trips for these normal	25	14,000, peaking at 93 and then coming down,
	Page 186		Page 188
1 eve	ents, like something like the trip of a 150	1	and they will moderate at that 50,000 level in
<b>I</b>	gawatt generator, they will not exist in the	2	the future because that's as we start to
1	erconnection arrangement. It just won't	3	bring on generation, every couple of years
	open.	4	we're bringing on additional combustion
5 (3:15 p.m		5	turbines, so those unserved energies would
6 GREENE,	Q.C.:	6	moderate in that area. So from the
7 Q. Bed	cause of the fact you have an	7	perspective of where we would be then compared
8 inte	erconnection?	8	to where we are today, that's they do offer
9 MR. HUMI	PHRIES:	9	a comparable level of service. The
10 A. Yes	s, yes.	10	probability of the loss is lower. The
11 GREENE,	Q.C.:	11	magnitude the maximum magnitude of unserved
12 Q. Oka	ay. There's two additional points on this	12	energy, if that happened at the worst possible
13 issu	ue. Can we just go well, I don't know	13	time, is comparable. So that, based on that,
14 if w	ve need to, but in PUB-Nalcor-174, you	14	that's where we get to no generation. If that
15 stat	ted that you would not be it is not your	15	is not deemed appropriate, the right way to go
16 plan	n to install any of the additional	16	about rectifying the problem in our view is to
17 con	nbustion gas turbines to deal with	17	add additional CTs or advance the CTs that are
18 reli	ability and I was a little confused based	18	already in the plan. Increasing our line
19 on s	some of your answers yesterday and today if	19	design does nothing for that.
20 it's	still your current plan. Do you plan to	20 GREE	ENE, Q.C.:
21 eith	ner install any additional gas turbines to	21 Q	. So your position, as I understand it, is that
22 dea	al with this reliability issue or not?	22	your analysis compares the proposed new system

24

25 MR. HUMPHRIES:

with the current arrangement and it holds us

indifferent. We will be no better off.

A. Take it back -- I'll back up. Let's go back

to -- if we could go back to our Table 5 in

23 MR. HUMPHRIES:

24

25

February 14, 2012	Multi-Page <sup>1M</sup>	Muskrat Falls Hearing
Pag	e 189	Page 191
1 A. But we will be no worse.	1 MR. BENNE	
2 GREENE, Q.C.:	2 A. I thin	nk in this context, just to outline our
3 Q. Or no worse off, so -		nale, the availability of import capacity
4 MR. HUMPHRIES:		the Maritime Provinces is a significant
5 A. That's correct.		ce of generation for the island.
6 GREENE, Q.C.:	6 GREENE, Q	_
7 Q. So this idea of the interconnection and the		be I'm not reading the answer correctly or
8 idea that we may be a bit better off is not		other RFIs I can bring you to. Our
9 reflected in your analysis. In fact, your		erstanding was that from a reliability
minimum standard is bring us at least the		pective, what you are designing the system
in-feed will keep us in the same place we now		was to reach all reliability criteria
12 are?		out consideration of the Maritime link.
13 MR. HUMPHRIES:	13 Is th	at correct? The Maritime link, in fact I
14 A. That's right. And that's based on the single		the expression was, it's gravy. It's
15 I'll call it the single in-feed case and I		. We don't need it. It is not required
know we're not supposed to be talking abou		neet the required reliability for the
the Maritime link, but it's out there and that		d. Do you remember the gravy expression?
will make a significant difference.	18 MR. HUMPI	
19 GREENE, Q.C.:	19 A. Not	directly, but it may have been used, but
20 Q. Okay. Can we go to MHI-Nalcor-24, please		the perspective of your point, yes, that
21 And I guess this goes to the Maritime link.		rrect. It will not be required, but if
The answer to the question was that the syste	m 22 it we	ere there, it offers a benefit and if it
is designed to obtain the required level of		there, the whole question of 1 to 50 line
reliability with the island generation	24 desig	gn versus the 1 to 150 comes into
facilities. Any additional reliability as a	25 ques	tion. In the event that it is not there
Pag	e 190	Page 192
result of the Maritime link has not been		we still only have a 1 to 50 year design
2 factored into the analysis. So let's deal		ria, from our perspective, the best bang
3 just without the Maritime link, and in fact,		our buck, if you look at it from that
4 has any information been provided by Nalcon		for reliability is to add combustion gas
5 this reference question dealing with the		nes on the island.
6 Maritime link or the technical standards	6 GREENE, Q	.C.:
7 relating to it or how would it contribute to		that's where I guess I was earlier just
8 reliability in any way?		oment ago that I wanted to be sure I
9 MR. HUMPHRIES:	9 unde	erstood your position because you referred
10 A. Yes, there has.	10 to th	at just then and you did yesterday, but
11 GREENE, Q.C.:	11 if we	e go to PUB-Nalcor-174, you say it is not
12 Q. Information to this Board about the -	12 part	of your plan to install gas turbines. So
13 MR. HUMPHRIES:	13 are y	ou saying you've changed that and that is
14 A. Well, Exhibit 106 addresses the Maritime lin	k. 14 what	you will do or you're saying you if
15 GREENE, Q.C.:	15 anyb	ody wants you to, you will consider it?
16 Q. Have you provided any information as to wh	at 16 I'm	not sure what you're saying.
the technical requirements of the link would	17 MR. HUMPI	HRIES:
be to allow a technical assessment as to		are open in the event that the Maritime
whether it would be available and meet the		did not progress, we would be open to
20 reliability requirements?		assion, yes.
21 MR. HUMPHRIES:	21 GREENE, Q	
22 A. No, we have not.		The Maritime link, which is not required
23 GREENE, Q.C.:		esign the reliability of the system and
Q. No. So the only reference is your statement		ne information you've given to the Board
in Exhibit 106 relating to it?	25 is ba	sed on without the Maritime link in terms

Page 193 Page 195 of reliability, you would not put in gas A. I can't hear you. Could you speak up? 1 turbines either? If there is no Maritime 2 2 GREENE, O.C.: link, will you consider adding gas turbines to Q. Oh, sorry. If there is no Maritime link, are 3 3 address reliability? you still comfortable with the return period 4 4 of 1 in 50 years for the HVDC transmission 5 MR. BENNETT: 5 A. Maybe I'll try the question another way, and I line? 6 6 guess ultimately, this gets to the fundamental 7 7 MR. HUMPHRIES: question. If Hydro were to bring forward a 8 A. Yes, we are. capital budget request for the combustion 9 9 GREENE, O.C.: 10 turbines within the existing criteria used by 10 Q. Okay. So, MHI's recommendation is not going the Board, I guess the Board would have to to be considered at all by Nalcor as it moves 11 11 consider whether it would approve that. forward to DG3 with respect to the return 12 12 13 GREENE, O.C.: 13 period? Q. But I guess before the Board even gets to 14 14 MR. HUMPHRIES: consider it. Nalcor has to make a decision 15 A. We've considered it, but we feel our solution 15 16 whether it thinks it's prudent and then they 16 is the best, in the best interest of the ask the Board to approve it. So, I'm asking 17 17 ratepayer. you -18 GREENE, O.C.: 18 19 MR. BENNETT: 19 O. And you are aware of the other lines that are being built to the 1 and 50 -- and we'll hear A. Okay, and we -20 20 more about that from MHI tomorrow, that their 21 GREENE, Q.C.: 21 22 Q. - will Nalcor -- is Nalcor considering adding 22 new bi-pole is and that the other lines in gas turbines? Ontario and Quebec are as well. They're being 23 23 24 MR. BENNETT: -- so is it because of cost, we can't afford 24 A. So based on the information we have before us, it? 25 25 Page 194 Page 196 based on the information that we presented in 1 MR. HUMPHRIES: 1 2 Exhibit 106, based on an assessment of the A. It's a combination of cost and the relative 3 existing system, we do not see a basis for improvement in reliability that that cost 3 bringing forward additional combustion brings, overall reliability, just not trip to 4 4 turbines. We think the path we're on is a transmission, but overall ability to be able 5 5 prudent one and in the interest of our to serve the customers' load 6 6 7 province's ratepayers. 7 GREENE, Q.C.: 8 GREENE, O.C.: Q. The cost of enhancing to the 1 in 150 year 8 9 Q. Thanks. I was just uncertain where you've return period, we've already heard, is about 9 referred to it a few times now, well, we can 150 million dollars. That's about a three 10 10 solve the problem with gas turbines, but that 11 11 percent of your total cost of the project. So is not what you're proposing? in light of the fact it's such a small 12 12 percentage of your overall cost, you're that 13 MR. BENNETT: 13 confident that your totally right on A. And you're right, that is a technical solution 14 14 reliability, that as we sit here with a lower 15 if it were deemed that a different standard of 15 service were required on the Interconnected return period than in other provinces, as we 16 16 Island system, in the absence of the Maritime sit here without gas turbines and as we sit 17 17 here with the under frequency load shedding, link, then our view is that the combustion 18 18 19 turbine solution is a good solution. 19 I'm just -- you've made the decision on the basis it's too expensive for us to pay and 20 20 GREENE, O.C.: that you're really right on reliability? 21 21 Q. And I think you've answered the last question. If there is no Maritime link, you're still 22 22 MR. BENNETT: really comfortable at 1 in 50? Is that what 23 A. I think when you look at the efforts that have 23 you're telling us? gone into meteorological modelling, the design 24 24

25

limits that we're using, both on the Avalon

25 MR. HUMPHRIES:

February 14, 2012	Multi-P	Page Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 197	Page 199
and elsewhere on the system, as well	_	
2 special consideration that's being give	I	
the Alpine regions, the observation that		-
4 current design standards go well beyo		
5 loading criteria that are outlined in the		
6 standard for this part of Canada, the		6 MR. HUMPHRIES:
7 observation that we have the highest	I	A. Yes, that's correct.
8 loading generally speaking in southern	I	GREENE, Q.C.:
9 we think that we have an appropriate le		· ·
reliability designed into the system.	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11 MR. HUMPHRIES:	11	-
12 A. Just further to that, I guess from th	ne   12	completed, you advised that they would be
perspective of it's only 150 million dol	I	completed in March 2012. Are you still on
it's only three percent, I look at it from	I	-
perspective of yes, it's only 150 mill		5 MR. HUMPHRIES:
dollars, but looking at where we can a	chieve 16	6 A. We hope to be, yes.
the maximum customer benefit for th	nat 150   17	7 GREENE, Q.C.:
18 million dollars.	18	Q. Can you explain why it's taking so long to do
19 GREENE, Q.C.:	19	
20 Q. But obviously, I guess, it must be a jud	dgment 20	taken longer than you had anticipated.
call and that's one of the issues fo	or 21	MR. HUMPHRIES:
Newfoundlanders listening to this deba	ate. We 22	2 A. Yes, they've taken longer than we've
have an expert from outside the pro	vince 23	anticipated and they have these are studies
coming in and saying the return period	is not 24	that are not being done by us. They are being
good enough. It's not high enough and	d when it 25	done by SNC Lavalin as part of the overall
	Page 198	Page 200
comes to reliability and keeping the li	•	
on, I guess, there's two things very near	•	
dear to ratepayers' hearts. One is w		
4 they're going to pay for the power, bu		
5 even higher than that is making sure		along the way. So it just hasn't moved as
6 lights come on and the lights stay on.	So, 6	
7 thank you for your frank answer abou	it where 7	7 GREENE, Q.C.:
8 you are with the you do not agree	with 8	Q. You indicated yesterday as well that you had
9 MHI's recommendation. I guess the	hat's 9	done previous studies on system integration.
something that, and I understand from	n your 10	Some were done in 1998 when we had the
answer, you're not even willing to con-	sider it 11	proposed Gull Island development and Sales
as you move forward to DG3. So that's	s I 12	West, and in 2008 when you were looking at the
think we've probably covered the topic	e as far 13	3 1600 megawatt multi-terminal line with one
as we can and it may be a good time to	o break 14	terminal in New Brunswick and that had
at this point.	15	provided you a level of comfort that you had
16 CHAIRMAN:	16	sufficient information for DG2 purposes. Is
17 Q. Okay. We'll take a break for a few mi	nutes. 17	that a correct rephrasing of what you said?
18 (BREAK - 3:29 P.M. )	18	3 MR. HUMPHRIES:
19 (RESUME - 3:49 P.M. )	19	A. That's correct.
20 CHAIRMAN:	20	) GREENE, Q.C.:
21 Q. And here we are. Now I understand y	rou still 21	Q. When did you make that assessment that you had
got some we shall await you.	22	•
23 GREENE, Q.C.:	23	integration to allow you to proceed?
24 Q. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd like to mo		4 MR. HUMPHRIES:
25 another topic now, the AC Integration S	Studies. 25	A. Shortly after I would say that we finalized

Page 201
our focus on the Muskrat Falls Labrador-Island
link scenario, and that would be late 2009,

3 early 2010.

4 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. When the Board asked you about the completion of the system integration studies, you didn't advise or provide information with respect to how the previous integration studies met your criteria for DC2 or with respect to the new Muskrat Falls configuration. I was just wondering why not.

12 MR. HUMPHRIES:

13 A. I don't know whether we advised. The studies
 14 were filed as exhibits and -

15 GREENE, O.C.:

16 Q. But when the question was asked as to when the system integration studies would be completed 17 for Muskrat Falls, there was no indication in 18 the response that you had sufficient 19 information, that you didn't need to do them 20 or that -- and in fact, I'm going to come to 21 you also suggested you do not anticipate 22 anything material coming out of these studies 23 that would affect the project definition from 24 25 DG2 to DG3.

Page 202

Page 2

1 MR. HUMPHRIES:

A. I don't know if there was any particular reason why we didn't -- I guess we answered the question. The question was asked when would they be completed and we gave the answer. That's -

7 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. Yeah, and you didn't go on to say "and we
 really don't need the answer because we
 already got the information"?

11 MR. HUMPHRIES:

12 A. No, we did not.

13 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. Okay. You indicated that based on the previous work, you thought there was sufficient information available to move through DG2 with a new configuration, which is Muskrat going first. Is that correct?

19 MR. HUMPHRIES:

20 A. Yes, that's correct.

21 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. In the change, which was a change when Muskrat was advanced to proceeding ahead of Gull Island, what additional work did you do to verify and to validate what the implications

would be on the overall project layout moving

Page 203

Page 204

2 to that new proposed configuration, apart from

3 system integration?

4 MR. HUMPHRIES:

A. Well, apart from the system integration studies that -- you mean based on the previous studies or the studies that were being -anything we did to close the gap? Is that the question you're asking?

10 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. No, I guess I'm trying to get a feel for how 11 big a deal it was. You had all this previous 12 work done where Gull was going to be developed 13 before Muskrat, a couple of different 14 scenarios. One was Sales West through Quebec, 15 16 another was through this idea of going through New Brunswick. But then in the spring of 17 2010, the focus changed and it was advanced 18 Muskrat first, Gull second. 19

20 MR. HUMPHRIES:

21 A. Yes.

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

22 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. And what did Nalcor do as a result of that decision of change in focus to look at the implications of moving to Muskrat ahead of

1 Gull?

2 MR. HUMPHRIES:

A. From the perspective that -- just for a minute go back to the 1998 studies. The 1998 studies that were completed, while they were a Teshmont study, we did have four of our engineers seconded to Teshmont during that period and they actually did the computational work and were present during the assessment of the results. So we did have four people that were intimately familiar with the studies of 1998 and in fact, two of those individuals are still working in the same area. So, they were familiar with the models to be able to do some preliminary analysis, to look at things like advancing Muskrat Falls, putting in the transmission connection and while not completing a full assessment, getting the feel of comfort that there were not significant. It was by no means a complete integration study, but it gave us that level of comfort to know that this looks very similar.

23 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. So you're talking about system integration studies and I guess my question was a bit

Fe	bruary 14, 2012 Mult	i-P	Page Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 205		Page 207
1	broader. Apart from system integration, what	1	
2		1 2	2 MR. BENNETT:
3			3 A. Yes, they were looked at, but there was
4			4 information available from previous studies
ı	MR. HUMPHRIES:		that showed production from Muskrat Falls with
6	. O1 M W Ld' 1 11 1 11 1		and without Gull Island. So those studies had
7			7 previously been completed.
ΙÍ	MR. KEAN:		8 GREENE, Q.C.:
9			9 Q. And then when you changed the configuration
10		10	
ı	GREENE, Q.C.:	11	
12		12	
ı	MR. KEAN:	13	
14		14	
ı			
15	* * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15	•
16	ž	16	1
17	1	17	
18	e	18	
19	1 , 3	19	
20	e		20 MR. BENNETT:
21	Muskrat Falls was selected, the move of the	21	
22	•	22	j e
23	<u> </u>	23	<b>U</b> 1
24	*	24	
25	was some work done on spillway design review.	25	specifics of each situation, the factors under
	Page 206		Page 208
1	So over the I guess in summary, the period	1	consideration in each study had to be looked
2	of 2007 to '09, some critical areas were	2	at individually and consideration has to be
3	studied to facilitate better advanced	3	a conclusion has to be drawn from the
4	knowledge of Muskrat.	4	4 specifics.
5	GREENE, Q.C.:	5	5 (4:00 p.m.)
6	Q. So there were because of the change in the	6	6 GREENE, Q.C.:
7	configuration, Nalcor did have to study the	7	7 Q. So it was that various aspects were deemed
8	implications of that on various aspects of the	8	8 necessary to look at it because you changed
9	project and you needed those results before	9	9 the configuration. Mr. Kean was it was
10	you moved through the Decision Gate 2?	10	good enough of him to bring to all of these
11	MR. KEAN:	11	studies that you actually did do with the
12	A. Yes, that is correct. One of the studies that	12	change in the configuration, but system
13	was undertaken, as listed on page three, if	13	integration wasn't deemed important enough to
14	you just go down, was to look at the review of	14	have that updated to reflect the previous
15	impacts of earlier construction on Muskrat	15	15 configuration for DG2?
16	Falls of Muskrat Falls on Gull Island	16	16 MR. HUMPHRIES:
17	later. So we did understand, evaluate the	17	17 A. As we said before, based on our previous
18	implications in that regard.	18	studies and our familiarity with those and the
19	GREENE, Q.C.:	19	•
20		20	
21	done was to optimize the generation from	21	
22		22	•
23		23	
24	•	24	
2.	first? That's just another suggests of	12.	that was smitigal to DC2

that was critical to DG2.

25

first? That's just another example of

February 14, 2012	Multi-P	Page	Muskrat Falls Hearing
	Page 209		Page 211
1 GREENE, Q.C.:	1	1	A. It's just a generalized reference, the term
2 Q. But in any event, they will be complete	for 2	2	PPA, power purchase agreement, with respect to
3 DG3 and any potential cost identified of	or 3	3	the parameters that we used in our modelling
4 changes in project definition as a result	of 4	4	for DG2.
5 those studies and therefore any changes i	in the 5	5 GR	EENE, Q.C.:
6 project cost, all of that will be reflected i	n 6	6	Q. So it may at the end of the day, it may not
our new DG3 project definition, new pro	oject 7	7	reflect what you've used in your analysis? Is
8 DG3 cost schedule and estimates, et cete	era? 8	8	that what you're saying?
9 Is that correct?	9	9 MI	R. GOUDIE:
10 MR. HUMPHRIES:	10	)	A. Could you repeat that? Sorry, I'm having
11 A. Yes, that is correct.	11	1	trouble hearing you.
12 GREENE, Q.C.:	12	2 GR	EENE, Q.C.:
13 Q. And we won't the full update on the	AC 13	3	Q. This is what you use for the analysis, for
integration studies are available in Marc			your financial analysis, and whatever the real
but the full impact on the cost estimate a		5	terms are will be reflected when the power
the schedule, et cetera, will not be availa		5	contract is actually put in place between
until we go through DG3, which is anticip		7	Nalcor and Hydro? Is that correct?
to be midyear?			R. GOUDIE:
19 MR. HUMPHRIES:	19		A. That's correct.
20 A. Yes, that's my understanding. Jason	can 20		EENE, Q.C.:
21 confirm that.	21		Q. Okay. So if I were to ask you, the
22 MR. KEAN:	22		anticipated term would be the term that you
23 A. Yes, Jason Kean. That is correct. It's a			use for your financial analysis? Is that
24 extensive process to pull together the co			correct?
estimate as we discussed yesterday.			R. GOUDIE:
	Page 210		Page 212
1 GREENE, Q.C.:	1 age 210	1	A. Yes. We used a 50 year term.
2 Q. Okay. Just moving to a new topic and it	-		EENE, Q.C.:
power purchase agreement which is Mr.			Q. Sorry, was it 50 or 60?
Just a few questions to explain the nature			R. GOUDIE:
5 that contract and I know Mr. Johnson			A. We used a 50 year term for the analysis.
. 1 1 1 1 0 2 7	_		•
	´		EENE, Q.C.:
			Q. And the term anticipated for the PPA to recover the cost?
the agreement between Hydro and Nalco			
9 been finalized, has it?			R. GOUDIE:
10 MR. GOUDIE:	10		A. Was from 2017 to 2067.
11 A. That's correct. The agreement is no			EENE, Q.C.:
12 finalized.	12		Q. The price that we've talked about to recover
13 GREENE, Q.C.:	13		the cost of the full analysis period, the
Q. So when we talk about these terms, thes			dollars a megawatt hour escalating at the rate
anticipated terms. Is there even a term sl	heet 15	)	of two percent a year over the entire 50 year

for it? 16

17 MR. GOUDIE:

A. Not that I'm aware of. 18

19 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. Okay. So when we talk about the terms of the 20

21 PPA, it is what you use for your analysis or

what you -- or what you anticipate is going to

23 be reflected in an actual contract or where

24 are you getting the terms from?

25 MR. GOUDIE:

22

16 period, is that what was built into the

17 financial analysis?

18 MR. GOUDIE:

19 A. Yes. Well, the 70 -- the reference to 76

dollars per megawatt hour would be a 2010 20

21 dollar figure and that would escalate from

that base.

23 GREENE, Q.C.:

22

24 Q. And for the analysis purposes, two percent was

25 used?

12

Page 213

1 MR. GOUDIE:

- A. Two percent was used, yes, that's correct.
- 3 GREENE, O.C.:
- Q. Okay. You don't know what's going to be in
- the actual PPA, but this -5
- 6 MR. GOUDIE:
  - A. The two percent was chosen as the midpoint to
- the Bank of Canada inflation target range, 8
- between one and three. So it would be 9
- 10 expected to be two.
- 11 GREENE, Q.C.:
- 12 Q. But again, it was just used for financial
- analysis to illustrate what it could look 13
- like? 14
- 15 MR. GOUDIE:
- A. Yes, that's correct.
- 17 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. Okay. It's been referred to as a take or pay 18
- contract. What does that mean? 19
- 20 MR. GOUDIE:
- A. It would basically mean that regardless of the 21
- amount of energy that Hydro would need, it 22
- would still have to pay the contracted 23
- 24
- 25 GREENE, Q.C.:

Page 214

- Q. So once the terms are agreed, regardless of 1
- the amount of power that's taken, Hydro would 2
- have to pay Nalcor a fixed amount? 3
- 4 MR. GOUDIE:
- A. That's the way we've modelled it at this time.
- 6 MR. BENNETT:
- A. Just to clarify, Ms. Greene, when you said 7
- "when the terms are agreed." Are you asking 8
- 9 us to speculate on what the terms of the PPA
- are or what we used for our analysis? Those 10
- are two very different things. 11
- 12 GREENE, O.C.:
- Q. Yeah, and I should say, for the purposes of 13
- the analysis, and I wanted to make the 14
- distinction earlier that we're talking about 15
- something that you created for the purpose of 16
- the financial analysis. At the end of the 17
- day, the PPA will be whatever the PPA is 18
- 19 negotiated and because it's done on a PPA
- basis and not a cost of service basis, that 20
- 21 particular arrangement, the costs that go into
- it will not be regulated by the Public 22
- Utilities Board, will they? 23
- 24 MR. BENNETT:
  - A. No, that's right, they won't.

1 GREENE, O.C.:

- Q. Do you know if that will be the same
- arrangement in Nova Scotia with respect to 3
  - regulation of the Emera project in Nova

Page 215

Page 216

- Scotia? 5
- 6 MR. BENNETT:
- A. I understand that a proceeding is contemplated 7
- before their Utilities and Review Board. I 8
- don't know the terms of reference of that 9
- 10 reference.
- 11 GREENE, O.C.:
  - O. But would it be on the basis that Nova Scotia
- Power -- I believe one of the terms of the 13
- term sheet was that they had to get approval 14
- from the regulator. 15
- 16 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
- Q. Mr. Chairman, I don't know how informative 17
- this is going to be to inform the reference 18
- question about what's going to take place and 19
- what the reference question or whatever the 20
- Public Utilities Board of Nova Scotia is going 21
- to be doing. I mean, it's just that it's an 22
- area of speculation and I don't think that --23
- I think it's putting too much on these 24
- witnesses to expect them to respond to that. 25
- 1 GREENE, Q.C.:
  - Q. No, that's fair. It's only to -- I was trying
  - 3 to explain what is the difference between a
  - PPA and the role of the regulator and the cost 4
  - of service. I don't have to go to Nova Scotia 5
  - where I understand they're doing it on a cost 6
  - 7 of service basis, right.
  - 8 O'REILLY, O.C.:
  - Q. I think you probably have the answer to it.

24

- 11 Q. But so the terms that we're talking about, the
- 60 -- this was all used for the financial 12
- analysis and I guess it draws me to another 13 point. Everything we've talked about 14
- actually, DG2, the project definition and the 15
- cost estimates, may not be the reality of 16
- where we end up, are they, any more than the 17
- power purchase that we just talked about is? 18
- 19 Because at the end of the day, we will have a
- different project definition than we're 20
- looking at. We will have a different cost 21
- estimate than we will look at. We may or may 22
- not have a Maritime link that may or may not 23
- affect reliability. We may or may not have a 25
  - Maritime link where the revenue received gets

10

19

21

Page 217

applied to any of this as it applies to 1 2

- Newfoundland. So for the purposes of the
- reference before the Board and the information 3
- we have as of DG2, isn't that also correct as 4
- what you said about the PPA? 5

### 6 MR. BENNETT:

- A. Well, I think it's fair to say that the 7
- Decision Gate process, the project definition 8
- that we have, the general concept, the notion 9
- 10 that Muskrat Falls as a generation source and
- the Labrador-Island transmission link as being 11
- the preferred supply option are being 12
- evaluated in this proceeding and I guess, you 13
- know, those basic concepts are the ones that 14
- are moving forward in our continued work. So 15
- 16 I think that -- you know, I think that those
- are -- that those fundamental constructs will 17
- remain through our project definition unless. 18
- vou know, something changes fairly 19
- dramatically. 20
- 21 GREENE, Q.C.:
- 22 Q. But again, it's DG3 where we will see the
- project definition and full revised capital 23
- cost estimates, et cetera, but anyway -24
- 25 O'REILLY, Q.C.:

# Page 218

- O. We've been there. 1
- 2 MR BENNETT:
- A. I would characterize it as refined, as opposed 3
- to revised. It is a continuing evolution in 4
- 5 our project planning, project definition
- preparation for project execution. 6
- 7 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. And like that issue we just talked about 8
- 9 that's outside of our purview, there's other
- issues that aren't before the Board because of 10
- 11 the terms of bank which impact on where we end
- 12 up.
- 13 MR. BENNETT:
- A. I think that there are some other questions, I 14
- 15 would agree.
- 16 GREENE, O.C.:
- 17 Q. In the financial analysis that you did for the
- power purchase agreement, was there any 18
- 19 contemplation of changing in price? It was
- just all you did was escalate it by two 20
- percent and you assumed there would be no off 21
- ramps. If there was any change in market 22
- conditions relating to pricing that Hydro 23
- would be locked in to paying this as a take or 24
- 25 pay?

# 1 MR. GOUDIE:

A. If Hydro required less power than provided for

Page 219

Page 220

- in the supply arrangements, then Hydro and 3
  - Nalcor would work to monetize that
- differential and supply requirement in order 5
- to minimize any ratepayer benefits. 6

### 7 GREENE, O.C.:

- 8 O. Remember I said earlier sometimes I ask a
- question and I expect two and two is four and 9
  - I get three. You just did it to me, Mr.
- Goudie, so can you explain that a little bit 11
- more to me? 12

### 13 MR. GOUDIE:

- 14 A. Well I mean, if Hydro is contracted, say in
- the first year to take 2 terawatt hours, it 15
- 16 only requires say 1500--oh I'm sorry, gigawatt
- hours, say 2 terawatt hours and it only takes 17
- one and a half, then you would expect and the 18
  - assumption has been throughout that given that
- Hydro has the take or pay arrangement, that 20
  - that 500 gigawatt hour differential, then
- Hydro would try to ensure that it disposes of 22
- that energy in a way to minimize the 23
- obligation of the ratepayer or to help to 24
- mitigate, I should say, yes. 25

- 1 GREENE, Q.C.: Q. But the first, as a normal take or pay, Hydro
- would still be committed for it? 3

# 4 MR. BENNETT:

- 5 A. Right, and maybe to build on my previous
- comment, I mean that's one of the things that 6
- could change once we actually get to a 7
- scenario where we have a PPA and then you can 8
- explore the terms of the PPA and understand 9
- how, you know, any surplus energy would be 10
- monetized. That would be a question to be 11
- resolved in the PPA. It's not one before us 12
- because our analysis is the terms of 13
- reference, all the costs for both Muskrat 14
- 15 Falls and the Labrador-Island Transmission
- Link are recovered from the Domestic supply. 16
- That's one of those evolution things that I 17
- was thinking about earlier. 18

# 19 GREENE, Q.C.:

- Q. And that was one of the things that I was 20
- 21 referring to where I said what we're talking 22
  - about may not end up being a reality.
- 23 MR. BENNETT:
- A. But I think maybe to build on that, I think 24
- that what we're seeing here is what we expect 25

Multi-Page TM **February 14, 2012** Page 221 Page 223 to be a very good definition of that reality there's no legislative or environmental 1 1 legislative or regulatory requirement that 2 is a fundamental question, you know, do we 2 would require the utility to put in those conclude that Muskrat Falls and the Labrador-3 3 Island Interconnection is a preferable option pollution control upgrades at this point in 4 4 to one where we see dependence on fuel and the time prior to knowing what the environmental 5 5 regulations would be for the future. For 6 Isolated-Island scenario, I mean, that's the 6 7 fundamental question that we have to 7 example, are you now complying with your reconcile. How we evolve that alternative in Provincial Certificate of Approval? 8 the future and how we refine it to continue to 9 (4:15 p.m.) 9 10 advance it, that will be reflected in our 10 MR. BENNETT: A. We are complying with that certificate of future work. 11 11 approval today. 12 GREENE, O.C.: 12 13 GREENE, O.C.: 13 Q. Moving to another topic it's a follow-up to questions Mr. Johnson asked yesterday with Q. And all environmental legislation and 14 14 respect to the environmental upgrades at the regulations that are known for the foreseeable 15 15 16 Holyrood Thermal Plant. There's approximately 16 future, we'll come back to what may happen six hundred million dollars cost included in 17 17 MR. BENNETT: the Isolated-Island option to add scrubbers A. Well I think there's a clear statement of 18 18 policy by the Province of Newfoundland and and precipitators and Low NOx burners to the 19 19 Holyrood plant in the Isolated-Island option Labrador and it is an environmental one, that 20 20 and I just wanted to explore with you what there is a clear statement of policy that in 21 21 those upgrades were to address. the event that the system remains isolated and 22 22 understanding is that with burning lower Holyrood continues to operate, that Nalcor 23 23 sulphur fuel, a .7 percent fuel, Holyrood will and/or Hydro will be directed to install 24 24

Page 222

respect to SO 2 for the life of the plant at,

25

Page 224

3 MR. BENNETT: A. Yes, that's my understanding that SO 2 4 5 emissions are addressed with low sulphur fuel

even at full load, is that correct?

meet all environmental requirements with

based on the regulations we currently see. 6

7 GREENE, Q.C.:

25

1

2

Q. Okay. With respect to particulate, my 8 understanding is of a low sulphur content 9 fuel, burning that fuel for the life of the 10 plant will address all of the targets Hydro 11 had established for particulate? 12

13 MR. BENNETT:

A. While they may address Hydro's targets, they 14 don't address the commitment made on the 15 Province's energy plan and the commitment made 16 17 to the residents in the area where particulates have been an ongoing concern and 18 19 I guess that's where we get to the commitment to install additional pollution controls on 20 that facility. 21

22 GREENE, O.C.:

Q. And you jumped ahead of me, so in terms of 23 that, I take from your answer--am I correct in 24 stating that from a utility perspective, 25

mean, the energy plan is very clear, so on 1 that point, I would look at that statement of 2

pollution controls on that facility, so I

3 policy and the direction provided there as

being ultimately direction to us. 4

5 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. So the reason that Nalcor is doing--putting in 6 these upgrades for 600 million dollars is in 7 response to a policy directive from 8 9 government, which, of course, as government and as a shareholder they have every right to 10 11 make.

12 MR. BENNETT:

A. Right.

14 GREENE, Q.C.:

15 Q. Now looking at what you're installing, what do precipitators and scrubbers actually address? 16

17 MR. BENNETT:

A. Those two pollution controls address 18 19 particulate emissions as well as sulphur dioxide emissions and one thing that we do 20 note is that with the installation of those 21 22 pollution controls, we do have the ability to move back to higher sulphur content fuel for 23 the Holyrood facility. 24

25 GREENE, Q.C.:

Page 225

Q. On the issue of the fuel, a question I wanted 1 2 to ask, again Mr. Johnson asked this yesterday and in reading the transcript I wasn't totally 3

clear on the answer, but the question was had 4 a cost benefit analysis been done to show 5

6 whether it was cost effective to install the

upgrades or to use the lower sulphur content

8 fuel and it was Mr. Humphries who answered the

question and my understanding from the answer 9

10 is that it is not cost effective to install

the pollution control upgrades, it is more 11

cost effective to continue to use the lower 12 13 sulphur content fuel which does address all

current requirements. Did I state that 14

correctly? I can take you to the transcript? 15

16 MR. HUMPHRIES:

7

A. No, you may have stated it--the intent of the 17 question and I may not have addressed the 18 question properly, but what I intended it to 19 mean at that time from the perspective of the 20 continued operation of Holyrood with the 21 addition of the pollution abatement equipment 22 as opposed to building a new facility today 23 with a different fuel source, it was cost 24 effective to continue to operate Holyrood to 25

Page 226

2

8

the end of its current life expectation with 1

the additions, as opposed to putting in a new

3 combined cycle facility that would burn No. 2

fuel and have lower emissions. 4

5 GREENE, O.C.:

2

Q. That's helpful, it helps clarify the comments 6 7 which--in terms--have you done the other analysis then of looking at the .7 percent 8 9 fuel because the intent is to put in the

pollution control upgrades and to go to then 10 the 2.2 percent sulphur. Have you done the 11

analysis to show what is more economical? 12

13 MR. BENNETT:

A. Burning the low sulphur fuel doesn't address 14 the policy direction from the province, so we 15 have not studied the implications of continued 16 use of low sulphur fuel as an alternative to 17

the installation of pollution controls. 18

19 GREENE, Q.C.:

25

Q. So if you look at the CPW for the Isolated-20 Island option, there is 600 million dollars in 21 there for the environmental upgrades, which is 22 one of the capital cost components. There's 23 the other cost components as well, but I 24 believe you indicated from the analysis it

shows the biggest driver is the fuel component

Page 227

2 at 70 percent.

3 MR. BENNETT:

A. That's right, yes. 4

5 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. So barring significant change in the fuel

component, there's very little that would draw

the Isolated-Island option down in isolation 8

if there was only one factor, is that correct?

10 MR. BENNETT:

A. I'm not sure I follow. 11

12 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. The preference for the infeed over the isolated depends mostly on the fact that so 14

much fuel is burned to the Isolated-Island 15

16 option.

17 MR. BENNETT:

A. Fuel is the majority, the significant majority 18 of the total CPW for the Isolated alternative. 19

20 yes.

21 GREENE, Q.C.: 22 O. So while we have--we've looked at a number of

sensitivities and I won't go there now, but it 23 24

does illustrate your analysis, in fact, it's

one of the first times I've seen CPW analysis 25

Page 228 where it was all positive, because usually 1

I've seen a range, some are negative and some

are positive, but the ones that Nalcor filed 3

all showed a preference for the infeed. If we 4

5 look at one factor is isolation, there's not

much you can do to shift that preference 6

7 because of the huge fuel component in the

Isolated-Island, is that correct?

9 MR. BENNETT:

A. Yes, you would have to do something with the 10 11 fuel bill.

12 GREENE, O.C.:

Q. Right.

14 MR. BENNETT:

15 A. Yes.

16 GREENE, O.C.:

17 Q. And how do you use the sensitivities, we've had a little bit of discussion around it--the 18 19 purpose of sensitivities is to illustrate the degree how--what are the key variables and 20 what impact a change in a key variable can 21 have on your outcome, so therefore, helps with 22

your assessment of the risk, is that -23

24 MR. BENNETT:

25 A. I'd generally agree with that, yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 22
1 GREENE, Q.C.:
2 Q. Okay. So in coming to looking at the 2.2
3 billion dollar difference on the basis of
4 what's in the CPW, analysis submitted in
5 support of the infeed option, when we look at
6 some of the sensitivities, we see that changes
7 in thoseand key variables can significantly
8 impact the outcome and one of the biggest
9 variables is fuel, which is the uncertainty
that it's, we're trying to forecast out over
the 57 year period and we talked about that
12 earlier, another uncertainty is the
construction costs and we talked a little bit
of that yesterday where we looked at the
sensitivities for the 25 percent increase in
capital cost and we saw that if capital cost
for the infeed, including the Link, go up by
18 50 percent, then the preference is essentially
19 eliminated, right, so if we have a fifty
20 percent overrun, both options come back
21 roughly being equal. And we looked atso
capital cost is one of the drivers that is
significant. We've looked at the load, we see
if there's a drop in the load of 880 gigawatt
25 hours as slight more, again it has a
Page 23

Now there is--we would acknowledge that there is variability in fuel pricing, but this may be an area where a forecast, that fuel is simply going to stay at the same price in real terms over a very long forecasting term, that maybe, you know, I'd be surprised if that played out in the long term, in the absence of a clearer trend, you know, we've elected to use what we think is a reasonable number. But if we look back over history, we have seen fuel prices escalate, you know, at greater than that rate over time and certainly in PIRA's forecasting period out to the middle of 20's, they're seeing an escalation rate that's approximately double that. So I guess we have to trade off collectively, you know, do we believe that fuel prices are going to moderate from where they are today and that's the fundamental question and the insight we're getting from our, you know, from the industry and from PIRA and from the other groups who are forecasting energy prices, is that does not appear to be the case. 24 GREENE, Q.C.:

Page 231

Q. So, that's on fuel which is one of your big

significant impact and we're down again to 1 2 they're almost equal. One of the questions 3 that I've heard and that I wanted to put to you is we have some big key inputs. I just 4 5 mentioned three of the key ones we've talked about here, we have load, fuel price, 6 7 construction costs and of those, I guess fuel is the most risky that you don't have control 8 9 over. How do you weight that when you do this analysis with this length of period and you 10 have such potential variability in some of the 11 12 key assumptions? 13 MR. BENNETT:

A. I think another way of stating that is if we 14 look at sort of--your comment about the 15 negative and positive sensitivities, and I 16 think his phrase has been used in our analysis 17 that the sensitivity analysis indicates a 18 19 preference for the Interconnected scenario over a broad range of conditions and I think, 20 21 you know, one way to characterize that is the 22 Interconnected alternative as a robust alternative, but when you look at, you know, a 23 broad cross section of sensitivities analyses, 24 there is still a preference for the project. 25

Page 232 variables. So, the level of comfort that you're making this decision given the uncertainty over the length of the forecast period, you test that through sensitivities to give you a level of comfort as to whether you're making your right assumptions. I guess, one of the other factors, approaches, and I wanted to give you the opportunity to explain why Nalcor hasn't chosen it, is to look at this incrementally. I mean, we've heard comments and one of the--again, taking page from Mr. Johnson, one of the issues in the media is it's too rich, we can't afford to pay for it, you will bankrupt the Province, that type of thing. So, why don't you take an incremental approach? Why don't you put in a couple of little gas turbines or do another 170 cct's, buy us time, let's see what's happening with the load in Corner Brook. Let's see what's happening with the load down in Labrador. Let's see what's going to happen with natural gas and the impact of fuel prices. So, even though it may be more expensive in the long term with a higher CPW, you're biting off smaller bites at a time and

Page 233

you're buying time.

# 2 MR. BENNETT:

A. I guess the question is, buying time for what? 3 I mean, if you go down that road 4 incrementally, I think you will continue to go 5 down that road. And what we're effectively 6 7 doing by not making a decision is continuing down the isolated path. Doing so will not 8 develop power for industrial development in 9 10 Labrador. We will have no surplus generation for Muskrat Falls in that scenario. We will 11 have to do with what we have and we will 12 13 remain isolated. So. I think Mr. Martin has pointed this out on a couple of occasions, 14 doing nothing and not making a decision, 15 16 effectively is a decision. It puts us on the

## 18 GREENE, Q.C.:

17

2

isolated path.

19 Q. So, Hydro has considered and rejected the incremental approach. It's kind of a this is 20 the time to do it and now is the best time. If 21 22 we don't do it, the water will flow forever.

### 23 MR. BENNETT:

A. There are a lot of good things at this point 24 in time. Interest rates are attractive; the 25

25 MR. HUMPHRIES: Page 234

federal loan guarantee appears to be lined up; 1

we have the potential for demand in Labrador.

3 We've done a analysis comparing the cost of

- Muskrat Falls to the Isolated alternative. 4
- 5 There is a CPW preference. There is a growing
- concern about greenhouse gas emissions. There 6
- 7 is a fear that oil prices will continue to
- escalate. So, those concerns are there and we 8
- 9 think this is a good answer.

10 GREENE, O.C.:

11 Q. Switching hats, one of the other concerns is your load forecast shows the capacity deficit 12 in 2015 with an energy deficient in 2021 or 13 so. We also know that you're not where you 14 had wanted to be with the decision to proceed 15 with construction. What is your backup plan 16 if you get further delayed? How will you 17 address the capacity and energy deficits that 18 19 you are now forecasting to meet, you obligation to serve? What's your backup plan? 20

21 MR. HUMPHRIES:

22 A. We will, on a regular basis as we do all the time, continue to evaluate and monitor the 23 situation and compare our requirement with our 24 capacity and energy. The 2015 deficit will be 25

addressed with the combustion turbine. On an 1 2 annual basis, if we get through, for argument's sake, some time later in 2012 or 3 into 2013 and we still not--have not 4 sanctioned Lower Churchill, that will be 5 reflected and updated every year in our 6 regular generation expansion issues report 7 that we file with the Board, and there will 8 come a point that if the schedule in service 9 10 for Muskrat Falls comes out of step with our requirement, that we will have to do or 11 develop either one of the items in the 12 Isolated plan, or add a thermal source, 13 depending on what the prospects are at that 14 time for Muskrat Falls and the length of delay 15 16 we could be looking at.

Page 235

Page 236

### 17 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. Have you looked at doing your wind you had 18 planned to do and advancing Portland Creek to 19 get you over the capacity and energy -- I'm 20 sorry, have you looked at advancing Portland 21 Creek and doing your wind and to see how far 22 that would take you, because you were going to 23 do Portland Creek anyway in the infeed option.

A. We haven't looked at it, but in the event, 1 2 like we will be redoing the generation issues 3 report and the intent, as we didn't file a report in 2011, as everyone knows, and the 4 5 intent was to file that with DG3, which is still the case. If DG3 advances beyond our 6 7 normal 2013 capital budget exercise, there will be an update of the issues report filed 8 9 at that time with the capital plan.

### 10 GREENE, O.C.:

11 Q. I was going to say can we have an undertaking that there will be an updated generation plan 12 and a load forecast plan filed with your 13 capital budget--Hydro's capital budget in the 14 summer of 2012, even if DG3 is not passed? 15 I'm only joking. 16

## 17 O'REILLY, Q.C.:

Q. Okay, I'm glad. 18

19 GREENE, Q.C.:

Q. Mr. Humphries just said it was, I was just -20 21 O'REILLY, Q.C.:

22 Q. You know, this process is to inform, is to reference question and not to get commitments 23 about required filings before the Public 24 Utilities Board on regulated activities. 25

1 GREENE, O.C.:

- Q. The issue is the Board's regulatory oversight
- and one of the tools used is the generation 3 expansion issues in a load forecast which 4
- wasn't filed with the Board in 2011 to allow 5
- 6 them to assess it, so that's--Mr. Humphries
- took me there, so I couldn't resist. 7
- 8 MR. HUMPHRIES:
- A. The intent is that we will do an update in 9
- 10 2021, if not at DG 3, with the capital budget
- submission and that will address if there are 11
- 12 risks and concerns regarding the timing of any
- of the alternatives, whether it's in the 13
- Isolated or in the Interconnected, we will 14
- address those and have a plan. 15
- 16 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. That concludes all my questions except the one 17
- with respect to the change in the return 18
- period and I don't know--if you haven't had 19
- time to review it, I will accept an 20
- undertaking to file the response to that 21
- 22 question.
- 23 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
- Q. In the change? 24
- 25 GREENE, Q.C.:

1 2 Page 238

- Q. My question, when the return--at one time my understanding was that Hydro had been using a
- 3 return period of one in 150 years for the DC
- line into the Island. My understanding was 4
- 5 that that was changed sometime in 2010 and -
- 6 O'REILLY, O.C.:
- 7 Q. That may be contained in a confidential
- exhibit, that information, but anyway, if 8
- 9 you're finished and the Commissioners have
- questions of the panel, it probably would be a 10
- good time to move on. I ordinarily would 11
- probably have some questions just to clarify 12
- some things, but what I would need to do, I 13
- would rely upon getting the transcript and 14
- what I want to make sure is that when I come 15
- back, I will probably only be concerned to the 16
- extent that the questions that were asked end 17
- up in a summary of several questions for which 18
- 19 the panel are asked to either agree or
- disagree, I want to make sure that--because 20
- they had some difficulty in hearing some of 21
- the things you were saying. I want to make
- 22
- sure that what they've said, that they're 23 comfortable with agreeing with your summary of 24
- the question. And I will review that 25

Page 237 overnight and then we can decide.

- 2 GREENE, O.C.:
- Q. Well I can ask the question again, the return
- period designed for the line, was it ever at 4
- any time one in 150? 5
- 6 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
  - Q. No, that's not my point.
- 8 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. That's the only answer, but anyway.
- 10 O'REILLY, O.C.:
- O. Well do we have the answer or is it--it's in 11
- 12 an exhibit.
- 13 MR. BENNETT:
- 14 A. So if we an take that one away and bring it
- back tomorrow morning, that would be great. 15
- 16 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
- Q. Sure, they can bring it back. 17
- 18 CHAIRMAN:
- Q. So you're finished, are you?
- 20 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. Yes, I am, thank you Mr. Chair. 21
- 22 CHAIRMAN:
- 23 Q. So do you have anything you want to-
  - additional now or -
- 25 MR. JOHNSON:

Page 240

Page 239

- Q. I don't know about now to be honest and 1
  - perhaps tomorrow morning I'll have a look at
- 3 it because it was quite an extensive and
- thorough examination done, taken on board. 4
- 5 CHAIRMAN:

2

- Q. Madam Newman, do you have any questions? 6
- 7 COMMISSIONER NEWMAN:
- Q. Do we want to wait -
- 9 CHAIRMAN:
- Q. I'm sorry.
- 11 GREENE, Q.C.:
- Q. I understand that Nalcor may wish to have some 12
- additional questions. 13
- 14 CHAIRMAN:

20

21

22

25

- Q. Oh, okay.
- 16 O'REILLY, O.C.:
- 17 Q. Just for clarification and what I've outlined,
- I'm going to review the transcript, the area 18
- 19 where I am concerned with is the area where a
  - number of questions are summarized, at the end
  - there's a summary of the questions, what
  - counsel interprets the answers to be and I
- want to make sure that the witnesses are 23
- 24 comfortable with that because there was some
  - difficulty in hearing, you know, so I just
    - Page 237 Page 240

want to make sure that we're comfortable with

- that and that's it. So I will need a little
- 3 bit of time tomorrow.
- 4 CHAIRMAN:
- 5 Q. So are you suggesting that we just postpone
- 6 all questions until Mr. O'Reilly is finished
- 7 or -
- 8 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
- 9 Q. No, I don't think so, I think if the Board has
- questions, we can proceed with that.
- 11 CHAIRMAN:
- 12 Q. Okay, do you have any questions?
- 13 COMMISSIONER NEWMAN:
- 14 Q. Not at this time, no.
- 15 COMMISSIONER OXFORD:
- 16 Q. Mr. Chairman (unintelligible audio feedback)
- okay, whatever questions are left to be asked
- by the two parties.
- 19 CHAIRMAN:
- 20 Q. Okay, how about you?
- 21 VICE-CHAIR WHALEN:
- 22 Q. Is it the intent to reconvene the panel in the
- 23 morning?
- 24 CHAIRMAN:
- 25 Q. Will you be reconvening your panel in the

- Page 241
  e with
  1 Q. Well okay, well I had some questions but I
  - think in the interest of sanity, we will save
  - all the questions for tomorrow morning, how
  - 4 about that? Would you find that more
  - 5 acceptable or--because I could stay here for
  - another hour if you want, but I don't think I
    - would be very popular. So let's, Mr.
  - 8 O'Reilly, bring back the panel in the morning
  - and we'll continue with the exercise.
  - 10 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
  - 11 Q. Fine, thank you.
  - 12 CHAIRMAN:
  - 13 Q. All right.
  - 14 Upon conclusion at 4:37 p.m.

Page 242

- 1 morning was the question, Mr. O'Reilly, or
- will you just be making summary?
- 3 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
- 4 Q. Well I only intended to bring back--I only
- 5 asked if there was witnesses whose answers
- 6 were -
- 7 CHAIRMAN:
- 8 Q. Whose answers are--there's some ambiguity or
- 9 lack of clarity, you will -
- 10 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
- 11 Q. Try to get some clarify on that, I didn't
- intend to come back, but I mean, these people,
- 13 you know, are available. If the Board
- requires them to come back because they have
- 15 questions of the panel, we will do that.
- 16 GREENE, O.C.:
- 17 Q. Excuse me, I understood Mr. Johnson also may
- have questions of the panel.
- 19 O'REILLY, Q.C.:
- 20 Q. And Mr. Johnson may have some questions too,
- so that's fine.
- 22 MR. JOHNSON:
- 23 Q. I think that we should preserve the panel for
- 24 tomorrow morning.
- 25 CHAIRMAN:

1 CERTIFICATE

- 2 I, Judy Moss, do hereby certify that the foregoing
- 3 is a true and correct transcript of a hearing of the
- 4 Muskrat Falls Review, heard before the Board of
- 5 Commissioners of Public Utilities on the 14th day of
- 6 February, A.D., 2012, in St. John's, Newfoundland and
- 7 Labrador and was transcribed by me to the best of my
- 8 ability by means of a sound apparatus.
- 9 Dated at St. John's, NL this
- 10 14th day of February, 2012
- 11 Judy Moss
- 12 Discoveries Unlimited Inc.

Page 244

	-#-
#18R-97 [	
# <b>27</b> [1] 34:	
# <b>3</b> [1] 40:21	I
<b>6</b> [1] 42:3	
	-\$-
\$214.00 <sub>[1</sub>	-
\$76.00 <sub>[2]</sub>	
	20.0 29.12
	-'-
<b>09</b> [1] 206:	:2
<b>98</b> [1] 207:	
because	[1] 113:3
<b>til</b> [1] 11:1	7
	-+-
+ <b>10</b> [1] 58:	
+ <b>20</b> [2] 51:	
<b>⊦30</b> [1] 58:	
	21 50:3 51:19
54:4 57:4	
<b>10</b> [1] 58:6	
<b>15</b> [2] 51:1	
<b>20</b> [1] 58:6	
	9 54:4 57:3
	22 50:2 52:23
	-,-
<b>7</b> [3] 115:2	2 221:24 226:8
	-0-
<b>).7</b> [1] 116:	1
	-1-
	2.20 124.20
115:4,6 12	3:20 134:20 9.12.18 144:4
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 166	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 6:2,3,15,16
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 166 167:6,6,11 187:19 191	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 6:2,3,15,16 ,21 168:12 1:23,24 192:1
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 166 167:6,6,11 187:19 191	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 6:2,3,15,16
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 166 167:6,6,11 187:19 191 194:23 193	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 5:2,3,15,16 ,21 168:12 1:23,24 192:1 5:5,20 196:8
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 160 167:6,6,11 187:19 19 194:23 193 <b>1.5</b> [1] 75:2 <b>10</b> [11] 21:7	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 5:2,3,15,16 ,21 168:12 1:23,24 192:1 5:5,20 196:8 3
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 160 167:6,6,11 187:19 19: 194:23 19: <b>1.5</b> [1] 75:2 <b>10</b> [11] 21:7 24:19 25:2	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 5:2,3,15,16 ,21 168:12 1:23,24 192:1 5:5,20 196:8 3 7 22:15 24:14 2:26:14,17 47:4
140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 166 167:6,6,11 187:19 19: 194:23 19: <b>1.5</b> [1] 75:2 <b>10</b> [11] 21:7 24:19 25:2 48:23 149:	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 5:2,3,15,16 ,21 168:12 1:23,24 192:1 5:5,20 196:8 3 7 22:15 24:14 126:14,17 47:4 14 150:11
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 166 167:6,6,11 187:19 19: 194:23 19: <b>1.5</b> [1] 75:2 <b>10</b> [11] 21:7 24:19 25:2 48:23 149: <b>100</b> [2] 29:	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 5:2,3,15,16 ,21 168:12 1:23,24 192:1 5:5,20 196:8 3 7 22:15 24:14 126:14,17 47:4 14 150:11
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 166 167:6,6,11 187:19 191 194:23 195 1.5 [1] 75:2 10 [11] 21:7 24:19 25:2 48:23 149: 100 [2] 29: 101 [1] 2:5	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 5:2,3,15,16 ,21 168:12 1:23,24 192:1 5:5,20 196:8 3 7 22:15 24:14 126:14,17 47:4 14 150:11 10 30:7
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 166 167:6,6,11 187:19 19: 194:23 19: 1.5 [1] 75:2 10 [11] 21:7 24:19 25:2 48:23 149: 100 [2] 29: 101 [1] 2:5 106 [13] 13 151:20 158	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 5:2,3,15,16 ,21 168:12 1:23,24 192:1 5:5,20 196:8 3 7 22:15 24:14 126:14,17 47:4 14 150:11 10 30:7 5:25 136:8 8:8 165:3 171:9
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 166 167:6,6,11 187:19 19: 194:23 19: 1.5 [1] 75:2 10 [11] 21:7 24:19 25:2 48:23 149: 100 [2] 29: 101 [1] 2:5 106 [13] 13 151:20 158 171:17 172	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 5:2,3,15,16 ,21 168:12 1:23,24 192:1 5:5,20 196:8 3 7 22:15 24:14 126:14,17 47:4 14 150:11 10 30:7 5:25 136:8 3:8 165:3 171:9 2:12 176:14
115:4,6 12 140:3 143: 144:17,17 160:9,11,2 161:12 166 167:6,6,11 187:19 19: 194:23 19: 1.5 [1] 75:2 10 [11] 21:7 24:19 25:2 48:23 149: 100 [2] 29: 101 [1] 2:5 106 [13] 13 151:20 158 171:17 172	9,12,18 144:4 148:20 150:22 0 161:9,10,11 5:2,3,15,16 ,21 168:12 1:23,24 192:1 5:5,20 196:8 13 7 22:15 24:14 126:14,17 47:4 14 150:11 10 30:7 5:25 136:8 3:8 165:3 171:9 2:12 176:14 14,25 194:2

**10:00** [1] 20:9

**10:15** [1] 32:4

**10:31** [1] 36:10

<b>10:48</b> [1] 36:20
<b>11</b> [7] 29:9 173:16,24
174:6,21,23 175:10
<b>1100</b> [1] 23:11 <b>11:00</b> [1] 48:5
<b>11:15</b> [1] 60:15
<b>11:30</b> [1] 73:17
<b>11:45</b> [1] 86:17
<b>12</b> [5] 52:14 60:13 61:3
179:6 184:9
<b>12.10</b> [1] 27:7 <b>12.20</b> [1] 22:10
<b>12.4</b> [1] 28:16
<b>1200</b> [2] 88:11,17
<b>12:00</b> [1] 100:11
<b>12:30</b> [1] 122:18
<b>12:45</b> [1] 111:12
<b>13</b> [2] 52:3 184:9
<b>14</b> <sub>[2]</sub> 1:1 177:13 <b>14,000</b> <sub>[1]</sub> 187:25
<b>140</b> [1] 92:10
<b>141</b> [2] 52:2,4
<b>142</b> <sub>[1]</sub> 52:13
<b>145</b> [1] 115:20
<b>14th</b> [2] 244:5,10
<b>15</b> [4] 51:11 60:13,24 61:3
<b>150</b> [32] 2:22 3:3 22:21 24:20 137:5,12 142:25
143:1,9,12,18 144:17,17
145:13,16 148:20 150:22
160:11,20 166:3,16 167:6 180:19 186:1 191:24
196:8,10 197:13,15,17
238:3 239:5
<b>1500</b> [2] 65:10 219:16 <b>159</b> [1] 9:11
<b>16</b> [3] 96:11,13,15
<b>1600</b> [3] 143:7,13 200:13
<b>17</b> [5] 41:20 52:3,14 85:6
87:8
<b>17-18</b> [1] 85:17
<b>170</b> [1] 232:18
<b>178</b> [4] 59:11,13,15 60:2 <b>187</b> [1] 28:15
<b>18R</b> [1] 50:1
<b>19</b> [2] 64:13 177:4
<b>197</b> [1] 27:8
<b>1980</b> [1] 105:4
<b>1980s</b> [1] 104:20
<b>1990s</b> [1] 120:11
<b>1998</b> [4] 200:10 204:4,4 204:12
1st [1] 60:11
<b>2</b> [45] 29:13 37:15,21
45:14,23 46:6 47:15,21 47:25 48:12,20,21 49:17
49:20,25 50:17 51:4
52:12,19,24 54:24,24 55:3 6 6 6 7 10 23 56:25

```
129:14 131:13 143:2,4
 145:6 206:10 219:15,17
 222:1,4 226:3
2.2 [8] 6:2 20:24 115:22
116:7,14,20 226:11 229:2
2.5 [2] 20:16,16
2.6 [2] 20:17,23
2.65 [2] 122:5,5
2.8 [1] 112:16
20 [14] 9:5,6,10 15:14
 21:5 41:20 51:11 83:9
 87:8,18 132:9 149:25
 185:6 187:10
20's [1] 231:14
20,000 [1] 177:4
20-21 [2] 85:6,17
20-year [2] 83:11 84:12
200 [1] 6:19
2000 [3] 149:12,17
 150:19
2007 [1] 206:2
2008 [2] 4:5 200:12
2009 [3] 4:6 201:2 205:17
2010 [23] 6:3 9:12 21:5
 29:12 46:7,14 47:7,11
 47:17 50:7 59:6,23 60:4
 80:15 81:21 114:13
 115:16 201:3 203:18
 205:17 207:14 212:20
 238:5
2011 [5] 60:5 82:19
 107:25 236:4 237:5
2012 [12] 1:1 60:12,19
 81:5 187:8,9 199:13,14
 235:3 236:15 244:6,10
2013 [4] 15:24 92:12
 235:4 236:7
2015 [8] 15:21 16:7 95:8
 95:19 116:6,6 234:13,25
2017 [6] 2:11 27:20 122:2
 146:6 177:5 212:10
2020 [5] 15:12,16 16:7
 95:20 116:4
2020-2021 [2] 92:20
 95:9
2021 [3] 146:6 234:13
237:10
2022 [1] 146:6
2025 [5] 114:13,14
 115:19 116:12,16
2027 [2] 101:23 102:9
2030-2031 [1] 92:25
2037 [1] 177:5
2040 [1] 116:13
2041 [9] 17:10,11 40:17
41:1,6,11,15,25 42:12
205 [1] 20:19
2057 [5] 16:22 17:1,14
 17:21 40:13
206 [2] 22:9 187:13
2067 [6] 83:14 94:4
 114:18 116:21,23 212:10
207 [1] 6:6
21 [1] 33:8
```

**225** [1] 3:5 **23** [2] 180:2 187:6 **230** [18] 137:5 138:11,12 138:17,20 139:15 148:20 150:23 151:25 152:6 154:24 155:5 159:19 160:2 161:14,20 166:2 172:21 **24** [2] 26:24 65:4 **24-hour** [1] 179:4 **25** [6] 22:2,2 149:25 161:10 182:9 229:15 **250** [3] 3:5 146:22 167:13 **27** [1] 34:21 **28** [1] 34:21 **2:00** [2] 122:15,17 **2:03** [1] 122:20 **2:15** [1] 135:8 2:30 [1] 151:1 -3-**3** [23] 10:16 35:14,16 41:19,19 45:15 47:24 48:1 49:23 57:18,21,21 58:3,20 60:9,12,19 67:9 73:2 85:12 103:17 132:1 237:10 **30** [2] 41:7 152:2 **31** [1] 179:23 **31st** [2] 59:24 60:5 **320** [3] 137:8,13 144:2 **34** [1] 187:6 **350** [3] 174:15,24 175:18 **365** [1] 26:24 **37** [3] 21:7 64:18 84:13 **375** [1] 175:11 **38** [2] 64:18 115:1 **39** [2] 46:15,23 **3:00** [1] 174:13 **3:15** [1] 186:5 **3:29** [1] 198:18 **3:49** [1] 198:19

-4-**4** [6] 4:6 49:18,23 54:25 55:6 57:3 **4.5** [1] 29:6 **4.9** [1] 43:13 **40** [5] 30:23 132:9 182:25 185:14,19 **400** [1] 174:12 **41** [2] 20:19 117:6 **42** [4] 6:7 55:13,18 56:19 **43** [10] 21:7 54:21 55:15 55:18,20 56:9 67:3 77:9 77:13,14 **44** [4] 117:10,11,15,20 **450** [2] 145:6,9 **46** [1] 32:22 **475** (1) 18:1 **48** [1] 179:16

50 [34] 6:14,17 7:6,11,24 21:8,21 51:2 144:4 149:19 150:11,12,24 160:9 161:9,12,12 166:3 166:16 167:6,21 169:9 183:21 187:19 191:23 192:1 194:23 195:5,20 212:1,3,5,15 229:18 50,000 [1] 188:1 50-year [1] 159:7 500 [13] 2:21 3:5 97:25

120:20 139:4,14 140:3 146:8,12 167:10,11 181:23 219:21 **57** [3] 83:14 118:24 229:11

# -6-

6 [5] 123:23 124:3,6 126:3 126:11 60 [3] 26:21 212:3 216:12 600 [2] 224:7 226:21 61 [2] 134:21 136:3 62 [3] 22:14 136:15 168:13 65 [3] 2:6,6 103:18 69 [2] 105:12 106:15 6th [1] 39:12

# -7-

**70** [5] 114:2 117:25 118:4 212:19 227:2 **72** [1] 101:17 **75** [4] 159:3 162:24 163:12 169:8 **76** [2] 212:13,19

### -8-

**8.8** [2] 13:3 118:2 **80** [2] 44:13,15 **80,000** [1] 187:20 **800** [2] 88:8 181:15 **80s** [1] 124:18 **82.8** [1] 60:5 **824** [2] 26:20,23 **84** [1] 25:16 **880** [5] 12:10 15:24 92:3 92:10 229:24

-9-

**9**<sub>[2]</sub> 47:4 123:21 **90**<sub>[1]</sub> 60:25

55:3,6,6,6,7,10,23 56:25

59:23 60:4 117:7 129:6

**900** [9] 91:23 145:6,24 146:11 148:13 149:17 150:18 165:14 181:16 **93** [1] 187:25 **94.000** m 177:14 **960** [1] 88:9 **965** [1] 91:25 **97** [3] 50:1 158:16 168:22 **9:35** [1] 1:2 **9:45** [1] 8:24

#### -A-

**a.m** [10] 1:2 8:24 20:9

**A.D** [1] 244:6

32:4 36:10,20 48:5 60:15 73:17 86:17 **AAA** [1] 11:8 **AACE** [5] 48:14 49:18 49:25 57:1,21 **AACEI** [2] 51:18 58:4 **abatement** [1] 225:22 **abilities** [1] 208:20 **ability** [5] 44:4 118:17 196:5 224:22 244:8 **Abitibi** [4] 90:22,25 91:6 91:7 **Abitibi's** [1] 91:6

**able** [16] 16:6,11,15 26:9 31:24 40:19 49:11 76:23 78:2 108:17 112:20 155:22 178:3 196:5 204:14 208:21

**aboriginal** [7] 68:15,23 69:3,5,7 70:3 71:9

**above** [7] 117:1 137:5 138:11,17 139:15 148:20 150:23

**abreast** [1] 127:22 **absence** [2] 194:17 231:7 **absolutely** [2] 10:11 77:6

absorb [1] 30:21

**AC** [20] 23:18,22 154:15 154:18 158:22 160:15,20 162:1,6,10,12 164:6 165:6,9,21 166:8,13,15 198:25 209:13

accept [4] 86:25 126:23 127:2 237:20

acceptable [1] 243:5 accepted [5] 104:24 120:16 151:21 152:16 185:15

**accepting** [2] 125:5 128:19

access [8] 11:8,10 40:17 41:1 64:9,11,13 154:7

accommodations [1] 65:11

accordance [2] 15:7 69:3

according [2] 2:10 56:25 accordingly [1] 27:24

account [1] 118:23 **accounted** [3] 28:5,8,9 accuracy [16] 50:8 53:6 53:13 55:2,10 56:10,12 56:24 57:2.19 58:1.3.16 74:8 81:10 132:3

accurate [2] 26:10 90:11 achieve [2] 78:15 197:16 acknowledge [1] 231:1 acknowledged [1] 51:8 acknowledging [1] 160:3

**Act** [1] 43:19 **action** [1] 106:21 activities [17] 66:13 67:1 67:4,5,10,12,15,23 72:12 78:1,21 79:9,10 80:1 153:11 158:20 236:25

activity [2] 68:13 74:18 actual [12] 18:12 50:8 62:4 64:8 107:1 149:10 153:22 172:9 180:25 184:22 210:23 213:5

**adapting** [1] 107:6 **add** [13] 7:15 18:21 24:20 58:7 99:16 146:20 157:16 169:1 175:19 188:17 192:4 221:18 235:13

add-on[1] 144:3 added [2] 147:8 175:9 **addendum** [2] 85:12 205:15

**adding** [9] 95:12,18,23 147:18,20 150:13 170:5 193:3,22

addition [7] 19:10 22:21 77:13,14 146:21 180:4 225:22

**additional** [39] 17:20 18:17,20,21 39:19 43:2 77:12 84:13 93:16 95:13 95:23 98:1 99:16 108:19 113:2,12 133:13 146:20 147:8,19 150:14 169:1,1 169:17 170:5 171:6 186:12,16,21 188:4,17 189:25 194:4 202:24 206:23 210:7 222:20 239:24 240:13

**additions** [3] 113:2 149:22 226:2

**address** [26] 1:19 19:20 37:6 95:10 98:16 101:12 101:16 113:14 124:2,7 128:12 153:1,8 169:2 193:4 221:22 222:11,14 222:15 224:16,18 225:13 226:14 234:18 237:11,15

**addressed** [9] 2:15 27:7 105:11 128:9 168:25 170:21 222:5 225:18 235:1

**addresses** [1] 190:14 **addressing** [3] 19:18,23 125:4

**Adjourned** [1] 122:19

adjusted [2] 3:25 30:18 administrative [1] 65:12

**adopt** [2] 127:16,16 adopted [2] 124:11 127.24

adopting [2] 126:17,19 **adoption** [1] 107:5 **advance** [4] 10:13 160:18 188:17 221:10 **advanced** [5] 130:5

153:24 202:23 203:18 206:3

advancement [1] 175:7 **advances** [1] 236:6 **advancing** [4] 175:12 204:16 235:19,21

advantage [2] 30:15 185:23

advise [2] 25:21 201:7 advised [7] 17:25 25:17 90:6 130:17 199:9,12 201:13

**advisors** [1] 31:19 **Advocate** [3] 3:22 35:1 37:2

**affect** [9] 84:13 108:21 110:1 111:4 112:2 157:18 166:7 201:24 216:24

**affecting** [1] 166:14 **afford** [3] 141:21 195:24 232:13

**affordable** [1] 119:15 **afraid** [1] 170:9

**afternoon** [1] 170:19 again [47] 9:1 10:2 12:14 12:21 19:16 45:18 50:11 64:16 68:9 71:8 73:6 77:3 89:3 92:17 94:20 101:6 105:11,23 109:18 112:14 118:13 122:17 125:23 128:18 129:4 139:19 150:10 156:22 157:17 163:8 164:13 167:2 171:16 172:10 177:15 178:21 182:15,23

187:1 207:10 213:12 217:22 225:2 229:25 230:1 232:11 239:3

**against** [1] 53:7 ago [4] 11:20 13:25 59:25 192:8

agree [16] 85:3 88:3 89:4 92:19.22 93:2 104:12 105:13 106:20,24 130:7 135:24 198:8 218:15 228:25 238:19

**agreed** [4] 34:25 143:17 214:1,8

**agreeing** [2] 103:23 238:24

**agreement** [7] 68:24 72:3 210:3,8,11 211:2 218:18

**agreements** [6] 68:16 72:7 113:9,15,17 185:22 ahead [5] 35:15 202:23 203:25 206:22 222:23

**allow** [6] 68:21 71:10 79:3 190:18 200:23 237:5

**allowances** [1] 83:23 **allows** [2] 11:8,9 **alluded** [1] 68:13 **almost** [5] 62:24 150:24 177:4,14 230:2

**along** [6] 68:25 69:19,21 154:6 155:3 200:5

**Alpine** [10] 155:8,11 168:6,10,15,21 169:2,18 170:6 197:3

**alright** [2] 2:13 176:4 **alternate** [2] 167:9,10 **alternately** [1] 102:13 **alternative** [15] 3:14,16 7:12 9:24 10:2 72:10 94:24 119:6 160:12 221:8 226:17 227:19 230:22,23 234:4

alternatives [2] 101:8 237:13

**aluminum** [4] 98:20,24 99:2,4

**always** [1] 91:3 **Ambient** [1] 163:24 ambiguity [1] 242:8 **America** [1] 183:5 **American** [3] 107:11 113:4,7

among [1] 123:21 **amongst** [1] 179:10 **amount** [22] 20:11 25:4 32:18 43:3,10 48:10,17 48:18 61:7 92:13 93:6 147:2,16,21 148:12 157:4 175:25 179:15 207:12 213:22 214:2,3

amounts [1] 155:24 **analogy** [1] 18:11 analyses [2] 65:22 230:24

analysis [115] 3:7 5:4,8 5:12,15,16 7:9,23 8:15 9:21 10:4,5,22 11:24 19:25 24:3 25:3 27:15 27:22 35:18 36:4 37:16 37:21 40:12 41:13,21 42:16,23 45:7,9 46:12 50:6 54:7 55:23 72:5 73:1,9,22,22 74:7,19,22 81:20 82:23 83:3,13 92:2 94:2,18,23 104:9,11,17 114:6 115:12,13,17 117:5 118:24 119:3,5,24 120:25 124:12 127:3,11,14 130:3 130:5,18,19 133:4 154:1 155:6.9.15 159:13 171:16 171:17 174:12 175:3,8,9 176:6 188:22 189:9 190:2 204:15 210:21 211:7,13 211:14,23 212:5,13,17 212:24 213:13 214:10,14 214:17 216:13 218:17 220:13 225:5 226:8,12

226:25 227:24,25 229:4 230:10,17,18 234:3

**analytical** [3] 7:24 10:9 12:19

**analyze** (1) 119:10 **analyzed** [1] 33:15 **announce** [1] 1:7 **announced** [3] 11:19,21 101:19

announcements [1] 97:18

annual [6] 9:5 12:10 82:4 82:14 91:16 235:2

**annually** [1] 91:14 answer [39] 33:3 34:23 35:2 38:19 41:19 51:24 54:25 55:4,8 56:8,16 60:2 77:5 89:15 100:17 100:18 123:22 125:3 135:4 145:2 154:10 165:22 173:7 175:18 177:1 180:6 189:22 191:7 198:7,11 202:6,9 216:9 222:24 225:4,9 234:9

answered [6] 32:21 38:23 174:16 194:21 202:3 225:8

answers [5] 39:15 186:19 240:22 242:5,8

anti [1] 156:4

239:9,11

anti-cascade [1] 159:8 anti-cascading [2] 155:21 157:16

**anticipate** [6] 72:20 109:2,4 151:12 201:22 210:22

**anticipated** [15] 24:3,15 68:19 70:15 78:17 80:1 98:4 171:24 199:20.23 200:3 209:17 210:15 211:22 212:7

anticipating [1] 47:23 anticipation [2] 72:23 77:25

**anyway** [6] 2:15 142:2 217:24 235:24 238:8

**apart** [4] 150:21 203:2,5 205.1

**apparatus** [1] 244:8 appear [1] 231:23 **applicable** [6] 2:3 49:19 111:6 154:25 161:6,7

**applied** [3] 32:24 83:16 217:1

**applies** [2] 33:20 217:1 **apply** [3] 57:12 137:12

**appreciate** [1] 154:10 appreciating [1] 39:10

appreciation [3] 59:9 85:2 114:23

**approach** [12] 7:13 28:19 30:10,16,19 31:21 32:8 91:4 158:17 183:2 232:16 233:20

approaches [2] 8:20

**appropriate** [12] 52:15 57:7,8 58:11 74:8 134:16 134:19,23 135:24 160:3 188:15 197:9

**approval** [6] 65:17 132:16 133:22 215:14 223:8,12

**approve** [2] 193:12,17 **approved** [1] 159:22

area [10] 112:2 156:16 166:10 188:6 204:13 215:23 222:17 231:3 240:18,19

areas [24] 10:6,10,12 12:20 13:6 16:12 45:21 64:9 152:11,15,18 156:11 156:13,20 163:3 168:7 168:15,20,21 169:11,22 169:23 181:18 206:2

**argument** [1] 181:13 **argument's** [1] 235:3 arisen [1] 84:9

arising [3] 38:11 121:24 132:19

arrangement [5] 186:3 188:23 214:21 215:3 219:20

arrangements [4] 183:15 184:18,22 219:3

arrive [1] 9:11 **aspect** [1] 65:25

**aspects** [6] 49:7,8 58:8 108:20 206:8 208:7

**assess** [2] 127:8 237:6

**assessing** [1] 109:8

**assessment** [35] 13:5 21:15 22:1 69:4 70:22 73:25 74:1,2,5,11 75:1 103:14,16,25 106:17,25 119:22 123:14,17,24 124:16 126:2,7 127:17 128:21,24 132:14,24 133:25 190:18 194:2

200:21 204:9,18 228:23 assessments [2] 125:7 125:13

**asset** [5] 29:3 30:11 31:23 33:19,23

assets [1] 11:4

**assist** [2] 45:16 120:13

**associate** [1] 49:17 **associated** [8] 12:12 22:17 57:20 64:8,17

65:11 133:2,6 **assume** [6] 12:9,11 15:23 21:12 149:11 167:15

**assumed** [6] 21:7,11,17 22:11 29:6 218:21

**assumes** [1] 94:3

assuming [3] 9:3 122:1 132:25

assumption [6] 6:1 28:20 87:1 94:9 140:15 219:19

assumptions [6] 7:3 15:8 29:11 114:6 230:12

attach [1] 132:23 **attached** [2] 132:18 133:21

**attack** [1] 183:7 **attention** [5] 6:9 10:6

12:21 53:21 97:2 **attractive** [1] 233:25

attributed [1] 4:21 **audio**[1] 241:16

**August** [4] 46:14 47:11 47:17 80:15

automatically [1] 1:10 availability [2] 40:14 191:3

**available** [37] 17:17 39:23 42:21 43:4.7.11 44:14,23 45:3 65:24 66:17 81:6 100:9,20 101:1,9 111:18,25,25 121:8 153:4,6 154:4 157:1,5 160:12 165:15 167:9 181:7 190:19 199:10 200:22 202:16 207:4 209:14,16 242:13

**Avalon** [21] 142:7 158:8 158:13,23 159:2 160:1 161:7,9,25 162:25 163:2 164:11 166:2,6,9,25 167:3 169:7 182:10,17 196:25

average [6] 24:13,15 83:20,22 149:24 151:25

avoid [1] 153:19 **await** (1) 198:22

award [4] 62:24,25 66:8 66.23

awarded [4] 62:2,11,17 63:10

**awarding** [1] 66:20 aware [8] 97:3 124:8 125:12,25 126:12 140:6 195:19 210:18

away [1] 239:14

#### -B-

**backed** [1] 147:17 **background** [1] 138:14 backup [19] 18:2,8,18  $18:20\ \overline{1}09:23\ 112:9\ 147:8$ 147:14,19 165:12,16 168:1,5 170:16,20,22 175:14 234:16,20 **balance** [1] 167:25 **balances** [1] 148:3 **band** [1] 51:20 **bang** [1] 192:2 bank [2] 213:8 218:11

**bankrupt** [1] 232:14

**banks** [1] 120:24 **barring** [1] 227:6 base [18] 12:25 27:12,19 28:20 30:7 31:3 33:10 33:11,20,22 74:20 93:15 115:10 119:7,19 127:4 141:7 212:22

**based** [38] 6:1 18:11 21:5 22:13 24:15 29:3 31:12 37:13 54:1 80:24 91:16 97:9 104:11 111:8 114:12 119:21,24 151:24 155:5 156:1 159:3 167:20 172:20 176:25 177:21 178:22 179:3 186:18 188:13 189:14 192:25 193:25 194:1,2 202:14 203:6 208:17 222:6

basic [5] 19:9,14,25 109:17 217:14

**basing** [1] 152:5

**basis** [14] 10:4 33:15,16 45:4 169:25 194:3 196:20 214:20,20 215:12 216:7 229:3 234:22 235:2

Bay [1] 187:13 BC [1] 126:4

bearing [2] 10:23 23:19 **become** [1] 105:14

**becomes** [1] 106:3 beef [2] 157:15 163:9

**begin** [4] 7:12 28:10 135:23 180:2

**beginning** [3] 23:8 171:8

**begins** [1] 180:3 **begs** [2] 7:25 164:16 **behind** [2] 11:9 119:4 **Belle** [1] 171:5

below [1] 138:11 beneath [1] 205:18

**benefit** [6] 5:4 12:22 38:13 191:22 197:17 225:5

**benefits** [1] 219:6 Bennett [112] 2:24,25 3:13,17 7:14,15,21 8:13 9:16 10:25 11:12,23 12:16 13:12 14:3,13 16:20 17:2,15 36:1,2 40:11,21,24 41:2,22,23 42:24 43:9.25 44:12 45:1 45:8 46:3,8,16,22 52:10 52:14,22 53:3 54:17 65:18 66:22 70:5,6,12 70:17,24 71:12,19 72:4 79:13,14,20 132:12,21 133:5,14,23 134:10 137:20 157:9,10,24,25 162:19,20 163:14,19 164:8,12,23 165:2,17 168:14 169:4,20 170:8 191:1 193:5,19,24 194:13 196:22 207:2,20 214:6 214:24 215:6 217:6 218:2 218:13 220:4,23 222:3

222:13 223:10,17 224:12

224:17 226:13 227:3,10 227:17 228:9,14,24 230:13 233:2,23 239:13

best [8] 22:1 89:18 168:2 192:2 195:16,16 233:21

better [15] 12:1 23:18,21 25:22 26:9 58:21 80:24 123:4 138:16 164:2 166:13 188:24 189:8 205:7 206:3

**between** [19] 6:18 27:17 27:25 29:16 41:25 45:14 65:2 73:20 86:13 109:12 115:21 129:4 167:5,25 173:21 210:8 211:16 213:9 216:3

**beyond** [11] 16:22 17:1 18:18 36:9 79:15 96:1 111:4 116:16 158:14 197:4 236:6

**bi-pole** [9] 143:7 144:2 171:1 178:1 180:25 181:13 183:15 187:23 195.22

**bidder** [1] 63:5 bidding[1] 63:2

**big** [9] 85:24 86:14.23 148:13 166:24 184:25 203:12 230:4 231:25

**bigger** [1] 109:24 biggest [4] 110:2 117:4 227:1 229:8

bill [1] 228:11 **billion** [7] 6:2 13:4 20:17 20:24,24 118:2 229:3

**bit** [24] 14:7 25:9 35:15 50:22 51:10 59:5 67:1 89:9 102:3 114:8 115:7 131:19 134:18 135:2 148:3 149:15 160:23 179:23 189:8 204:25 219:11 228:18 229:13 241:3

**bites** [1] 232:25 **biting** [1] 232:25 **black** [3] 183:13,18 205:23

**blanket** [1] 107:4 block [1] 187:22 **blocks** [1] 179:10 board [45] 3:23 25:15 38:11 39:17 40:22 46:13 47:10 54:21 63:3 80:8 80:14 81:8,20 82:25 83:3 94:3 108:1 117:15 127:10 129:15 130:2 146:15 153:25 159:23 190:12 192:24 193:11,11,14,17 199:9,11 201:5 214:23 215:8,21 217:3 218:10 235:8 236:25 237:5 240:4

241:9 242:13 244:4 **Board's** [1] 237:2 **boarders** [1] 111:4 **book** [7] 30:7,11 31:22 33:18,21,21 115:4

**borrowers** [1] 11:10 **bottom** [2] 115:19 179:25

**boundaries** [1] 63:23 **bov** [3] 162:10,13 198:4 Boys [1] 139:11

break [10] 36:17,18 40:9 121:20,23 144:8,22 198:14.17.18

**breakdown** [1] 86:13 breaking [2] 1:7,10 **breaks** [1] 1:9 Bridge [1] 205:23

**briefly** [1] 5:7

**bring** [26] 6:6,9 22:9 29:24 40:20,22 54:20 59:11 103:17 113:12 115:6 123:20 144:10 147:2 165:25 166:1 175:13 188:3 189:10 191:8 193:8 208:10 239:14,17 242:4 243:8

**bringing** [13] 43:6 140:16 145:25 147:9,21 148:11,12 149:3 160:3,5 163:11 188:4 194:4

**brings** [1] 196:4 **broad** [5] 42:10 50:16 77:21 230:20,24

**broader** [1] 205:1 broke [1] 85:24

**broken** [1] 63:25

**Brook** [13] 87:17,21,23 87:25 88:5,8,19 90:7 91:22 92:5 94:3 96:20 232:19

**brought** [5] 43:24 50:16 96:15 130:18 159:22

**Brunswick** [4] 143:8.15 200:14 203:17

buck [1] 192:3 **budget** [5] 193:9 236:7 236:14,14 237:10

**build** [7] 28:10 49:12 99:9,15 100:7 220:5,24

**building** [2] 160:18 225:23

**buildings** [1] 65:12 **built** [10] 40:12 43:8 44:20 96:3 112:22,25 158:25 195:20 206:24 212:16

**bulk** [1] 161:20 **bullet** [2] 68:15 69:24 **bunch** [1] 39:12 **bunker** [1] 120:14 **Burin** [2] 182:11,17

burn [2] 42:19 226:3 burned [1] 227:15

**burners** [1] 221:19 **burning** [3] 221:23

222:10 226:14 **busbar** [1] 25:10 business [2] 44:16 89:18 **businesses** [1] 86:7 **buv** [1] 232:18 buying [2] 233:1,3 -C-CA-27 [1] 34:20 CA-53 [1] 4:4 Cabinet [1] 70:18 **calculation** [3] 30:9 87:4 171:10 calculations [3] 87:2 92:18 171:25 **calendar** [1] 33:3 camp [1] 66:14 Canada [7] 71:22,23 105:18,21 197:6,8 213:8 **Canada's** [1] 11:7 Canadian [2] 125:25 127:18 cannot [2] 30:21 110:10 canvassed [1] 35:20 capabilities [1] 180:18 **capability** [1] 145:9 **capacity** [38] 15:18,20 16:1 18:18 22:19 24:12 26:22 43:10 92:15,19 93:7 95:2,7,19 100:8 113:2,3,3 143:14 146:3 146:3,5,8,21 147:7,11 147:21 149:17,25 150:5 150:6,14 178:21 191:3 234:12,18,25 235:20 **capital** [29] 5:20 6:13 7:7 7:10,23 8:16 9:8 12:11 46:11 47:3,16 56:3 66:15 133:8 134:4 159:23 174:9 174:10 193:9 217:23 226:23 229:16,16,22 236:7,9,14,14 237:10 carbon [5] 2:2 12:23 122:1,3,6 cards [1] 26:15 care [1] 31:3 carried [1] 133:24 carry [1] 145:15 **carrying** [5] 27:11,21 69:2 143:13 150:2 **cascading** [2] 153:19 156:5 case [24] 5:24 12:25 14:9 14:12 15:6,8 16:21 19:17 22:16 38:3 44:16 55:1 99:25 115:10 119:7.7.19 143:10.10 149:1 171:18 189:15 231:23 236:6 cases [5] 14:24 42:14 99:15 106:3 136:16 cash [2] 31:21,23 categories [1] 85:25 category [1] 68:17

**causing** [1] 110:7

cct's [1] 232:18

ceased [1] 91:11

**CEI/IEC** [1] 136:2

**Central** [1] 168:19 **centre** [1] 167:3 centres [1] 180:4 cents [1] 33:8 **CEO** [1] 36:5 certain [4] 14:1 109:21 139:12 171:3 **certainly** [8] 19:6 36:2 47:21 59:2 103:16 158:19 159:14 231:12 certainty [2] 95:13 103:8 **certificate** [3] 223:8,11 244:1 **certify** [1] 244:2 **cetera** [8] 81:11 147:20 157:3 171:5 178:9 209:8 209:16 217:24 **CH002** [1] 65:7 CH0023 [1] 65:4 **CH003** [1] 65:7 CH004 [1] 64:12 **CH0048** [1] 64:5 **chair** [8] 1:17 37:5 40:6 121:19 122:15,25 198:24 239:21 **Chairman** [37] 1:3.23 2:12,17 3:1,10,15,20 34:18 36:15,16,25 37:7 38:9 39:4,9 40:2 121:22 122:12,16,21 198:16,20 215:17 239:18,22 240:5 240:9,14 241:4,11,16,19 241:24 242:7,25 243:12 **change** [17] 7:2 11:22 16:4 38:2 90:8 202:22 202:22 203:24 205:3 206:6 208:12 218:22 220:7 227:6 228:21 237:18,24 **changed** [11] 95:3 140:3 143:1,4 144:4,16 192:13 203:18 207:9 208:8 238:5 **changes** [6] 10:23 118:8 209:4,5 217:19 229:6 **changing** [1] 218:19 characterize 181 13:14 21:17.18 69:17 129:18 131:6 218:3 230:21 characterized [3] 136:18 137:4 139:14 **charge** [4] 30:6,24 33:8 122:3 **charged** [1] 32:19 **charges** [1] 30:20 **charging** [1] 31:6 **chart** [1] 13:2 **cheaper** [2] 11:11 44:24 **check** [7] 36:14 46:21 69:11 92:18 93:2 140:13 140.13 **checked** [1] 24:21 **checking** [4] 88:3,6 89:3 93:4 **choice** [2] 94:25 142:15

**chose** [2] 92:3 154:23 **chosen** [7] 29:20 45:16 47:23 134:22 135:22 213:7 232:9 **Churchill** [13] 16:19,22 17:9 40:10,14,18 41:1 43:4,11 61:21 65:3 205:24 235:5 **circle**[1] 151:18 circumstances [1] 139:12 city [2] 110:25 111:1 clarification [4] 50:20 137:21,22 240:17 **clarify** [8] 37:10 51:16 142:4 162:21 214:7 226:6 238:12 242:11 **clarity** [8] 10:17 12:5 50:7 55:22 58:14 63:22 205:16 242:9 **class** [8] 49:18,23 54:16 57:2,21 58:3 68:1 88:1 **classed** [1] 51:4 **classify** [1] 68:16 **clear** [4] 223:18,21 224:1 225:4 **clearance** [2] 71:10,10 **clearer** [2] 9:21 231:8 **clearing** [4] 64:8 65:1,6 66:13 **client** [1] 120:18 **clients** [1] 120:20 **climactic** [1] 155:3 close [4] 26:17 75:24 149:19 203:8 **closed** [1] 90:22 **closer** [3] 24:13 51:12,23 **closes** [1] 146:14 **closure** [1] 91:14 closures [2] 90:18 93:18 Co-ordinating [1] 107:3 **coast** [2] 168:17 182:19 **code** [1] 138:1 **colleagues** [1] 123:22 collect[1] 31:7 **collected** [1] 31:11 collectively [1] 231:16 columns [2] 115:15,23 **combination** [1] 196:2 **combined** [2] 44:2 226:3 **combustion** [16] 18:21 95:12,18,23 141:12 164:21 173:13,16,24 186:17 188:4 192:4 193:9 194:4,18 235:1 comfort [6] 161:18 200:15 204:19,21 232:1 232:5 **comfortable** [7] 140:14 140:19 194:23 195:4 238:24 240:24 241:1 **coming** [17] 5:17 38:16

145:4,5,21 146:10,10 147:16 149:19 152:16 155:22 161:15 176:8 187:25 197:24 201:23 229.2 **comment** [13] 6:22 7:1 9:14 24:7 27:9 36:8 48:9 96:24 106:20 118:14 157:8 220:6 230:15 **commentary** [4] 9:15 12:15 18:25 96:16 **commented** [1] 52:11 **comments** [4] 4:19 167:20 226:6 232:11 **commercial** [2] 12:3 120:24 COMMISSIONER [3] 240:7 241:13,15 Commissioners [5] 2:4 3:1 159:20 238:9 244:5 **commit** [3] 79:16 98:16 127:7 **commitment** [9] 11:19 11:21 66:7 98:12,17 99:14 222:15,16,19 **commitments** [2] 59:8 236:23 **committed** [3] 70:19 100:22 220:3 **common** [1] 187:11 **commonly** [2] 105:16 152:15 **companies** [1] 120:21 company [4] 28:11 119:3 120:13,15 comparable [6] 3:24 163:3 165:25 182:4 188:9 188:13 comparative [1] 27:15 **compare** [4] 53:7 112:12 207:24 234:24 **compared** [3] 4:8 141:8 188:7 **compares** [1] 188:22 **comparing** [3] 5:9 176:9 234:3 **comparison** [4] 3:22 42:4 48:1 85:3 **compensate** [2] 147:10 150:15 **compiled** [1] 66:5

**complaint** [2] 110:2

**complete** [13] 73:9 78:18

78:25 79:4,7,23 82:24

126:6 156:17 157:11

completed [20] 46:12

47:11 53:19 54:9 72:21

74:1,7,20 76:12,23 77:16

103:16 126:6 199:12,13

201:17 202:5 204:5 207:7

completeness [1] 128:19

completing [4] 54:7

123:16 124:15 204:18

199:4 204:20 209:2

111:10

207:13

completion [6] 73:21 75:16,20 76:11 132:1 201:5 **complex** [1] 65:11 complexity [1] 57:5 **compliance** [9] 105:13 105:18,21 106:18 107:1 109:9 111:5 133:3 135:15 **compliant** [1] 110:20 **comply** [3] 106:9 107:17 113:9 **complying** [5] 105:17 135:3 148:22 223:7,11 **component** [6] 27:13 56:3 84:25 227:1,7 228:7 **components** [10] 7:10 26:7 57:11 86:14.24 130:4,13,16 226:23,24 **composed** [1] 86:23 comprehensive [5] 71:1 71:474:7 119:3 158:17 computational [1] 204:8 **concept** [2] 144:6 217:9 concepts [1] 217:14 **concern** [7] 8:23 23:10 141:17 148:25 166:24 222:18 234:6 **concerned** [3] 141:10 238:16 240:19 concerning [1] 43:3 **concerns** [5] 40:9 148:6 234:8,11 237:12 **conclude** [2] 4:10 221:3 **concluded** [1] 68:20 **concludes** [1] 237:17 conclusion [4] 72:7 207:23 208:3 243:14 condition [2] 132:23 152:19 conditionals [1] 155:3 **conditions** [14] 107:16 132:18 133:3,13,16,21 155:7 159:13 160:6 168:9 182:12,12 218:23 230:20 conductor [1] 25:19 **conductors** [1] 156:19 **confidence** [6] 58:23 59:3 80:6 84:11,14 133:19 **confident** [2] 76:5 196:14 238:7 configuration [13] 199:3 201:10 202:17 208:21 143:2 209:21 46:17 47:2 **confirmed** [2] 177:1 180:23

conformance [1] 134:3 **confused** [2] 50:22 186:18 **confusion** [1] 50:23 **conjunction** [2] 25:18 25.24 **connection** [2] 107:10 204:17 connections [1] 110:6 **conscious** [1] 142:15 consequence [2] 27:22 140:22 consequences [1] 139:18 conservative [4] 13:6 13:10,17,24 consider [17] 36:13 74:21 95:9 99:5 126:14 126:17,19 128:1,4 138:22 143:12 150:21 192:15 193:3,12,15 198:11 considerable [2] 151:7 153:18 consideration [11] 42:8 43:5 108:18 153:16 167:14,16 168:8 191:12 197:2 208:1,2 considerations [3] 41:4 95:5 159:15 **considered** [19] 7:17 9:20 43:20 93:20 94:14 95:6 96:6 106:4 120:2.9 120:17 121:2,6 134:7 136:20 158:5 195:11,15 233:19 considering [5] 44:22 141:4 143:9 164:14 193:22 **consistent** [4] 82:22 91:4 121:12 187:4 consolidated [1] 45:4 constant [7] 4:5.6.8 30:17 114:14 116:25 121:14 construction [12] 58:8 64:11,17,20,21 65:25 66:14 205:3 206:15 229:13 230:7 234:16 **constructs** [1] 217:17 **consult** [1] 69:7 consultants [1] 36:4 consultation [2] 68:23 69:6 consultations [4] 68:15 69:2 70:4 71:9 consumed [1] 29:4 **Consumer** [3] 3:21 35:1 37:2 **consumers** [1] 30:15 **contained** [1] 238:7 containing [1] 182:14 contemplated [1] 215:7 contemplation [2] 31:16 218:19 **content** [5] 103:6 222:9

224:23 225:7,13 context [9] 5:8 36:8 87:13,16 137:23 158:1 180:6,12 191:2 contingencies [2] 74:24 180:22 **contingency** [10] 55:22 55:25 56:4,9,12 57:8 74:9 109:20 133:12 181:1 **continually** [1] 98:11 continue [11] 41:7,10 173:6 181:9 221:9 225:12 225:25 233:5 234:7,23 243:9 continued 61 42:3 53:12 165:7 217:15 225:21 226:16 **continues** [1] 223:23 **continuing** [2] 218:4 233:7 **continuous** [2] 145:17 180:19 contract [10] 28:22 42:13 43:5 99:9 200:1 210:5.7 210:23 211:16 213:19 **contracted** [2] 213:23 219:14 contracting [1] 68:8 contracts [1] 66:20 contrast [2] 30:9,18 **contribute** [1] 190:7 **contributors** [2] 184:25 185:17 **control** [10] 43:19 67:6 67:15 68:9 79:15 130:13 223:4 225:11 226:10 230:8 **controls** [5] 222:20 223:25 224:18,22 226:18 **convenient** [2] 121:19 121:21 **converted** [1] 143:25 converter [6] 25:19 26:4 161:15 162:6 183:24 184:4 **copasetic** [1] 35:24 **copies** [1] 2:9 Corner [13] 87:17,21,23 87:25 88:5.8.19 90:7 91:22 92:4 94:3 96:20 232:19 correct [115] 3:18 4:1 22:5 31:10 32:15 42:25 46:2,4,7,14,19 47:6,13 48:2,4 49:4,12,14 54:18 56:4,6 57:16,22,24 58:25 60:20,22 61:10,12,16,18 65:17 67:19,21 68:5,10

139:1,24 140:4,6 145:17 145:19 168:18 174:4 175:1,22 176:2 177:5,8 177:19,22 189:5 191:13 191:21 199:5,7 200:17

200:19 202:18,20 206:12 209:9,11,23 210:11 211:17.19.24 213:2.16 217:4 222:2,24 227:9 228:8 244:3 correction [1] 37:3 **correctly** [2] 191:7 225:15 corresponds [1] 159:6 **cost** [119] 2:20,21,22 3:4 6:13 7:7,23 8:16 9:8 11:2 12:12.14.23 22:22 27:11 27:13 28:5.7.9.13 29:16 29:19,22,25 30:8,19 31:2 31:3,7,11 32:8,23 33:14 33:16,24 34:13 41:25 42:21 43:19 44:5,9,10 46:11 47:3.9.16 49:3.12 50:4 53:7 56:2.2.3 57:14 57:20 58:24 61:10 66:15 67:18 74:20 80:6,23 81:9 94:25 114:2 117:10 118:1 120:14 124:12 127:4,12 130:25 133:8 134:4 140:24 141:6,7,10 167:12 167:13 169:1 174:6,9,10 174:24 175:7,12,20 195:24 196:2,3,8,11,13 209:3,6,8,15,24 212:8 212:13 214:20 216:4,6 216:16,21 217:24 221:17 225:5,6,10,12,24 226:23 226:24 229:16,16,22 234.3 costs [22] 5:20 9:4 13:7 14:1 27:21 66:6 73:20 108:22 114:1 121:11 133:2,6 141:4,12 169:15 169:17 170:4 175:10 214:21 220:14 229:13 230:7 **Council** [1] 107:3

**councils** [1] 110:9 counsel [5] 38:24 39:10 39:18 144:8 240:22 Counsel's [1] 38:12 **couple** [6] 41:3 129:4 188:3 203:14 232:17

course [13] 5:24 37:20 39:20 53:5 55:17 58:9 68:23 79:7 94:8 101:3 153:14 163:15 224:9

cover[1] 133:20 coverage [1] 96:21 covered [3] 45:22 77:22 198:13

**CPW** [37] 5:16,22 7:11 9:23,25 13:8,8 20:23 21:3 22:22 23:25 27:21 27:23,25 29:18 35:18 41:20 42:23 46:12 73:1 114:6 115:17 117:5 118:4 133:3,20 169:17 174:17

175:3,7,17 226:20 227:19 227:25 229:4 232:24 234:5 **CPWs** [1] 27:15

**created** [2] 44:16 214:16 **credit** [2] 11:8,13 Creek [3] 235:19,22,24 crews [1] 162:17 criteria [16] 109:8,12 112:8 136:1,9 159:24 162:21,23,25 167:8 168:23 191:11 192:2 193:10 197:5 201:9

criterias [1] 109:18 **criterion** [1] 163:1 critical [9] 5:13 75:16 80:22 113:25 149:2,7 150:1 206:2 208:25

**criticality** [1] 139:22 cross [1] 230:24 crossing [1] 168:18 **CSA** [4] 148:18,23 158:11 197:5

CT0341 [1] 64:25 **CTs** [2] 188:17,17 **Cull** [1] 100:25 cumulative [2] 13:3 174:10

**curiosity** [1] 34:24 current [20] 9:24 16:21 27:15 50:17 59:7 104:15 107:7 109:7 144:1 152:6 152.18 154.24 159.1 172:21 186:20 188:23 197:4 205:20 225:14 226:1

**customer** [16] 17:23 31:4 32:12 34:11 87:19 88:1 89:17 91:14 95:15 99:14 99:22 100:7.10 141:7 180:17 197:17

customers [17] 14:9 32:9 86:8 89:25 91:5 93:16 98:12 112:1 127:10 128:10 142:11 164:19 168:3 171:22 179:10 184:18,22

**customers**' [1] 196:6 cvcle [1] 226:3

-D-

**D'Espoir** [1] 187:14 dark [1] 141:20 **darn** [1] 148:13 data [3] 160:1 176:18 179:3 date [13] 2:2 17:10 59:7 61:24 68:19 70:16,19 78:17 80:1 124:10 144:10 144:19 158:3 dated [2] 104:13 244:9 **dates** [1] 16:6

Dawn [1] 68:24

days [2] 26:24 38:22

**DC** [18] 110:5 154:16 160:18,22 162:1,11,13 162:22 163:6 164:7 165:7 165:10,21 166:11,16,17 176:8 238:3

**DC2** [1] 201:9 dead [1] 153:18 deal [14] 4:16 35:7 55:15 55:18 74:14 75:9 148:24 154:21 171:2 178:8 186:17,22 190:2 203:12

**dealing** [9] 10:8 35:2 38:4 91:4 105:25 113:14 154:10 185:21 190:5

deals [4] 55:9 56:23 96:20 171:18 dealt [4] 2:2 39:21 70:11

170:25

dear[1] 198:3 debate [1] 197:22

**December** [3] 59:24 60:4 199:11 decide [1] 239:1

**decided** [2] 82:21 140:21 **decision** [70] 5:14 10:16 35:17 36:5 45:11,14,15 45:16,23 46:6,6 47:15 47:21,24,25 48:1,12 49:17,20 55:3 57:18,18

58:25 60:19,19 65:21,23 66:23 67:9 69:20 70:8 70:16 71:17,20 73:2 74:23 75:2 76:13 77:18 78:2 79:12 80:19 94:23 95:2,3 103:17 111:7,8 126:23 127:1,4,15 128:5 129:5 131:17 137:24 143:1,4,18 160:8 193:15 196:19 203:24 206:10

234:15 decision-making [2] 124:11 128:7

217:8 232:2 233:7,15,16

**decisions** [2] 70:18 127:12

**decline** [3] 33:9,23 34:1 **declines** [1] 33:11 **decrease** [7] 9:5,6 12:10 117:9,15 131:1 175:24

**deemed** [5] 18:20 188:15 194:15 208:7,13

deficiencies [2] 110:3 110:10

**deficient** [1] 234:13 **deficit** [14] 15:9.11.25 16:1 92:16,16,19,24 95:8 95:8,20 101:25 234:12 234:25

**deficits** [2] 16:4 234:18 define [3] 10:13 61:9 74:8

**defined** [1] 25:6 **definite** [1] 70:1 **definitely** [3] 108:24 150:5 183:4 **definition** [38] 47:19,22

68:12 72:14,18 74:13

81:23 82:17 83:8 86:3

75:4 78:6 80:17 81:3.21

86:11 87:11 89:13,20,25

90:4,13,15 91:16,19 93:8

94:4,6,12 106:13 117:22

118:5 121:16 124:21

128:25 129:9 130:22

131:3 135:7,10 137:19

215:4

47:25 48:10,18 49:2,6 57:6 58:22 61:9 67:17 67:25 79:3 80:4,24,25 81:11 108:21 129:7,8,19 129:21 131:7,15,18,22 131:25 132:6 201:24 209:4,7 216:15,20 217:8 217:18,23 218:5 221:1 **definitive** [2] 71:8 91:10

**definitive** [2] 71:8 91:10 **degree** [9] 20:8 47:22,24 55:2 57:6,19 69:16 81:9 228:20

**delay** [2] 73:20 235:15 **delayed** [1] 234:17 **delivered** [1] 25:4 **delivering** [1] 43:13

demand [2] 14:20 234:2 demonstrate [2] 66:3 110:8

**demonstrated** [2] 8:15 176:23

**Department** [1] 82:8 **depend** [2] 71:20 166:20 **dependence** [1] 221:5 **dependent** [1] 30:2

**depending** [11] 48:23 57:5 58:4 85:6 102:24 103:1 139:21 165:11,14 182:11 235:14

**depreciated** [2] 33:19 33:24

**depreciation** [2] 30:6 33:22

derived [1] 172:23 describe [1] 61:23 described [6] 8:21 16:18

**described** [6] 8:21 16:18 25:25 124:3 155:11 158:16

describes [1] 180:7 design [40] 22:16 26:2 53:11 134:14,17 135:25 136:9 140:3 142:24 143:9 144:4,16 153:13,17 154:1 154:11 155:18 156:15 157:2 159:1,14,17,24 161:9,20 162:21 163:25 166:3 167:17,25 170:23 180:16 187:19 188:19 191:24 192:1,23 196:24 197:4 205:25

**designed** [4] 159:2 189:23 197:10 239:4

**designing** [3] 138:15,22 191:10

designs [1] 134:25 detail [1] 66:17 detailed [3] 25:20 46:1

74:13

**determination** [3] 57:9 71:24 155:1

**determine** [8] 29:5 38:25 49:11 89:10 106:25 108:14 118:17 208:24

**determined** [1] 74:12 **determining** [2] 27:13

32:24 **deterministic** [1] 171:17 **develop** [7] 58:11 101:9 102:10,12,18 233:9

**developed** [5] 101:3 153:4 154:3 203:13 206:22

235:12

**developing** [1] 49:3 **development** [3] 45:17 200:11 233:9

**developments** [2] 101:15 127:22

**deviation** [1] 127:5 **DG** [23] 35:14,16 37:15 37:21 49:23,25 50:17 51:3 52:12,19,24 55:10 55:23 56:25 57:20 58:20 59:23 60:4,9,12 129:14 131:13 237:10

**DG2** [32] 8:9 11:17,17 54:15 69:1 72:5 74:1 82:23 105:6 127:14 129:4 129:6,7,20,22 131:8,11 131:16 132:7 133:4,17 144:5 153:25 169:13 200:16 201:25 202:17 208:15,25 211:4 216:15 217:4

**DG3** [51] 9:21 11:16,17 11:22 65:16 66:12,21 67:3 68:21 69:1,14 71:11 71:17 72:13,23 74:3,8 75:2,18 76:13,24 77:11 77:18 78:12 79:2,5 80:3 104:17 106:25 128:13,16 128:22,25 129:4 131:17 131:19,22 132:6,7 195:12 198:12 199:4 201:25 209:3,7,8,17 217:22 236:5,6,15

**differ** [1] 135:14 **difference** [13] 15:2 29:16,18 45:14 115:21 129:3 167:5 173:20 174:11 189:18 207:19 216:3 229:3

**differences** [4] 109:11 109:19,25 112:8

**different** [17] 18:16 22:8 27:17 70:3 81:8 134:13 139:7 155:2 164:5 184:6 194:15 203:14 207:15 214:11 216:20,21 225:24

**differential** [2] 219:5 219:21

**differently** [1] 182:24 **difficult** [5] 54:1,10 77:5 98:14 160:6

**difficulty** [5] 118:14,23 123:1 238:21 240:25

dime [2] 181:21,22 diminish [1] 8:22 diminishes [1] 9:23

**dioxide** [1] 224:20 **direct** [3] 55:12 56:19 137:2

**directed** [1] 223:24 **direction** [3] 224:3,4 226:15

directional [1] 75:23 directive [1] 224:8 directly [4] 28:8 89:16 127:20 191:19

disagree [1] 238:20 Discoveries [1] 244:12 discretion [1] 105:24 discretionary [1]

105:22

**discuss** [4] 39:9,10 40:13 177:25

**discussed** [3] 125:7 128:4 209:25

**discussion** [13] 28:17 45:12 47:17 71:15 89:10 98:19 108:13 134:15 139:4 145:3 158:2 192:20 228:18

**discussions** [5] 89:16 90:7,25 103:5,6 **disparity** [1] 4:11

disparity [1] 4:11 disposes [1] 219:22 disposition [1] 134:5 dispute [1] 24:18 distance [1] 23:13

**distances** [2] 23:11 169:23

distinction [1] 214:15 distribution [1] 64:20 document [1] 136:8 documentation [5] 75:15 90:20 130:2,6 142:23

**doesn't** [9] 12:21 13:25 55:20 134:23 146:17 157:19 172:6 179:13 226:14

**dollar** [4] 6:3,20 212:21 229:3

dollars [36] 3:6 4:5,6,7 4:8,9 6:3 9:12,12 13:4 20:17 22:21 29:13 60:6 60:13,25 61:4 98:16 115:20 121:14,17 160:16 174:12,16,24 175:11,19 196:10 197:13,16,18 212:14,20 221:17 224:7 226:21

**domestic** [s] 85:24,25 122:3,8 220:16

done [47] 46:13 48:19 53:18,24 54:7 59:10 61:8 65:22 67:2 72:12,23 73:9 73:16 75:1 79:16 82:19 87:1 92:18 121:13 124:9 124:9,18 127:17 155:6,9 155:16 157:4 158:6 163:5 171:16,25 184:9 199:24 199:25 200:9,10 203:13 205:25 206:21 207:14,16 214:19 225:5 226:7,11 234:3 240:4

double [2] 113:4 231:15

doubt [1] 128:24 down [34] 12:9 14:21 52:13 63:25 84:5 85:24 92:9 100:14,17 102:3 137:1 151:13 160:21 162:10,11,13 164:5,6 165:10 171:2 173:6 178:1 178:12 179:25 183:6 187:22,25 206:14 227:8 230:1 232:20 233:4,6,8

**dramatically** [1] 217:20 **draw** [3] 185:11 207:22 227:7

drawn [1] 208:3 draws [1] 216:13 drilled [1] 75:23 drilling [2] 75:17,20 driven [2] 48:18 122:5 driver [1] 227:1 drivers [2] 83:25 229:22 drop [1] 229:24 dropped [1] 90:21 duration [2] 151:3 184:1

**during** [14] 25:20 54:22 89:23 146:21,25 147:15 172:1,8 173:1 177:17,21 178:25 204:7,9

-E-

**early** [6] 33:17 38:16 64:22 144:6 172:8 201:3 **ease** [1] 168:11

easiest [1] 135:21

**eastern** [1] 169:7 **economic** [7] 40:25 41:5 41:14 82:7 84:3,6 111:8

economical [1] 226:12 economics [1] 41:12

Ed [1] 36:5 edge [1] 54:3

effect [3] 23:25 102:20 122:1

effective [5] 94:25 225:6 225:10,12,25 effectively (2) 146:7

**effectively** [3] 146:7 233:6,16 **efforts** [2] 13:13 196:23

EIA [3] 4:3,9 121:8 eight [4] 6:12 106:7,8 179:6

either [13] 45:4 46:17 62:10 70:19 98:13 112:3 178:7 179:9,17 186:21 193:2 235:12 238:19

**elected** [1] 231:8 **electric** [4] 83:23 84:1,1 182:15

**Electrical** [1] 43:19 **electrically** [1] 182:13 **electricity** [2] 82:12 119:14

**elements** [2] 26:4 56:1 **eliminated** [1] 229:19

| elsewhere [2] 170:1 | 197:1 | email [1] 38:15 | embedded [1] 6:1 | Emera [4] 72:3,6 100:22

emergency [1] 182:5 emissions [5] 222:5 224:19,20 226:4 234:6 employed [1] 48:24 end [23] 23:6,7 37:22 45:2 48:21 54:5 59:24 61:4 80:10 84:4 116:8

end [23] 23:6,7 37:22 45:2 48:21 54:5 59:24 61:4 80:10 84:4 116:8 150:11 161:21 183:24 211:6 214:17 216:17,19 218:11 220:22 226:1 238:17 240:20

endeavouring [1] 39:25 ends [1] 153:18 energies [1] 188:5

energy [79] 3:23 14:18 14:22 15:9,11,25 17:3,4 17:19,20 18:2 19:9 24:4 25:4.11 28:23 31:5 33:2 40:12 42:7,11,11,14 43:15 44:6,25 82:9,10 92:16,24 93:8 95:3,8,11 95:20 100:5,6 101:21 102:8 120:22,25 146:16 147:3,22 148:2,5,7,10 149:10,13 150:8 151:5 170:21 171:11,23 172:1 173:1,9,11,20 176:5,10 176:24 177:13,17 178:2 187:2,20 188:12 213:22 219:23 220:10 222:16 224:1 231:22 234:13,18 234:25 235:20

engaged [1] 153:12 engineered [1] 207:22 engineering [11] 25:20 46:1 48:19 61:7 67:25 73:16 74:13 78:18,24 138:14 141:3

engineers [1] 204:7 enhanced [2] 140:3,8 enhancements [3] 169:2 169:16 170:6

**enhancing** [1] 196:8 **ensure** [3] 173:10,22 219:22

enter [4] 108:13 113:8 181:10 184:18

**entered** [1] 115:16 **entering** [1] 184:21 **entire** [2] 83:12 212:15

entities [1] 105:25 environment [1] 154:9 environmental [17]

69:4,23 70:2,22,25 132:14,16,24 133:7,25 221:15,25 223:1,5,14,20 226:22

**EPCM** [1] 200:1 **equal** [2] 229:21 230:2 **equipment** [5] 26:5

64:17 183:23 185:2 225:22 equity [3] 28:25 29:10 30:14 **erased** [1] 6:25 error[1] 84:20 **escalate** [4] 212:21 218:20 231:11 234:8 **escalated** [2] 29:13 116:17 escalating [2] 117:1 212:14 **escalation** [1] 231:14 **essential** [1] 185:2 essentially [3] 31:20 135:5 229:18 **establish** [1] 99:18 established [3] 64:23 65:9 222:12 establishing [1] 158:18 establishment [1] 158:3 **estimate** [46] 3:2,7 12:25 47:16 49:4,17,18,23,25 50:4.18.25 51:4 52:12 52:24 53:6,25 54:11,16 55:4,10 56:2,2,3 57:3,12 57:20,21 58:24 61:10 66:15,18 67:18 68:1 78:19 80:7,14,23 81:9 81:10 132:2 133:8 134:4 209:15,25 216:22 **estimated** [1] 60:12 estimates [12] 6:15 46:11 47:3,10 53:13 66:12 80:6 82:11 169:24 209:8 216.16.217.24 **estimation** [1] 26:10 estimations [1] 26:11 **et** [8] 81:11 147:20 157:2 171:5 178:9 209:8,16 217:24 etc [1] 35:21 evaluate [2] 206:17 234.23 evaluated [2] 25:18 217:13 evaluating [1] 32:1 evaluation [3] 50:4 128:6 158:1 **evening** [1] 6:18 event [21] 18:13 69:6 100:18 133:12 150:1 153:6 155:19,25 161:11 178:20 180:10,20 181:2 181:25 182:1,5 191:25 192:18 209:2 223:22 236:1 events [9] 107:14 110:7 153:19 156:2 180:13 183:19,19,22 186:1 eventual [1] 130:14 eventually [1] 29:1 everybody [1] 1:4 **evidence** [1] 121:10 evidenced [1] 118:21

**evolution** [5] 53:10,12 144:6 218:4 220:17 evolve [1] 221:8 evolved [1] 80:24 **exact** [2] 16:5 26:6 **exactly** [3] 12:17 20:15 119:11 examination [1] 240:4 **examined** [1] 21:5 **example** [9] 32:6 110:23 111:16 120:8 159:1 161:8 206:20.25 223:7 **except** [1] 237:17 **exceptions** [1] 106:10 excess [5] 100:8 120:20 146:22 161:11,11 Excuse [2] 1:17 242:17 **execution** [2] 53:22 218:6 **exercise** [4] 82:14 172:12 236:7 243:9 **exhibit** [24] 2:5,15 4:6 21:7 85:12 135:25 136:8 144:9.12 151:20 158:8 158:16 165:3 168:22 171:9,16 172:12 176:22 187:1 190:14,25 194:2 238:8 239:12 **exhibits** [1] 201:14 **exist** [3] 91:11 168:9 186:2 **existing** [5] 76:3 100:5 172:21 193:10 194:3 **exists** [1] 27:19 **expansion** [17] 5:18 41:11 42:1 72:16 93:19 94:15 97:4,19,21 98:22 102:16,20 103:2 104:8 174:11 235:7 237:4 **expect** [19] 14:21 39:19 48:11 54:25 58:20 61:1 71:6 84:6,17 87:3 98:4 110:10 131:18 142:11 155:10 215:25 219:9,18 220:25 **expectation** [6] 58:23 74:21 79:21 103:7 112:11 226:1 **expected** [15] 19:1,3,13 19:21 20:2,3,5,22 21:4,9 60:18 153:3 158:14 182:9 213:10 **expecting** [2] 169:5,21 expenditures [3] 60:3,8 60:11 **expenses** [1] 11:3

22:23 29:4,19 33:12 40:25 41:21 56:14 111:14 115:21 117:11 154:23 157:17 199:18 210:4 216:3 219:11 232:9 **explained** [1] 157:13 explaining [1] 182:8 **explicitly** [3] 55:20 121:5 158:10 explore [6] 152:24 154:22 160:8,13 220:9 221:21 **exploring** [1] 107:8 **exports** [1] 111:19 **exposure** [7] 8:18 18:12 171:18,21 172:9,9 179:15 **expressed** [1] 50:15 **expression** [5] 64:6,25 65:4 191:14,17 expressions [1] 66:4 **extend** [3] 83:5 114:13 114:15 **extended** [1] 83:22 **extensive** [3] 53:18 209:24 240:3 **extent** [6] 7:3 79:14 124:2 156:18 159:9 238:17 **external** [3] 67:6,10 68:17 **extra** [2] 156:14 191:15 extreme [4] 51:2 52:24 54:3 169:11 **extremely** [2] 10:14 101:7 **extremes** [1] 51:13 -F**face** [1] 150:24 facilitate [2] 64:20 206:3 facilitates [2] 64:10 74:23

facilities [5] 11:5 65:8,9 70:11 189:25 **facility** [18] 17:19 26:21 29:8 30:3 31:5,7 41:6,10 44:3 104:2 132:20 159:5

205:22 222:21 223:25 224:24 225:23 226:3 fact [24] 32:7,9 39:11 121:12 126:3 135:15

69:1 89:22 101:10 113:5 142:14.24 147:9 185:13 186:7 189:9 190:3 191:13 196:12 201:21 204:12 208:19 227:14.24

**factor** [10] 14:23 26:22 42:22 48:19 75:16 94:21 110:2 113:3 227:9 228:5

**factored** [5] 45:6,9 94:17 151:4 190:2

**factors** [7] 13:7 49:3 78:8 79:15 114:1 207:25 232:7

fail [1] 172:4

**failed** [2] 161:17 171:20 **failure** 171 139:18 141:4 151:10 156:18,21 182:6 187.12 **fair** [4] 128:15 134:11

216:2 217:7 **fairly** [2] 77:21 217:19

**fairness** [1] 52:1 **fall** [3] 51:5 82:5 172:8

**Falls** [82] 2:6 5:25 6:4,13 6:16,21 7:7 9:9,12 12:12 16:22 17:5,16,18 18:4,5 25:6 26:20,20,23 28:17 28:24 29:1,7,25 30:22 32:10 33:2 34:7,13 43:11 43:13,22 44:1,3,6,11,25 55:4 64:10,15,21 65:2,3 65:7,10 72:8 91:7 94:23 100:8,9 101:21,24 104:15 108:20 126:8 129:20 131:9 132:17 143:6 144:1 146:16 147:2 150:9 199:3 201:1,10,18 204:16 205:19,21 206:16,16 207:5 217:10 220:15 221:3 233:11 234:4

**familiar** [8] 29:24 125:6 125:10 155:15 159:21 204:11,14 208:19

235:10,15 244:4

**familiarity** [1] 208:18 **far** [6] 69:19.20 96:9 140:6 198:13 235:22

**fear** [1] 234:7 February [4] 1:1 39:12 244:6.10

federal [8] 9:22,23 11:1 12:22 70:9 71:16 132:22 234:1

**feed** [1] 177:18 **feedback** [1] 241:16 feeders [3] 183:11 185:4 185:12

**feels** [1] 52:12 **felt** (1) 171:19 **few** [7] 118:22 123:11 124:23 194:10 198:17 210:4.7

**field** [1] 120:25 **fifty** [6] 134:23 136:19 136:19 138:13,18 229:19

figure [2] 28:2 212:21 file [6] 35:1 38:17 235:8 236:3,5 237:21

**filed** [15] 4:7 34:20 35:5 39:16 46:13 59:20 71:3 75:15 136:8 146:15 201:14 228:3 236:8,13 237:5

**filings** [1] 236:24 **fill** [2] 21:11,12 **final** [3] 12:8 53:7 134:4 **finalization** [1] 73:20 **finalized** [6] 47:11 82:6 168:22 200:25 210:9,12 **Finally** [1] 12:8

Finance [1] 82:8 **financial** 191 31:19 61:7 211:14,23 212:17 213:12 214:17 216:12 218:17

**financing** [4] 11:2 12:3 12:3 29:10

**finding** [6] 123:18,23 124:3,19 126:3 128:20 **findings** [3] 125:4

134:21 156:24 **fine** [5] 35:7 39:9 53:23 242:21 243:11

fingertips [1] 62:6 **finished** [3] 238:9 239:19 241:6

**fired** [1] 42:20 **firewall** [1] 110:18 **firm** [6] 3:8 29:6 98:12

98:17 99:5,13 **firms** [1] 65:5

first [32] 2:2 6:8 22:22 30:3.23 33:2.5 40:7 41:4 43:24 45:22 53:17 55:15 65:21 67:24 77:9 96:24 123:12 125:22 136:22 139:7 161:1 183:2 187:5 187:7 199:4 202:18 203:19 206:25 219:15 220:2 227:25

**five** [11] 83:21 99:17,23 112:18,19 129:9,21 131:9

131:13 132:6 205:15 **fix** (1) 31:15 **fixed** [1] 214:3

**flattened** [1] 162:17 **flow** [6] 31:21 42:11,11

82:23 121:20 233:22 **flowing** [1] 25:12 **flows** [1] 82:9

**focus** [7] 10:6,10 12:20 30:10 201:1 203:18,24

focused [4] 30:12 31:21 31:22 53:20

**follow** [10] 17:23 40:7 45:19 51:14 90:23 108:2 110:14 125:17 165:18 227:11

**follow-up** [4] 123:11 129:5 132:15 221:13

**followed** [1] 53:5 **following** [5] 30:4,15 74:12 123:15 157:14

**forced** [2] 104:10 124:19 **forecast** [70] 9:25 13:15 14:6,19 15:4 19:21 20:2 20:4 21:6 60:8,11 72:14 72:15 81:19,19,21 82:1 82:4,8,9 83:2,6,9,20 84:5 84:10,12,15,18,20,23 85:1,7,18 88:25 89:3,11 90:3,9,24 91:9,15 92:11 93:23 113:24 114:7.7.12 114:18,19 115:11,15,20 117:19 120:2 121:3,13 146:14,17,19,22,25 147:15 148:1 229:10

**expensive** [4] 44:24

141:18 196:20 232:24

**experience** [7] 152:5

172:20 187:19

**expired** [1] 42:13

154:24 155:5,10 156:12

experienced [1] 187:4

**explain** [19] 5:7 10:20

**expert** [2] 160:10 197:23

231:3 232:3 234:12 236:13 237:4 **forecasted** [1] 114:10 **forecaster** [2] 84:17,22 forecasters [1] 120:4 forecasting [8] 18:25 82:3 118:15,23 231:5,13 231:22 234:19

forecasts [6] 4:11 82:22 89:24 91:8 116:16 120:3

**foregoing** [1] 244:2 **foreseeable** [1] 223:15 **forever** [1] 233:22

form [1] 70:8

**fortunate** [1] 99:21

**forward** [13] 45:17 58:20 120:14 132:19 159:22 161:3 175:13 187:3 193:8 194:4 195:12 198:12 217:15

**found** [1] 160:24 **four** [6] 168:9 183:6 187:16 204:6,10 219:9

frame [5] 16:23 17:21 73:23 83:5 95:21

framed [1] 96:22 **frames** [1] 156:9

framework [4] 28:6,7 29:23 30:1

frank [1] 198:7

frequency [13] 178:8 179:24 180:7 181:3 183:1 183:5,9 184:13,19,23 185:10.25 196:18

**frequent** [1] 183:19 Friday [2] 39:18 59:20 **front** [3] 48:21 86:19 116:24

**fuel** [71] 5:19 9:4,25 18:24 19:17,19,20 20:22 27:11,16,20 42:3,19 113:24 114:1,1,5,7,10 115:13,22,22 116:1,7,8 116:14,21 117:3,10,12 117:25 118:8,15,18,21 118:21,24 119:4 120:2,4 120:14 221:5,24,24 222:5 222:10,10 224:23 225:1 225:8,13,24 226:4,9,14 226:17 227:1,6,15,18 228:7,11 229:9 230:6,7 231:2,3,11,17,25 232:22

**full** [21] 24:11 26:18 32:10 107:3 124:2 145:15 147:2,6,16,21 148:12 150:5 179:4 181:15 199:2 204:18 209:13,15 212:13 217:23 222:2

**fuller** [1] 80:3 **fully** [2] 107:18 150:9 **function** [2] 30:5 84:3

fundamental [6] 11:1 193:7 217:17 221:2,7 231:19

**funded** [1] 33:14

**funds** [3] 11:11 65:24 133:20

**future** [13] 16:5 58:14 93:23 95:14 96:19 97:3 99:7 101:15 188:2 221:9 221:11 223:6,16

### -G-

**gain** [1] 95:13 gap [2] 27:25 203:8 gas [22] 3:11 120:21 173:13,16,24 174:6,21 174:23 175:8,10 186:17 186:21 192:4,12 193:1,3 193:23 194:11 196:17 232:17,22 234:6

gases [1] 14:2

gate [29] 10:16 35:17 36:5 45:11,14,15,16,23 46:6 47:15,21,24,25 48:1,12 49:17,20 55:3 57:18 60:19 65:21 67:9 73:2 103:17 129:6 143:1,4 206:10 217:8

gatekeeper [1] 36:6 gateway [2] 48:20 132:1 gather [2] 76:7 161:2 **gathering** [1] 76:2 general [4] 73:23 86:6 114:16 217:9

generalized [1] 211:1 generally [7] 23:21 82:4 89:5 99:12 134:3 197:8 228:25

**generated** [2] 23:5 29:7 **generating** [8] 9:9 12:13 27:19 29:8 31:5,7 149:22 150:14

**generation** [42] 3:12 5:18 7:8 11:4 32:19 64:14 70:11 72:16 93:19 94:15 97:19 99:19 102:16 104:2,8 112:9,10 132:20 133:25 140:17 146:20 147:18 149:4 168:1,5 170:17,20,22 181:8,9 183:3 188:3,14 189:24 191:5 206:21 217:10 233:10 235:7 236:2,12 237:3

**generator** [1] 186:2 **gentlemen** [2] 5:1 40:6 geotechnical [2] 76:1,7 gigawatt [11] 12:10 88:9 88:11,17 91:25 92:3,10 149:14 219:16,21 229:24

**gigawatts** [1] 15:24 **Gilbert** [10] 2:23,25 7:15 36:2 70:6 79:14 157:10 157:25 162:20 164:13

**girls** [1] 139:11

given [21] 1:25 25:5 32:1 32:8 43:5 87:22 91:10 93:15 95:5 97:1 142:16 142:21 160:9 167:17 168:8,8 192:24 197:2

205:22 219:19 232:2 **giving** [3] 132:16 167:14 167:16

**glad** [1] 236:18 **global** [2] 63:10 207:21 goes [15] 1:12 18:4 20:15 27:14 29:4 30:12 57:12 84:18 114:12 124:18 138:10 163:9,24 177:13 189:21

**gone** [4] 147:13 154:13 176:14 196:24

**good** [19] 1:4 2:25 5:1 12:18 38:21 40:6 100:13 105:15.17 123:6 160:10 194:19 197:25 198:14 208:10 221:1 233:24 234:9 238:11

Goudie [60] 4:2,3 5:11 7:4.5 19:5.6 20:13.20 21:14,23 22:4 24:24,25 28:4 29:21 31:9,17 32:14 32:20 33:13 34:4 114:10 114:11,12,20 115:14,24 116:5,15,22 117:14,21 118:3,10 119:1,20 120:5 120:10 121:4,15 122:10 210:3,10,17,25 211:9,18 211:25 212:4,9,18 213:1 213:6,15,20 214:4 219:1 219:11,13

**government** [4] 70:20 133:22 224:9,9

governments [3] 70:9 71:3 132:23

Grand [1] 91:7 grandfathered [2] 107:21 110:11

grant [1] 113:2 **granted** [1] 132:25 gravy [2] 191:14,17

great [3] 4:10 32:12 239:15

**greater** [11] 12:5 25:5 50:7 58:14 59:2 66:17 80:5 81:7 103:8 165:4 231:11

**greatest** [1] 132:3 green [2] 55:7 132:19 Greene [389] 1:16 4:14 16:10 40:3,5 41:18 42:17 43:1,17 44:8,19 45:5,10 46:5.10.20 47:1.8.14 48:6 49:1,9,15 50:9 51:25 52:7 54:14,19 55:14,24 56:7,13,20 57:10,17,25 58:15,19 59:4,14,18 60:7,16,23 61:5,13,19 62:7,14,21 63:6,15,24 65:13 66:19 66:25 67:16,22 68:6,14 69:12,22 70:10,14,21 71:7,14 72:1,11,19,25 73:5,11,15,24 74:10,25

75:7,13 76:10,16,21 77:7

77:24 78:7,16 79:1,8,18

79:24 80:12,18 81:4,14

81:24 82:13,18 83:1,10

86:12,21 87:7,12 88:2 88:12,18,22 89:1,8,14 89:21 90:5,12,16 91:12 91:20 92:1,23 93:3,11 94:1,7,13 95:4,22 96:2,7 96:14 97:7,15 98:2,18 99:6,20 100:12 101:14 102:4,14,22 103:4,12 104:18,23 105:2,9 106:6 106:14 107:22 108:10,16 109:1,6 110:13 111:13 112:5,21 113:13,19,23 114:17,22 115:3,5,18 116:3,10,19 117:2,18,23 118:6,12 119:16,25 120:7 121:1,9,18 122:14,24 123:5 124:13,22 125:2 125:11,16,24 126:13,21 127:13,25 128:11,17 129:1,13,25 130:11,23 131:4,14 132:4,11 133:1 133:9,18 134:8,12 135:11 136:6,13,25 137:9,16 138:3.9 139:2.25 140:7 140:12,20 141:2,9,15 142:1,13,20 143:16,22 144:7,15,20,25 145:11 145:20 146:13,24 147:12 147:25 148:17 150:17 151:14 152:4,9,22 153:21 154:20 156:23 157:12 159:18 161:23 162:4 163:7,16,23 164:10,20 164:25 165:8,19 166:5 166:23 167:4.22 168:4 168:24 169:14 170:2,10 170:15 172:17 173:5,18 174:5,14,20 175:2,16,23 176:3,17 177:9,23 178:14 179:21 182:7 184:10.16 185:20 186:6,11 188:20 189:2,6,19 190:11,15,23 191:6 192:6,21 193:13 193:21 194:8,20 195:2,9 195:18 196:7 197:19 198:23 199:8,17 200:7 200:20 201:4,15 202:7 202:13,21 203:10,22 204:23 205:11 206:5,19 207:8 208:6 209:1,12 210:1,13,19 211:5,12,20 212:2,6,11,23 213:3,11 213:17,25 214:7,12 215:1 215:11 216:1,10 217:21 218:7,16 219:7 220:1,19 221:12 222:7,22 223:13 224:5,14,25 226:5,19 227:5,12,21 228:12,16 229:1 231:24 233:18 234:10 235:17 236:10,19 237:1,16,25 239:2,8,20

84:8,24 85:11,22 86:4

greenhouse [2] 14:2 234.6

240:11 242:16

grid [2] 107:11 113:7 **ground** [2] 45:18 200:2 group [6] 58:10,13 82:10 95:15 207:23,24

groups [3] 69:3,7 231:21 **growing** [1] 234:5

grows [2] 33:10 149:24 growth [9] 9:5 84:3,6 96:8,21,23,25 98:3 99:8 **guarantee** [9] 9:22,23 10:20 11:2,17 12:1,22 71:16 234:1

guess [65] 1:12 5:4 6:8 6:22 8:4 13:4,24 15:24 17:7,12,14 24:7 27:25 29:22 34:15,15 36:8 41:5 42:9 45:2 50:10,12 51:7 52:1,10 84:4,17 98:7 112:15 113:25 118:16 124:8,23 127:23 142:22 147:14 155:17 156:2 159:25 160:14 162:8 163:10 164:13 167:20 180:14 189:21 192:7 193:7,11,14 197:12,20 198:2,9 202:3 203:11 204:25 206:1 216:13 217:13 222:19 230:7 231:15 232:7 233:3

Gull [10] 101:2.7 200:11 202:23 203:13,19 204:1 205:4 206:16 207:6

### -H-

half [8] 1:11 71:6 88:4 89:4 150:6 151:13 185:7 219:18

hand [2] 123:7 155:25 handle [4] 25:23 26:8 119:2 155:23

**happening** [3] 95:17 232:19.20

**hard** [2] 114:4 160:25 harder [1] 38:4

**Hardwoods** [5] 110:23 111:6,16,23 142:6

**Harrington** [49] 8:20 47:20 48:7,13 51:8,15 51:16 52:5 53:9,17 54:6 60:1,10,21 61:2,11,17 62:3 63:1,12 67:7,13,14 67:20 68:3,4,5,11,22 69:15 75:21,22 76:14,19 77:1,19,20 78:5,13,14 78:23,24 79:6 80:9,16 81:2,12 129:3 152:10

hats [1] 234:11 HDD [1] 75:20 **he'd** [1] 37:3

**hear** [6] 45:13 80:10 88:13 123:10 195:1,20

heard [10] 35:15 59:5 94:8 120:1 121:10 131:8 196:9 230:3 232:11 244:4

hearing [5] 123:2 211:11

238:21 240:25 244:3 heart [1] 178:17

**hearts** [1] 198:3 **heat** [3] 83:23 84:1,1

heavy [1] 169:21

help [6] 48:15 55:8 56:15 101:12 159:8 219:24

helped [1] 159:14 helpful [3] 4:22 66:16 226:6 helps [2] 226:6 228:22 hereby [1] 244:2 high [20] 8:9 19:12,14,22 22:2 26:14 30:20,25 33:18 49:21 51:2 57:4 58:7 61:4 64:2 86:22 119:22 156:20 161:13 197:25

higher [29] 20:3 21:10 22:13,17 24:2,9,12 37:12 37:17,23 115:7 116:7 119:18 138:22 139:13,21 140:21,24 141:6 148:24 157:21 160:4,19 169:7 169:25 187:17 198:5 224:23 232:24

highest [1] 197:7 highlight [1] 10:5 highlighting [1] 10:10 highlights [1] 12:20 Highway [1] 64:14 hint [1] 87:22 historic [1] 151:24 historical [1] 158:19 history [4] 93:17 156:1 159:4 231:10 hit [1] 166:25 hits [1] 164:11

hold [i] 114:14 holds [i] 188:23 hole [4] 75:23,25 76:3,8 Holyrood [i8] 27:18 41:6 42:2,19 116:9 120:15 130:14,15 146:8 146:14 183:9 221:16.20

**hitting** [1] 161:25

120:15 130:14,15 146:8 146:14 183:9 221:16,20 221:24 223:23 224:24 225:21,25

**home** [4] 119:13 162:9 185:4,5

honest [1] 240:1 hope [4] 48:14 78:2 80:19 199:16

hoped [1] 16:13 hoping [1] 35:3 horizon [1] 116:16 horizontal [2] 75:17,23 hour [14] 1:11 25:8 29:12

**hour** [14] 1:11 25:8 29:12 33:4,7 34:13 88:9 112:11 179:17 185:7 212:14,20 219:21 243:6

hours [26] 1:11 12:10 26:24 29:7 43:13 88:11 88:17 91:25 92:4,10 100:20 112:14,16 149:14 150:10 177:3,4,13,14 178:24 179:7 187:21 219:15,17,17 229:25

huge [1] 228:7

**Humphries** [196] 4:20 4:20 15:10,11,15,19 16:2 16:14 18:9,10 23:1,2,12

23:20 24:1,10,22 26:1 26:16 27:3 72:17,22 73:3 73:4,7,13,18 92:17,21 93:1,9,24 94:19,20 95:16 95:25 96:5 97:5,12,24 98:6 99:1,11,24 101:5 101:18 102:2,11,19,25 103:10 104:5.21.25 105:5 105:20 106:12,23 108:6 108:12,23 109:3,16 110:21 111:22 112:13,24 113:16,21 123:14 124:4 124:20,25 125:9,14,20 126:10,18,24 127:19 128:3,14,23 135:2,9 136:4,11,22,23 137:7,14 137:18 138:7,25 139:23 140:5,10,18,25 141:5,13 141:23 142:9.18 143:3 143:20,24 144:23 145:8 145:18 146:1,18 147:4 147:23 148:15 149:6 151:2,23 152:7,20 153:7 155:4,14 157:6 161:2,5 162:2 164:4 165:23 166:19 167:1,19,24 170:19 171:8,15 173:3 173:15 174:3,8,18,25 175:5,21 176:1,15 177:6 177:7,20 178:6,11,18 180:3,9 182:22 184:14 184:20 185:24 186:9,23 188:25 189:4,13 190:9 190:13,21 191:18 192:17 194:25 195:7,14 196:1 197:11 199:6.15.21 200:18,24 201:12 202:1 202:11,19 203:4,20 204:2 205:5 208:16 209:10,19 225:8,16 234:21 235:25 236:20 237:6.8

**hundred** [2] 138:12 221:17

hurdle [1] 31:25 HVDC [20] 6:13 22:8,11 22:18 23:17,18,21 107:13 134:17 137:6,12 145:5 152:12 154:25 157:1 160:4 171:7 182:12 183:23 195:5

hydro [37] 28:21,23 33:1 58:8,16 92:2 93:20 95:13 120:13 125:17,19,25 126:4,5,5,5 134:22 140:2 158:6 182:14 184:11 193:8 210:8 211:17 213:22 214:2 218:23 219:2,3,14,20,22 220:2 222:11 223:24 233:19 238:2

**Hydro's** [4] 109:12 158:19 222:14 236:14 **hydros** [2] 101:10 130:15

# -I-

ice [16] 140:2,23 152:2 158:10 159:2,3,9 161:8 163:8,11,15,21,22 169:5 169:9 197:7

icing [3] 161:10 172:6

183:21 **idea** [5] 102:5 150:21 189:7,8 203:16

identification [1] 53:20 identified [9] 75:3,9 77:3 124:5 133:11,15 152:13 168:10 209:3

identifies [1] 19:16 identify [10] 4:17,23 5:13,16 10:5 12:2 53:16 74:11 75:2 171:19

**identifying** [2] 10:11 171:18

**illustrate** [4] 118:7 213:13 227:24 228:19

immediate[i] 142:11 immediately[i] 65:16 imminently[i] 71:3 impact [34] 13:7 21:3 32:12 41:20 70:25 90:17 92:6,15 93:7 94:15 97:18

102:15,23 103:2 107:15 110:3,16 111:2 114:5 118:9 127:11 140:23 141:16 155:20 166:9,10 174:17 175:17 209:15 218:11 228:21 229:8 230:1 232:22

**impacts** [3] 5:21 96:9 206:15

impedances [1] 26:8 implications [11] 107:23 108:3,15 127:10 128:8 139:6 202:25 203:25 206:8,18 226:16

**import** [3] 42:6 100:6 191:3

**importance** [1] 10:3 **important** [9] 5:17 66:8 66:9,11 106:3 137:23 162:20 171:19 208:13

**imposed** [1] 133:13 **impression** [1] 65:14 **improve** [3] 9:25 37:23

158:21 **improved** [1] 159:24 **improvement** [4] 164:15 165:4,5 196:3

**improving** [1] 170:23 **in-feed** [13] 16:17 22:22 83:3 104:1 165:13 171:12 173:11,21,22 175:19 176:10 189:11,15

in-house [1] 208:19 Inc [1] 244:12 incidents [1] 122:6 include [9] 12:21,23 13:25 27:11 67:10 75:8

included [12] 11:3 27:21 37:15,21 55:23 108:19 123:22 133:3,7,16 169:13 221:17

**includes** [2] 153:18 155:12

76:3 86:6 105:18

including [6] 98:13 104:1 127:11 130:13 157:2 229:17

inclusion [2] 57:8 169:16 incorporate [3] 98:14 127:3 128:6

**incorporated** [4] 104:16 155:18 156:15 159:17

incorporating [1] 104:7 incorrectly [1] 4:10 increase [17] 6:15 7:6 7:11,23 12:14 20:7,12 22:20 23:14 27:22,24 67:25 97:10,14 131:1 164:18 229:15

**increased** [2] 6:14 161:18

increases [2] 20:23 98:10

increasing [3] 9:8 53:13 188:18

increment [1] 83:22 incremental [6] 2:21 22:19 34:12 95:7 232:16 233:20

incrementally [2] 232:10 233:5

incurred [1] 60:3 indeed [1] 129:16 independent [2] 93:21 160:9

indicate [2] 72:6 97:21 indicated [15] 18:10 34:18 35:4 47:20 48:7 49:20 51:22 60:17 117:25 123:15 129:11 199:1 200:8 202:14 226:25

**indicates** [3] 27:10 28:18 230:18

indication [10] 61:6,25 62:9,15 63:17 116:12 167:12 170:4 175:18 201:18

indifferent [1] 188:24 individual [2] 63:7,11 individually [1] 208:2 individuals [1] 204:12 industrial [29] 14:6,9 84:25 85:4,14 86:1,8,15 87:8,16,19,20 88:1,5,10 88:16 89:5,11,24 91:5 91:14 93:13,15 94:10,16 95:14 102:17 184:24 233:9

industries [1] 50:2 industry [7] 48:22 58:4 58:12 93:22 127:22 151:22 231:20

**infeed** [10] 126:9 130:7 130:19 148:13 152:12 227:13 228:4 229:5,17 235:24

**inflation** [6] 3:25 30:18 114:16 116:18 117:1 213:8

inform [6] 25:22 34:22

34:23 159:14 215:18 236:22

information [34] 2:5 10:18 13:16 16:12 47:9 52:19 57:7 63:4 76:1,8 81:6 82:22 103:22 151:24 153:24 156:25 157:5 158:11 159:10 190:4,12 190:16 192:24 193:25 194:1 200:16,22 201:7 201:20 202:10,16 207:4 217:3 238:8

informative [1] 215:17 informed [1] 74:23 infrastructure [2] 64:23 65:8

initial [4] 30:16,20 66:18 75:25

initiate [1] 113:1 Innu [1] 68:25

**input** [6] 10:17 11:22 12:6,19 25:3 68:18

**inputs** [6] 10:9 13:11,15 35:17 66:12 230:4

**inside** [1] 1:8

insight [2] 11:25 231:19 install [9] 41:9 173:10 186:16,21 192:12 222:20 223:24 225:6,10

installation [2] 224:21 226:18

installed [1] 173:25 installing [1] 224:15 instance [1] 83:17 instead [2] 30:10 170:22 instructive [1] 8:10

integration [20] 35:21 180:21 198:25 199:2,19 200:9,23 201:6,8,17 203:3,5 204:20,24 205:1 207:14 208:13,22,22 209:14

**integrity** [2] 183:12 184:6

intend [1] 242:12 intended [2] 225:19 242:4

**intent** [7] 172:13 225:17 226:9 236:3,5 237:9 241:22

intention [2] 75:6,12 interconnect [1] 42:6 interconnected [14] 5:22 7:12 9:24 10:1 20:1 20:7 42:5 94:24 177:10 187:23 194:16 230:19,22 237:14

interconnecting [1] 41:16

interconnection [12] 101:11 107:24 108:4 113:6,15,20,22 185:22 186:3,8 189:7 221:4

Interconnectioned [1] 119:11

**Jumping** [1] 137:1

**interest** [10] 11:3 64:6 65:1,5 66:4 122:7 194:6 195:16 233:25 243:2 **interested** [2] 14:10 17:13 **interface** [2] 98:11 111:10 **interfaces** [1] 112:2 **interim** [3] 37:19 100:1 100:7 **internal** [6] 29:9 30:13 36:3,7 82:11 109:22 **internally** [1] 111:3 international [2] 50:15 57:1 interpretation [5] 135:6 135:13 136:24 138:4 162:16 **interprets** [1] 240:22 **interrupt** [1] 179:9 **Intervenors** [1] 120:17 **intimately** [1] 204:11 inventories [1] 154:5 inventory [5] 27:8.11 27:16,20 153:5 invest [2] 165:20 166:1 investigated [1] 180:23 investigation [1] 53:18 **investing** [1] 165:6 **investment** [7] 5:15 7:8 29:2,25 164:15,17 165:7 involve [1] 99:15 involved [5] 82:7 154:8 169:23 170:4 181:24 **involvement** [1] 200:3 **IOC** [2] 98:13 101:20 irrespective [1] 31:10 island [95] 5:22 10:1 13:1 13:9 17:21 18:8,18 19:17 20:1,7 27:23 30:22 32:3 32:9 33:10 37:24 42:7 42:14 43:16 83:4,18 84:7 85:5,14,23 86:8,23 90:19 93:14 96:10 99:12,12 100:2,25 101:2,7,9 102:1 102:8,10,13,17 107:7,14 107:17 112:16 114:3 115:25 118:1 119:11,15 129:17 130:1 131:6 141:19 143:11 145:22,23 146:5,6,21 147:3,7,8,10 147:18,22 149:10,23 150:4,14 152:1 171:12 173:25 174:2.23 177:2 177:18 181:16 182:14 183:13 187:8,23 189:24 191:5,17 192:5 194:17 200:11 202:24 206:16 207:6 221:4 226:21 238:4 **Isle** [1] 171:5 **isolate** [2] 131:5 181:18 Isolate-Island [1] 130:12 isolated [36] 6:5 13:1,9

129:17 130:1 171:12 173:12,21,23 174:1,22 175:25 176:11 177:2,18 182:14,18 187:7 223:22 226:20 227:14,19 233:8 233:13,17 234:4 235:13 237:14 Isolated-Island [11] 130:4,16,25 131:7,10 221:6,18,20 227:8,15 228:8 **isolation** [2] 227:8 228:5 **issue** [18] 2:22 34:21,25 95:19 96:18 103:13 110:17 112:18 113:10 121:24 168:5,25 170:16 186:13,22 218:8 225:1 237:2 **issued** [2] 64:6 154:18 **issues** [19] 1:6 35:20 40:15 50:13 110:7 118:13 133:7,10,15 160:7,13 180:11 197:21 218:10 232:12 235:7 236:2,8 237:4 **Issuing** [1] 66:7 it'll [1] 8:5 item [4] 3:20 64:3 75:14 126:11 items [5] 66:15 76:22 77:23 80:21 235:12 itself [4] 7:25 65:15 150:20 164:16 -J-**January** [2] 60:11 115:16 **Jason** [14] 46:18 48:17 55:12 63:20 74:5,17 75:12 129:11.24 131:24 153:11 205:9 209:20,23 **John's** [3] 112:1 244:6,9 **Johnson** [68] 1:14 4:25 5:23 7:19 8:3,25 10:19 11:6.15 12:7.24 13:20 14:5,25 15:5,13,17,22 16:8,16,24 17:6,22 18:22 20:10,18,25 21:20,25 22:6 23:9,16,23 24:6,17 25:13 26:12,25 27:5 28:14 31:1,13 32:5,16 33:6 34:2,9 35:9,13 36:11,21 37:25 38:8 39:6 40:8 50:13 51:9 96:15 117:7 131:21 210:5 221:14 225:2 232:12 239:25 242:17,20,22 **Johnson's** [1] 45:19 join [1] 81:16 **Joint** [1] 70:7 ioking [1] 236:16 judgment [2] 8:12 197:20 **Judy** [2] 244:2,11

**June** [5] 50:7 60:19 67:9 72:24 78:20 **jurisdiction** [1] 105:24 jurisdictions [3] 107:16 124:10 185:16 -K-**Kean** [57] 4:21 8:20 47:5 47:12,21 48:3,16,17 49:5 49:13,24 53:9 55:11,12 55:19 56:5,11,18,22 57:15,23 58:2,17 59:1 63:19,20 64:4 74:4,5,16 74:17 75:5,11,12 129:2 129:10,11,23,24 130:9 130:21 131:2,12,23,24 132:8 153:10,11 154:17 205:6,8,9,13 206:11 208:9 209:22,23 **Kean's** [1] 155:17 keep [6] 82:21 100:16 171:6 174:1 184:15 189:11 keeping [2] 127:21 198:1 **key** [21] 19:19 49:2,6 53:21 64:22 74:12 114:5 115:9 123:18,23 124:3 124:18 125:4 126:3 131:16 228:20,21 229:7 230:4,5,12 **kilometre** [2] 64:13 169:25 **kilometres** [2] 23:11 75:24 **kilowatt** [2] 33:7 34:13 kind 151 68:12 98:15 127:3 160:24 233:20 **kinds** [2] 67:18,21 **knowing** [3] 14:10 17:13 223:5 **knowledge** [1] 206:4 **known** [2] 120:3 223:15 knows [1] 236:4 Kruger [1] 89:4 **kV** [11] 137:5,8,13 144:2 152:1.6 159:19 160:2 161:14,21 166:2 -L-28:21,23 42:6,7 64:14

labelled [1] 115:15 Labrador [29] 25:5 28:21,23 42:6,7 64:14 96:9,22 97:1 98:4,8,10 98:23 100:2,5,24 101:19 102:18 103:9 107:12 112:3 145:22 168:16 221:3 223:20 232:21 233:10 234:2 244:7 Labrador-Island [19]

Labrador-Island [19] 5:25 6:4,12,16,21 7:8 9:10,13 12:13 22:18 33:14,25 34:6 71:2 72:9 180:13 201:1 217:11 220:15 lack [3] 1:9 156:25 242:9

large [10] 66:14 86:7 99:21 101:7 113:10 127:18 145:21 146:2,3 149:3

largely [1] 6:25 larger [5] 100:25 125:18 141:7 143:12 156:6

**largest** [4] 87:19,25 110:25 145:23

last [11] 4:18 37:20 39:12 39:18 81:19 83:21 88:13 118:22 160:17 179:13 194:21

lasts [1] 179:14 late [3] 120:11 172:8 201:2

latter [1] 83:19 Lavalin [1] 199:25 laydown [1] 64:9 layman's [1] 162:16 layout [1] 203:1

**layperson** [5] 138:13 160:24 177:15 182:15,20

**lead** [3] 68:8 99:17 100:13

leading [1] 39:13 learned [1] 159:16 learning [1] 158:23 learnings [2] 158:25 159:12

lease [1] 127:12 least [8] 43:19 89:4 124:12 136:16 160:11 162:14 187:4 189:10

leaving [1] 150:21 lectured [1] 1:8 led [1] 151:16

**left** [5] 5:2 36:14 50:22 105:23 241:17

legislation [1] 223:14 legislative [2] 223:1,2 length [5] 84:10 114:19 230:10 232:3 235:15

less [17] 23:6 24:4 33:21 47:22,25 92:4 99:2,3 107:15 111:17,24,25 131:13 149:15 174:12 179:19 219:2

lesser [1] 161:20 level [45] 23:14 58:1 64:2 84:11,14 86:22 107:1 109:21 124:7 128:6 130:3 130:19 133:19 136:17,19 137:4 139:14 155:15,23 156:3 161:12,18 165:25 168:1 170:21 171:11 172:25 173:11,20,23 174:1,22 176:4,10,23 178:2 187:2 188:1,9 189:23 197:9 200:15 204:21 232:1,5

**levels** [3] 171:22,25 187:4 **leverage** [3] 7:9 19:16 119:6

leveraging [1] 5:14

life [7] 29:3 30:14 116:9 185:13 222:1,10 226:1 light [5] 52:22 128:1

132:20 155:2 196:12 **lighter** [1] 163:5

**lights** [5] 162:9 185:6 198:1,6,6

**likelihood** [6] 7:17 8:1 21:16 26:13 110:6 161:13

**likely** [3] 111:3 130:24 185:9

limit [3] 39:22 155:19,20 limited [2] 10:8 71:15 limiting [1] 155:20

limits [1] 196:25

**line** [83] 18:3 23:7,14,15 24:4,16 26:6,18,19,23 41:20 47:4 64:3 65:2 70:23 134:9,14,17,25 136:10 137:6,12 138:10 138:19,20 139:15,22 142:24 143:12 145:4,5 145:24 146:7 147:1,16 147:22 149:2 150:1,16 151:7,12 153:13,16 154:11,15,16,18 157:1 160:4,19 162:8,24 163:1 163:21 164:6 166:11,16 166:17,21 167:17,25 169:10,19 170:5,23 171:7 171:20 172:4 176:8 179:11 180:2 182:9,9 183:2 184:3 187:5,7 188:18 191:23 195:6 200:13 238:4 239:4

lined [1] 234:1 lines [24] 52:3,13 136:1 136:16 137:5 138:10,15 139:15 140:8,15 148:20 152:18 161:15 162:17 163:4 164:5,24 165:25 166:2,25 176:7 187:13 195:19,22

link [66] 5:25 6:4,13,16 6:21 7:9 9:10,13 12:13 18:1,6,7,13 22:18 24:11 33:14,17 34:1,6 37:24 43:7,24 44:1,17,20 71:2 72:3,9 104:2,7,16 107:13 110:5 112:4 144:3 161:13 161:19 166:4 180:13 181:14,21 182:12 183:23 187:18 189:17,21 190:1 190:3,6,14,17 191:12,13 192:19,22,25 193:3 194:18,22 195:3 201:2 216:23,25 217:11 220:16 229:17

**list** [3] 61:24 77:20 120:18

**listed** [8] 6:11 62:10,16 62:18 67:4,23 205:18 206:13

**listening** [4] 39:5,7 50:21 197:22

listing [2] 62:20,22 load [162] 5:20 9:5 12:10 14:6,8,11,22 15:24 23:14

19:17 27:23 41:15 83:4

100:3 114:3 115:25 118:1

**July** [1] 107:25

jumped [1] 222:23

26:18,23 27:19 37:3,12 37:15 72:14,15 81:15,21 82:1 83:6,19,22,25 84:2 84:5,6,14,17,18 85:1,3,4 85:5,14,14,23,25 86:1,5 86:9,14,15,16,23 87:9 87:16,17,19,20,25 88:5 88:9.10.19 89:5.5.11 90:9,19,21,24 91:14 92:5 92:11,12,13 93:6,14,14 93:19 94:3,10,17,22 95:14 96:8,9,21,23,25 97:3,10,14,16,21,25 98:1 98:3,10 99:8 100:15,16 101:7,18,25 102:5,8,18 102:24 103:1 112:11,14 112:20 138:21 139:17 145:12,14,15,21,23 146:4 146:14 148:14 149:9,23 150:10,24 161:16,22 167:2,3 171:24,24 172:10 172:10 176:25 178:3,4,8 178:21 179:1,3,8,10,15 179:19,24 180:4,7 181:3 181:10.19 182:11.12 183:1,5,10 184:23 185:3 185:10 196:6,18 222:2 229:23,24 230:6 232:19 232:20 234:12 236:13 237.4 **loading** [19] 23:15 48:21

loading [19] 23:15 48:21 158:11 159:2,6,10 163:3 163:4,8,15,25 168:21,22 169:5,6,21 178:23 197:5 197:8

loadings [4] 24:16 154:2 157:2 163:12

loads [6] 101:4 103:9 136:18 183:11 184:23,24

loan [7] 9:22,23 11:1 12:1 12:22 71:16 234:1

local [1] 161:16 location [1] 166:21

locked [1] 218:24

**LOLH** [2] 112:14 175:15

longer [3] 27:19 199:20 199:22

look [75] 2:18 3:1,11 8:7 9:1,18 10:15 26:19 35:14 41:5 44:13.15 45:2 52:3 52:13,20 53:4,15 77:8 82:14 83:5 85:12 86:25 89:2 96:8 105:25 106:15 108:7 117:5 121:12 126:3 130:1 133:24 135:16 138:16 148:2,10 149:8 149:16,20,22 158:15 163:2,21 170:1 172:2,15 175:10 177:2 180:12 187:5,6,8,11,21,24 192:3 196:23 197:14 203:24 204:15 205:2 206:14 208:8 213:13 216:22 224:2 226:20 228:5 229:5 230:15,23 231:10 232:10 240:2

looked [23] 25:1 44:1 83:17 108:2 117:3,19 120:18 126:22,25 154:1 171:23 177:10 207:1,3 207:10 208:1 227:22 229:14,21,23 235:18,21 236:1

looking [38] 4:18 10:21 12:25 13:22 41:12,24 46:17 47:15 50:11,18 65:14 77:20 84:25 85:7 117:8,24 129:14,15 134:1 142:23 143:6 147:1 150:10 153:13 154:11 156:16 159:19 171:11 176:4,13 178:4 197:16 200:12 216:21 224:15 226:8 229:2 235:16

looks [1] 204:22

**lose** [7] 15:23 111:2 145:14 165:13 180:24 183:8 184:2

losing [1] 156:22 loss [19] 18:13 22:14 23:2 23:4,21 24:15 25:2 94:16 112:11,14 150:2,15 180:14 181:13,15 183:3 183:15 187:18 188:10

losses [15] 22:9,11,17,20 22:25 23:14,18,24 24:2 24:8,12 25:17,23 26:10 26:14

**lost** [5] 92:12,13 93:6 162:15 187:12

louder [1] 123:9

**low** [17] 15:4 19:13,15,22 20:3 22:3 49:22 57:3 58:6 110:7 116:1 119:23 221:19 222:5,9 226:14 226:17

lower [21] 25:9 30:17 37:12,18 43:21,22 44:10 44:23 61:21 117:20 119:19 138:19,19 172:10 188:10 196:15 221:23 225:7,12 226:4 235:5

luck [1] 185:11 lumped [1] 31:2

lunch [5] 121:23 122:19 123:12 124:14 142:5

# -M-

**Madam** [2] 40:3 240:6 **magnitude** [4] 97:8,22 188:11,11

maintain [1] 182:13 maintained [1] 91:9 maintaining [2] 41:15 187:3

**major** [19] 7:10 17:9 42:22 75:3 83:25 109:19 120:21,23 125:12,13,18 125:25 126:8,11,15,15 127:17 160:5 182:6

majority [2] 227:18,18 makes [2] 18:25 42:22 manageable [1] 150:7 management [1] 74:17

mandated [1] 108:1 mandatory [6] 105:22 106:4 107:19 137:24 138:1 148:21

Manitoba [1] 126:4 March [4] 21:5 199:13 199:14 209:14

marginal [1] 44:5 Maritime [28] 18:1,6,7 72:3 104:15 112:4 144:3 181:14,21 187:18 189:17 189:21 190:1,3,6,14 191:4,12,13 192:18,22 192:25 193:2 194:17,22 195:3 216:23,25

**Maritimes** [1] 142:8 **market** [4] 42:12 106:2 120:25 218:22

marketers [1] 120:22 markets [1] 106:5 Martin [2] 36:6 233:13 material [6] 15:1,4 28:1 28:13 90:8 201:23

**materialize** [2] 97:17 101:4

materialized [1] 100:15 materializes [2] 101:19 102:6

materials [1] 155:24 mathematical [1] 8:6 mathematics [1] 24:18 matter [4] 1:18 4:15 31:8 69:18

**matters** [3] 1:24 31:23 122:23

**maximum** [7] 149:19 151:20 159:6 172:19 179:15 188:11 197:17

maximums [1] 27:1 may [44] 5:5 10:21 22:20 36:7 38:11,14 65:5 101:7 107:17,19 118:16 123:1 127:12 130:4 132:23 133:21 135:14 137:21 147:8,19 185:5 189:8 191:19 198:14 211:6,6 216:16,22,22,23,23,24 216:24 220:22 222:14 223:16 225:17,18 231:2

242:17,20 **McNiven** [15] 40:23 46:24 54:20 59:10,12,16 61:20 96:11,12 103:18 105:13 115:2 123:19 170:24 176:20

232:23 238:7 240:12

mean [27] 12:17 53:8 63:2,7 107:4 108:5 119:8 119:21 120:19 122:2,4,8 178:10 179:24 184:21 203:6 213:19,21 215:22 219:14 220:6 221:6 224:1 225:20 232:10 233:4 242:12

**means** [9] 21:13 108:7,8 112:17,22 142:19 187:12 204:20 244:8

**meant** [7] 47:18 63:8 79:2,4 138:4 139:10

160:25 **measures** [2] 171:3 184:12

**media** [7] 96:16,19,21 97:2,9,18 232:13

medium [1] 101:13 meet [14] 31:24,24 43:15 44:4 100:15 101:1 102:8 109:15 134:23 136:17 190:19 191:16 221:25 234:19

meeting [1] 38:24 megawatt [19] 25:8 26:21 29:12 33:4 92:10 97:25 143:7 145:24 177:3 177:4,13,14 181:15,16 186:2 187:21 200:13 212:14,20

megawatts [17] 18:1 26:24 44:13,16 143:13 145:6 146:9,11,12,23 148:13 149:12,17,18 150:18 165:14 181:23

membership [1] 107:4 memory [2] 157:22 168:18

mention [1] 145:4 mentioned [15] 55:25 67:7 75:14 89:22 91:21 91:23 109:7 140:1 153:23 154:12 157:3 168:6 170:18,19 230:5

messages [1] 39:3 met [4] 17:18 78:12 173:1 201:8

meteorological [4] 159:10,13 168:11 196:24 meterological [1] 168:8 methodology [4] 20:12 83:16 84:21 158:16

metric [2] 151:21 172:22 MHI [30] 6:6 18:24,25 20:4,14 21:2 22:10,10 24:9,19 27:7,14 28:16 29:17 40:21 93:12 104:3 106:16 123:20 125:8,8 128:20 135:16 136:3 153:2 156:24 167:7 168:6 168:12 195:21

**MHI's** [12] 19:7 103:14 103:20,23 104:24 126:22 128:2 134:21 135:14 167:17 195:10 198:9

MHI-Nalcor [1] 117:6 MHI-Nalcor-24 [1] 189:20

MHR [1] 134:20 middle [3] 51:12 52:9 231:13

midpoint [1] 213:7 midyear [10] 72:24 73:12 78:3,10 79:11,17 79:23 80:19 81:5 209:18 might [6] 36:9,12 38:12

81:16 103:8 171:9 **Mike**[1] 6:6 **mill** [6] 87:21 88:6 91:22 92:6 93:17 96:20

millimetre [1] 162:24 millimetres [4] 159:3 163:13 169:9,10

**million** [25] 3:6 6:20 9:11 22:21 24:20 60:5,13,25 60:25 61:3 122:5,6 167:14 174:12,15,24 175:11,19 196:10 197:13 197:15,18 221:17 224:7 226:21

**mills** [4] 90:18,22 91:15 184:25

mindful [1] 121:7 mine [1] 97:1 minimize [2] 8:2.2

**minimize** [3] 8:2 219:6 219:23

minimum [4] 136:21 172:18 183:22 189:10 Minister [1] 11:20

minute [1] 204:3 minutes [4] 145:13,16 185:7 198:17

missing [1] 76:9 mitigate [4] 8:18,22 156:17 219:25

**mitigation** [4] 74:14 75:8 133:7 134:2

**mode** [1] 187:11 **model** [4] 31:14,15 104:12,14

**modelled** [1] 214:5 **modelling** [2] 196:24

**models** [2] 104:7 204:14 **moderate** [3] 188:1,6 231:17

**modestly** [4] 14:21 37:17 37:18,23

moment [6] 1:17 46:22 101:17,22 180:5 192:8 monetize [1] 219:4

monetized [1] 220:11 money [4] 59:22,25

62:23 63:9 **monitor** [1] 234:23 **month** [3] 60:14 61:4

71:6

months [1] 11:20 morning [18] 1:4 2:25 5:1 6:9 38:16 40:6 123:1 129:6,12 132:12 167:12 239:15 240:2 241:23 242:1,24 243:3,8

Moss [2] 244:2,11

**most** [14] 5:17 39:11 59:2 99:14 130:24 150:3 162:22 163:1 164:16,18 169:10 179:2 185:17 230:8

**mostly** [2] 27:20 227:14 **Mountains** [7] 152:11 152:17 153:1 155:13 156:10 168:17 176:9

**move** [18] 16:5 28:5 31:20 42:13 58:20 66:6 67:3 68:21 76:23 134:13 182:19 187:22 198:12,24 202:16 205:21 224:23 238:11

**moved** [2] 200:5 206:10 **movers** [1] 117:5

**moves** [2] 45:17 195:11 **moving** [7] 95:6 187:3 203:1,25 210:2 217:15 221:13

**Ms** [1] 214:7 **multi-terminal** [2] 143:7 200:13

Muskrat [94] 2:6 5:25 6:3,13,16,20 7:7 9:9,12 12:12 17:5,16,18 18:3,5 25:6 26:20,20,23 28:17 28:24.25 29:7.25 30:21 32:10 33:2 34:7,13 43:13 43:15,22 44:1,3,5,11,24 55:4 64:10,15,21 65:2,6 65:9 72:8 94:22 100:8,9 100:21 101:21.24 102:7 102:8 104:15 108:20 126:8 129:19 131:8 132:17 143:6 144:1 146:16 147:2 148:5 150:9 199:3 201:1,10,18 202:18 202:22 203:14.19.25 204:16 205:4,18,21 206:4 206:15,16,22,22,24 207:5 207:10 217:10 220:14 221:3 233:11 234:4 235:10,15 244:4

**must** [8] 64:23 65:9 67:2 69:13 71:17 75:19 76:22 197:20

# -N-

**Nalcor** [89] 1:19 13:6,23 17:25 18:5 19:2 22:11 22:14 25:16 27:10 28:22 29:1 31:16 33:1 36:3 38:19 40:18,21 43:6 44:21 45:15,24 46:15,23 52:16,23 53:24 54:21 55:3,13,20 56:8,19 59:11 59:13,15,22 60:2 61:21 62:8,15,24 65:15 75:15 77:10 81:25 90:21 95:6 97:3 99:7 103:5,19 105:13 106:17,19 118:22 123:16 124:1,7,14 126:14 128:5 130:17 133:11 135:3 152:25 158:6 162:11 167:14 171:1 177:25 178:4 190:4 193:15.22.22 195:11 203:23 205:2 206:7 210:8 211:17 214:3 219:4 223:23 224:6 228:3 232:9 240:12

**Nalcor's** [12] 8:7 13:5 22:15 50:25 52:11 67:5 67:11 68:9 103:18 109:12 115:1 121:10

**Nalcor-9** [1] 205:10

**name** [1] 4:23 **narrow** [3] 8:21 51:20 54:5

**narrower** [1] 51:23 **Nation** [1] 68:25 **National** [1] 3:23

**natural** [2] 1:12 232:22 **naturally** [1] 113:11

**nature** [8] 23:3 53:8 54:2 54:8 93:15 107:10 155:2 210:4

**navigant** [3] 2:7,10 121:24

near[1] 198:2

nearly [2] 6:2,19 NEB [4] 3:24 4:3,9 121:8

**necessarily** [4] 69:9,18 99:25 107:17

**necessary** [2] 207:11 208:8

need [29] 10:6,12 12:20 16:25 17:20 18:17 49:10 63:16 64:2 65:22 66:3 66:16 85:9 99:8 107:19 120:12 127:8 149:8 155:24 176:20 182:13 186:14 191:15 201:20 202:9 207:15 213:22 238:13 241:2

**needed** [2] 146:5 206:9 **needs** [5] 43:15 44:5 77:16 101:1 128:8

**negative** [2] 228:2 230:16

negotiated [1] 214:19 negotiations [1] 71:21 neighbourhood [1] 185:8

**neighbouring** [2] 107:15 110:4

**neighbours** [2] 113:9 113:17

**NERC** [11] 105:10 106:9 106:18 107:18 108:2 109:10 110:1,20 111:5 112:8.12

**NERC's** [4] 105:14,19 105:21 109:15

**net** [5] 30:7,11 31:22 33:18,20

**network** [2] 111:11 113:7

**never** [1] 53:6

**new** [25] 37:16 48:24 68:24 72:14 80:22 94:10 100:9 104:16 133:13 143:8,15 188:22 195:22 199:2 200:14 201:9 202:17 203:2,17 208:21 209:7,7 210:2 225:23 226:2

Newfoundland [25] 18:2 28:20,23 106:11

18:2 28:20,23 106:11 108:5,8 110:16,19 111:18 111:20 112:10,22 119:15 122:4 139:6 141:8 143:14 152:17 168:20 183:8 185:4,14 217:2 223:19 244:6

Newfoundlanders [1]

**Newman** [3] 240:6,7 241:13

next [26] 10:14 11:24 15:9,11,25 16:1 18:23 57:18 68:7,15 69:23 71:6 87:16 100:14,17 103:21 118:16 131:16 136:14 137:1,10 138:10 139:3 139:10 145:23 147:13

NHI [1] 22:14 night [2] 4:19 38:16 nine [2] 9:1 12:18 NL [1] 244:9

**nominal** [4] 4:7,8 29:13 121:17

**non** [1] 185:1

**nor** [2] 12:22 128:20 **norm** [3] 127:6 183:4 185:15

**normal** [11] 83:5 124:11 131:21,24 132:5 151:9 151:10 182:4 185:25 220:2 236:7

**normally** [14] 27:12 79:22 81:25 82:15 83:12 84:12 85:13 125:17 126:14,17,19 131:18 138:17 172:5

**North** [4] 107:11 113:4 113:6 183:4

Northeast [1] 107:2 note [3] 64:24 158:9 224:21

**noted** [3] 55:21 64:12,16 **notes** [1] 36:14

**nothing** [3] 111:23 188:19 233:15

**notice** [1] 100:10 **notices** [1] 91:10

**notion** [1] 217:9 **Nova** [16] 107:12,24,25 108:1,4,8,14 110:17,18 111:19 112:3 215:3,4,12

215:21 216:5 **November** [6] 46:7 50:5 59:6,23 60:4 199:10

**now** [65] 1:18 2:1 11:20 16:7 35:14 36:12 38:3 39:20 41:25 42:7 45:11 59:7 62:10 63:14 69:23 74:19 75:25 77:8,25 80:8 80:13 81:8,15 88:21 95:7 101:10 103:5,13 105:4 105:14,18 106:9 112:16 113:24 116:23 124:1 127:1 128:1 129:2 131:15 134:13 140:19 157:5 167:5,16 170:16 176:4 177:10,13,24 184:7 185:14 187:16 189:11 194:10 198:21,25 223:7 224:15 227:23 231:1

233:21 234:19 239:24 240:1

NOx [1] 221:19 NPCC [1] 107:2 number [30] 8:5 12:18 13:2 28:3 32:11 37:19 38:21,21 50:12 80:21 116:14 133:6 150:8 152:2 153:15,18 155:21 158:7 158:20,24 176:19 178:24 184:13,19 185:3 187:10 210:6 227:22 231:9 240:20

**numbered** [1] 6:12 **numbers** [18] 10:13,15 37:13 38:4 86:19 93:4,5 116:13 121:25 149:11,14 149:16 156:6 158:10,12 176:21 177:21 187:24

**numerous** [1] 98:7

# **-O-**

O'Reilly [35] 1:22 2:14 2:19 3:19 4:12 34:17 35:11 36:22,24 39:8 62:12,19 123:3 144:13 144:18 170:13 215:16 216:8 217:25 236:17,21 237:23 238:6 239:6,10 239:16 240:16 241:6,8 242:1,3,10,19 243:8,10

**objections** [1] 1:5 **obligation** [2] 219:24 234:20

**obligations** [1] 31:24 **observation** [3] 9:18 197:3,7

observations [1] 19:2 obtain [2] 68:1 189:23 obvious [1] 185:8 obviously [4] 17:11 95:1 197:20 199:19

occasions [1] 233:14 occur [2] 98:21 183:20 odds [1] 119:23

**off** [1**5**] 5:2 22:23 69:9,18 77:22 110:15 182:17 187:24 188:24 189:3,8 200:2 218:21 231:16 232:25

offer [2] 126:15 188:8 offers [1] 191:22 oil [7] 19:9 27:6 42:3,20 114:18 120:21 234:7 old [1] 104:13 on-off [1] 76:4 once [8] 28:5 31:11 74:20 84:1 183:20,21 214:1 220:7

**one** [137] 2:21,22 3:3,4 4:15 6:12 8:11 9:17 13:2 14:14 16:12 19:9,18 30:9 40:7,15 42:7,18 43:2 48:11 49:2,6 50:13 51:2 55:5 56:1 57:11 67:24 68:7,16 72:14 76:17

80:22 83:24 84:9 86:24 93:16,17 95:5 96:3,17 96:20,22 103:20 106:19 107:20 111:2 112:8,18 112:19 113:5,10,25 117:4 117:7 118:13 119:9 121:13,14,23 122:11 126:5 129:7 134:21,22 136:19,19 137:11 138:12 138:17,17 139:4 141:17 142:21 145:13,16 148:5 152:11,15,17 153:11 154:7,12 156:24 159:25 160:14,17 161:3 162:14 164:2,6 166:9 180:14,20 180:22 183:8 185:11,17 185:21 194:6 197:21 198:3 200:13 203:15 205:4 206:12 207:23 213:9 215:13 219:18 220:6,12,17,20 221:5 223:20 224:20 226:23 227:9,25 228:5 229:8,22 230:2,21 231:25 232:7 232:11.12 234:11 235:12 237:3,17 238:1,3 239:5 239:14

**ones** [11] 39:19 62:18 76:24 77:10,12,14 117:7 210:7 217:14 228:3 230:5

**ongoing** [9] 59:6 61:14 69:6,8 74:6,17 124:6 180:21 222:18

Ontario [2] 126:5 195:23 onto [1] 30:22

**open** [2] 192:18,19 **operate** [2] 223:23

**operating** [6] 22:19 24:11 91:8 106:4 156:12 159:4

**operation** [2] 154:22 225:21

operational [5] 109:13 152:25 154:4,8 159:15

operations [1] 182:3 opportune [1] 164:17 opportunities [2] 98:8 107:9

**opportunity** [5] 18:19 40:19 100:6 177:16 232:8

**opposed** [10] 2:22 19:4 20:6,22 108:8 131:1 165:6 218:3 225:23 226:2

optimistic [1] 13:18 optimization [2] 25:20 206:24

optimize [1] 206:21 option [46] 6:5 9:13 13:1 13:9 16:17 22:22 27:23 104:1 114:3 119:11 126:9 129:16,17 130:1,4,7,12 130:17,20,25 131:6,8,11 171:12,13 173:13,22,24 174:2,23 176:11,11 177:2 177:11,18,18 187:23 217:12 221:4,18,20 226:21 227:8,16 229:5

235:24 options [8] 5:9 6:19 23:25 27:18 100:4 129:16 129:18 229:20 order [10] 3:5 8:2.21 65:23 66:2 97:8,21 114:3 173:22 219:5 orderly [1] 181:19 **ordinarily** [1] 238:11 **organization** [1] 127:23 **ought** [1] 8:11 ourselves [3] 108:13 110:12 127:9 outage [18] 18:3 104:10 112:18 124:19 142:5 151:3 153:6,16 154:9 155:20 159:9 171:1.2 172:18,19 173:2 177:12 177:17 **outages** [1] 153:1 outcome [6] 7:24 9:2 41:12 71:21 228:22 229:8 outlandish [1] 9:2 outline[1] 191:2 **outlined** [3] 77:10 197:5 240:17 output [6] 28:10 29:6 30:2,21 31:10 32:2 **outset** [1] 44:4 **outside** [3] 166:8 197:23 218:9 outstanding [3] 38:20 39:13 61:24 overall [13] 24:14 50:3 102:21 104:1 127:12 165:5 168:2 185:18 196:4 196:5,13 199:25 203:1 **overhead** [1] 136:1 overload [2] 145:9 180:18 **overloads** [1] 180:19 **overnight** [3] 15:23 16:3 239:1 overrun [1] 229:20 **oversight** [1] 237:2 overview [1] 109:11 own [4] 36:5,7 82:11 111:7 owned [1] 90:22 owner [1] 28:25 Oxen [5] 110:24 111:6

### -P-

**OXFORD** [1] 241:15

111:16,24 142:6

**p.m** [13] 100:11 111:12 122:18,20 135:8 151:1 174:13 186:5 198:18,19 208:5 223:9 243:14 **package** [8] 62:4 63:14 64:5,12,18,25 65:7 73:10 packages [5] 64:16,19 65:3 66:10,24

page [30] 2:6 6:6 20:19

21:7 22:9 27:8 28:15 41:19 52:2,4,13 100:17 102:3 115:1,23 117:7 123:20 134:21 136:3,14 136:14.15 139:8 168:13 178:12 180:1 187:6 205:14 206:13 232:12 pages [3] 2:6 176:21

205:15

**paid** [1] 33:1 pan [1] 15:7 **panel** [15] 5:10 38:10 70:7 71:4 81:17 123:9

134:6 238:10,19 241:22 241:25 242:15,18,23 243:8

paper [4] 87:21 88:6 93:22 184:25

paragraph [4] 136:22 137:1 139:3,10

paragraphs [1] 21:2 parameters [3] 12:4 54:12 211:3

paraphrase [1] 51:7 part [20] 27:12 50:3 61:14 76:1 88:13 105:14 113:7 124:11 150:3 151:5 153:19 155:12 164:1,2 166:15 168:19 179:2 192:12 197:6 199:25

participate [1] 65:6 participating [1] 106:1 **particular** [9] 6:7 26:4 55:1 56:23 116:11 139:17 158:14 202:2 214:21

particularly [7] 23:10 39:23 56:24 100:2 107:7 151:5 158:22

**particulate** [3] 222:8,12 224:19

particulates [1] 222:18 parties [3] 67:6,11

241:18 party [1] 68:17 pass [1] 122:9

passed [2] 45:24 236:15 past [11] 90:17 91:3 98:9

98:19 116:13 152:2 158:7 182:25 185:19 187:10 199.10

path [4] 69:1 194:5 233:8 233:17

**Paul** [20] 14:14,16 15:11 18:10 23:2 37:10 46:18 51:16 67:14 68:5 73:4 75:22 77:20 78:14,24 81:23 82:3 94:6,20 138:24

pay [10] 141:22 196:20 198:4 213:18,23 214:3 218:25 219:20 220:2 232:14

**payer** [3] 122:3,8 141:11 payers [2] 141:17,20 paying [1] 218:24 peak [11] 14:17 26:18

43:11 149:9,12 172:5,6 172:11 178:23 179:13,14 **peaking** [2] 95:10 187:25 **peaks** [1] 179:9 **PEI** [1] 106:10

pending [2] 62:11,17 **Peninsula** [13] 158:8,13 158:23 159:2 160:2 161:7 161:9 162:1 166:2.7 167:3 182:10.11

**people** [18] 5:4,5 6:23 17:12 29:14 38:14 39:2 54:3 87:13,15 111:14 114:23 139:11 157:14 200:4 204:10 208:19 242:12

**people's** [1] 157:22 per [10] 25:8 33:4,7 49:25 58:4 60:13 61:4 122:3 169:25 212:20

percent [84] 6:14,17 7:6 7:11,24 9:5,6,10 12:14 21:9,21 22:2,2,12,15,20 24:13,14,19 25:2 26:14 26:17,22 29:9,10,13 30:7 30:23 48:8,23 51:2,11 54:4 57:4 85:6,17,18 86:15 87:8.18 114:2.16 115:22,22 116:1,7,18,21 117:10,11,15,20 118:1,4 129:9,22 131:9,13 132:7 132:10 145:14,16 149:20 149:25 150:11,12,24 180:19 187:16,17 196:11 197:14 212:15,24 213:2 213:7 218:21 221:24 226:8,11 227:2 229:15 229:18,20

percent/25 [2] 21:8,8 **percentage** [8] 22:15 48:12 85:5,13 86:24 87:17,20 196:13

**perform** [1] 126:1 performance [4] 23:21 158:22 184:12 185:18

perhaps [10] 9:2 38:1 50:10 56:15 81:16 109:10 114:25 139:16 144:8 240:2

**period** [78] 3:3 15:12 27:18 31:25 60:4 83:9 83:12,12,19,21 84:10 85:7,18 89:2 114:8,9,19 114:25 118:24 134:19.22 136:18,20 139:13 140:22 142:3,24 144:17 146:17 146:19,22 147:1,15 148:1 148:7 157:18,21 158:2,4 159:5,7,11 160:17 163:10 163:20 167:7,11,17 172:2 172:20 177:11,15 178:23 178:23,24 179:4,6,13,17 179:18 181:24 195:4,13 196:9,16 197:24 204:8 205:17 206:1 212:13.16 229:11 230:10 231:13 232:4 237:19 238:3 239:4

**periods** [15] 24:11 115:9 115:11 137:5 139:7,14

147:15 149:21 151:8 158:18 172:7,9 173:8 177:22 179:11 permitting [1] 69:8

person [1] 65:10 perspective [43] 8:14 8:17 12:17 18:15 41:5 41:14 42:10 52:11 63:10 77:16,22 80:5 109:11,17 109:25 127:2 132:17 139:20 141:3 146:4,9 149:7,17 150:8 151:6,9 158:19 172:3 180:10.24 183:21 184:21 188:7 191:10,20 192:2 197:13 197:15 204:3 207:21

208:23 222:25 225:20

pertaining [1] 14:1 **pertinent** [1] 5:21 **petroleum** [1] 120:23 PH0036[1] 64:18 **phase** [3] 48:20,21 132:1 philosophies [1] 109:17 **phrase** [2] 105:15 230:17 **physical** [1] 26:8 **pile** [1] 39:21 **pilot** [4] 75:17,20 76:8

76:12 **PIRA** [12] 3:22 19:7,9 21:6 82:10 114:12 120:1 120:8,11,19,22 231:21

**PIRA's** [3] 21:15 119:21 231:13

place [15] 71:17 107:20 118:25 121:20 135:21 152:25 164:17 179:16 181:18 183:10,17 189:11 205:24 211:16 215:19

**plan** [37] 18:8 41:11 42:1 42:3,5 66:20 72:16 93:20 94:16 96:4 97:19 99:7 102:16,20 103:2 128:1 128:12,21 152:25 153:3 153:4 154:4 183:7 186:16 186:20.20 188:18 192:12 222:16 224:1 234:16,20 235:13 236:9,12,13 237:15

**planned** [4] 35:22 151:16 151:17 235:19

**planning** [9] 19:11 53:22 66:1 98:15 99:10 109:12 112:7 116:16 218:5

**plans** [2] 5:19 181:18 plant [9] 30:8 32:2 40:10 42:20 146:8 221:16,20 222:1,11

**play** [1] 149:4 **played** [1] 231:7 **plays** [1] 10:22 **plug** [1] 8:4 **plus** [2] 30:17 86:7 **pocket** [1] 112:1 point [49] 1:13 3:9 13:24 14:19 17:3 19:24 33:9 36:22 41:16 42:4 43:12

44:6 45:24,25 52:17 54:11,13 57:18 58:25 65:24 66:8,9 70:1 75:4 78:1 79:9 80:2 85:9 108:17 116:24 129:14 131:17 135:23 137:22 147:13 155:17 160:19,21 162:7 164:2 165:24 191:20 198:15 216:14 223:4 224:2 233:24 235:9 239:7

**pointed** [7] 53:10,17 54:3,6 165:3 168:21 233:14

**points** [3] 146:25 165:11 186.12

**pole** [7] 145:7,9,10,13,15 145:16 180:15

**poles** [3] 145:6 156:22 184:3

**policy** [7] 43:18,23 223:19,21 224:3,8 226:15

**pollution** [10] 130:13 222:20 223:4,25 224:18 224:22 225:11,22 226:10 226.18

**Pond** [9] 34:14 110:24 111:6,16,24 142:6 143:8 144:2 161:16

**popular** [1] 243:7 **portion** [5] 107:6 143:14 143:15 147:11 185:3

**portions** [11 181:5] **Portland** [3] 235:19,21 235:24

posed [2] 34:11,16 **position** [10] 12:2 60:18 65:15 67:2,8 77:17 78:10 108:24 188:21 192:9

**positive** [3] 228:1,3 230:16

possibilities [1] 14:1 possibility [4] 6:24 11:18 24:8 84:19

**possible** [10] 19:3 26:22 132:3,15,22 170:3 171:20 172:15 187:15 188:12

possibly [3] 47:20 156:18 181:5

**post** [2] 42:12 94:22 **posted** [1] 61:22 **postpone** [1] 241:5 **potential** [15] 65:19

96:21,23,25 97:13,22 98:3,11,22 99:8 103:9 144:3 209:3 230:11 234:2

**potentially** [1] 182:10 power [62] 16:19,21 23:6 25:11 27:19 32:11,24,25 33:2 34:14 37:16 40:9 40:10,11,14,18 41:1 42:12,21 43:3,4,7,10,18 43:19,20,21,22,23 44:11 44:23 55:4 58:8 64:18 64:21 99:9 100:24 107:2 108:2 109:23 111:17,24

111:25 138:21 139:19

142:6,7,10,14 160:5 162:7 185:4 198:4 210:3 211:2,15 214:2 215:13 216:18 218:18 219:2 233.9 **PPA** [22] 28:17,19 29:5 29:16,19,20 30:10,16 31:20 210:21 211:2 212:7 213:5 214:9,18,18,19 216:4 217:5 220:8,9,12 **practice** [6] 50:1 57:1 58:12 105:15,17 126:16 **practices** [4] 53:14 58:5 125:17 126:14 pre [1] 74:8 precipitators [4] 41:9 42:2 221:19 224:16 **predicting** [1] 15:20 predictive [1] 10:7 prefer [1] 176:14 preferable [1] 221:4 **preference** [27] 3:11 5:22,24 6:3,18,20,24 7:2 7:11 9:11 10:1,24 13:8 20:6.16.23 37:24 114:4 118:9 175:24 227:13 228:4,6 229:18 230:19 230:25 234:5 preferential [1] 142:16 **preferred** [2] 72:9 217:12 **preliminary** [8] 1:5,6 1:18 3:6 4:15 122:22 204:15 208:23

**premise** [2] 28:18 187:2 premium [1] 41:14 preparation [2] 39:14 218:6

**prepare** [3] 3:2 61:15 81:25

prepared [4] 47:6 53:1 66:3,6

**preparing** [1] 65:19 prerequisite [2] 71:25 72:8

**present** [6] 5:5 6:15 13:3 174:11 175:4 204:9

presentation [3] 53:15

103:19 199:1 presented [3] 13:17 83:2

194:1 **presently** [1] 153:20

**preserve** [2] 184:5 242:23

presumably [1] 49:10 **pretty** [5] 75:24 76:5 114:4 119:23 148:13

**prevailing** [1] 119:9

**previous** [17] 37:4 68:12 73:25 104:11 125:21 200:9 201:8 202:15 203:6 203:12 205:14 207:4,13 207:16 208:14,17 220:5

previously [4] 37:1,11 199:9 207:7

**price** [35] 9:25 18:24 19:1 19:1,3,4 20:2,3 21:4,4 25:8,10 29:11 32:25 33:1 42:8 82:9 113:24 114:1 114:7,14,18 115:13 117:3 118:8,18,21 119:4,6 120:2,4 212:12 218:19 230:6 231:4

prices [24] 3:22,23,25,25 4:4 5:19 20:22 21:6,9,10 29:5 114:10.24 115:16 117:12,16,20 118:15,24 231:11,17,22 232:23 234:7

**pricing** [9] 19:13,15 30:16 32:23 82:12 122:1 122:7 218:23 231:2

**primary** [1] 42:18 **Prime** [1] 11:20 **principal** [1] 67:4 **principle** [5] 34:8,10 138:20 139:16 149:1 **priority** [1] 183:7 probabilistic [16] 18:15 103:14,25 104:6,8,9,12 123:13,17,24 124:16

172:3 probabilities [2] 18:14 21:19

125:6 126:2,7 127:16

probability [10] 21:21 112:19 119:17 156:17,21 172:4,14 187:15,17 188:10

**problem** [10] 95:10,11 101:13 110:11 179:7 184:3,4 185:8 188:16 194:11

**problems** [2] 183:24,24 **procedures** [1] 183:17 **proceed** [9] 18:6 19:23 45:25 70:2 100:19 132:17 200:23 234:15 241:10

proceeding [8] 38:18 78:3 80:20 Ī33:24 199:3 202:23 215:7 217:13

proceedings [4] 1:13 6:23 17:24 39:24

process [40] 10:15 34:23 36:5.6 45:12.16 53:5.8 53:20 54:2,22 63:3 65:21 66:1 70:23 71:5,5 74:5,6 79:22 82:3,4,24 90:23 98:15 104:14 105:6,7 110:8 123:25 124:12,12 127:5 128:7 132:14 158:24 200:4 209:24 217:8 236:22

**processes** [4] 25:24 35:24 69:5 79:22

procurement [1] 68:8 **produce** [1] 8:6 produces [1] 119:3 **production** [2] 100:20 207:5

professional [1] 119:22 program [1] 159:22

progress [4] 26:2 71:21 113:1 192:19

progression [1] 53:11 **project** [88] 2:6 11:9,10 12:4 25:7,21 29:1 30:14 45:25 47:9,18,22,24 48:10,24 49:2,3,6,11 50:5 53:11,22,22 56:1 57:6,6,13,20 58:9,22,22 61:9,10 65:16,19 67:17 67:25 74:1,3,18 77:22 78:4,15,18,19 79:2 80:4 80:20,23 81:1,10 108:20 108:21,22 127:20 129:7 129:8,19,21 131:7,15,18 131:22 132:5,19 153:8 153:12 157:8 159:24 169:8 196:11 201:24 203:1 206:9 209:4,6,7,7 215:4 216:15,20 217:8 217:18,23 218:5,5,6 230:25

**projected** [2] 28:25 83:21

projection [1] 37:2 projections [7] 14:8 119:4,6 120:14 121:7,11 121:16

**projects** [7] 100:19 125:13,19 126:8,11,16 127.18

**proper** [1] 19:23 properly [2] 87:2 225:19 proportionately [1] 23:15

**proposal** [1] 64:7 **proposals** [2] 63:21 66:5 **proposed** [4] 134:1 188:22 200:11 203:2

**proposing** [1] 194:12 **prospects** [1] 235:14 protect [1] 183:11 **protection** [1] 181:18 protections [2] 182:2 184:5

**provide** [20] 14:18 16:11 16:15 18:1 19:8 55:21 58:14 62:8.15 63:3.4.22 68:19 81:7 132:2 168:1 170:12 174:21 178:4 201:7

provided [14] 21:6 37:13 37:16.20 38:7 74:3 82:10 153:25 158:11 190:4,16 200:15 219:2 224:3

**provider** [2] 120:1 121:2 **provides** [2] 164:18 205:15

**providing** [2] 38:14 44:22

**province** [17] 40:16 71:23 83:24,25 84:4 91:10 93:22 161:22 162:24 166:10,18 183:17 185:19 197:23 223:19 226:15 232:14

**province's** [2] 194:7

222:16

provinces [4] 106:8,9 191:4 196:16

provincial [4] 70:9 83:17 132:23 223:8

**provision** [1] 169:12 **prudent** [2] 193:16 194:6 **PTI** [3] 104:19 124:15,17 **PUB** [15] 25:16 32:22,22 46:15,22 54:21 55:12,20

120:16 205:9 **PUB-Nalcor** [3] 96:11 101:16 179:22

56:19 59:11,13,15 60:2

PUB-Nalcor-168 [1] 176:12

PUB-Nalcor-174 [3] 177:24 186:14 192:11

PUB-Nalcor-175 [1] 170:24

PUB-Nalcor-51 [1] 92:8 **public** [6] 82:24 118:13

214:22 215:21 236:24

**publicly** [1] 121:8 **pull** [3] 2:9 181:22 209:24 **pulled** [1] 69:13 **pulp** [3] 87:21 88:6 93:21

purchase [6] 32:25 33:1 210:3 211:2 216:18 218:18

**purchases** [1] 28:24 purpose [7] 5:7,12 29:14 74:18 92:2 214:16 228:19

purposes [4] 200:16 212:24 214:13 217:2 **pursuant** [1] 38:18

pursue [1] 38:13 **purview** [2] 77:4 218:9 **pushing** [1] 51:10

put [17] 2:3 9:14 17:7 23:7 39:3 95:7 157:17 157:25 161:3 174:21 181:6 193:1 211:16 223:3 226:9 230:3 232:16

**puts** [2] 137:23 233:16 **putting** [8] 65:15 76:2 95:9 120:13 204:16 215:24 224:6 226:2

**-O-**

**O.C** [418] 1:16,22 2:14 2:19 3:19 4:12,14 16:10 34:17 35:11 36:24 39:8 40:5 41:18 42:17 43:1 43:17 44:8,19 45:5,10 46:5,10,20 47:1,8,14 48:6 49:1,9,15 50:9 51:25 52:7 54:14,19 55:14,24 56:7,13,20 57:10,17,25 58:15,19 59:4,14,18 60:7,16,23 61:5,13,19 62:7,12,14 62:19,21 63:6,15,24

65:13 66:19,25 67:16,22 68:6,14 69:12,22 70:10 70:14,21 71:7,14 72:1 72:11,19,25 73:5,11,15 73:24 74:10,25 75:7,13 76:10,16,21 77:7,24 78:7 78:16 79:1,8,18,24 80:12 80:18 81:4,14,24 82:13 82:18 83:1,10 84:8,24 85:11,22 86:4,12,21 87:7 87:12 88:2,12,18,22 89:1 89:8,14,21 90:5,12,16 91:12,20 92:1,23 93:3 93:11 94:1,7,13 95:4,22 96:2,7,14 97:7,15 98:2 98:18 99:6,20 100:12 101:14 102:4,14,22 103:4 103:12 104:18,23 105:2 105:9 106:6,14 107:22 108:10,16 109:1,6 110:13 111:13 112:5,21 113:13 113:19,23 114:17,22 115:3,5,18 116:3,10,19 117:2,18,23 118:6,12 119:16.25 120:7 121:1.9 121:18 122:14,24 123:3 123:5 124:13,22 125:2 125:11,16,24 126:13,21 127:13,25 128:11,17 129:1,13,25 130:11,23 131:4,14 132:4,11 133:1 133:9,18 134:8,12 135:11 136:6,13,25 137:9,16 138:3,9 139:2,25 140:7 140:12,20 141:2,9,15 142:1,13,20 143:16,22 144:7,13,15,18,20,25 145:11,20 146:13,24 147:12,25 148:17 150:17 151:14 152:4,9,22 153:21 154:20 156:23 157:12 159:18 161:23 162:4 163:7,16,23 164:10,20 164:25 165:8,19 166:5 166:23 167:4,22 168:4 168:24 169:14 170:2,10 170:13,15 172:17 173:5 173:18 174:5,14,20 175:2 175:16,23 176:3,17 177:9 177:23 178:14 179:21 182:7 184:10,16 185:20 186:6,11 188:20 189:2,6 189:19 190:11,15,23 191:6 192:6,21 193:13 193:21 194:8,20 195:2,9 195:18 196:7 197:19 198:23 199:8,17 200:7 200:20 201:4,15 202:7 202:13,21 203:10,22 204:23 205:11 206:5.19 207:8 208:6 209:1,12 210:1,13,19 211:5,12,20 212:2,6,11,23 213:3,11 213:17,25 214:12 215:1 215:11,16 216:1,8,10 217:21,25 218:7,16 219:7 220:1,19 221:12 222:7 222:22 223:13 224:5,14 224:25 226:5,19 227:5 227:12,21 228:12,16 229:1 231:24 233:18 234:10 235:17 236:10.17

236:19,21 237:1,16,23

237:25 238:6 239:2,6,8 239:10,16,20 240:11,16 241:8 242:3,10,16,19 243:10

**qualification** [1] 148:25 **Quebec** [8] 112:3 126:5 140:2,2,24 141:21 195:23 203:15

questioning [1] 1:12 questions [39] 38:12,15 38:20,21,22 39:11 40:8 45:20 54:24 84:9 87:15 96:17 123:11 124:24 129:5 159:25 210:4,6 218:14 221:14 230:2 237:17 238:10,12,17,18 240:6,13,20,21 241:6,10 241:12,17 242:15,18,20 243:1,3

**quick** [1] 121:24 **quickly** [1] 200:6 **quite** [4] 80:10 169:22 185:9 240:3

**quote** [3] 51:19 56:25 137:2

quoted [2] 51:18 135:20

### -R-

raised [4] 40:16 142:4 148:25 153:2

148:25 153:2 **ramps** [1] 218:22

**range** [62] 8:8,9,22 24:14
48:22 49:16,21,21,22,25
50:1,2,10,14,14,16,17
50:24,25 51:5,11,13,18
51:20,23 52:10,12,15,17
52:23,24 53:2,25 54:4
55:2,5,9 56:10,17 57:13
58:1,3,6,16 85:17 92:22
129:21 131:9,21 132:6,9
152:11,16 153:1 155:12
156:10 168:17 176:9
187:20 213:8 228:2
230:20

ranges [2] 57:2 74:24 rate [26] 25:2,6 27:12 29:9 30:6,13 31:3,8,12 33:11,20,22 43:21 104:10 114:16 116:18 117:1 122:3,8 124:19 141:11 141:16,19 212:14 231:12 231:14

ratepayer [3] 195:17 219:6,24

ratepayers [2] 178:10 194:7

194:7 **ratepayers'** [1] 198:3 **rates** [2] 44:23 233:25

rather [6] 21:4 100:23 119:18 162:14 165:20,21 rating (2) 11:8 14 127:6

rating [3] 11:8,14 137:6

**ratings** [1] 21:11 **rationale** [1] 191:3

rationales [1] 161:3 reach [2] 149:18 191:11

reached [1] 27:2

react [1] 155:25

**read** [5] 55:1 101:17,21 136:21 138:15

**readiness** [1] 69:16 **reading** [10] 50:21 51:6 136:15 141:24 177:15 180:2 182:16,20 191:7

**ready** [13] 35:6 62:1,10 62:11,17,24,24 63:9,18 64:1 66:2 106:18 154:7

**real** [6] 30:17 98:15 114:14 116:25 211:14 231:4

realistic [2] 155:23 172:22

reality [4] 95:17 216:16 220:22 221:1

realize [1] 26:19

really [10] 31:21 50:11 56:10 62:5 96:6 127:8 142:3 194:23 196:21 202:9

reaming [1] 76:3 reason [7] 11:7 16:25 22:12 105:3 110:9 202:3 224:6

**reasonable** [8] 3:8 9:19 13:14,15,15,19 84:22

reasons [4] 42:18 119:9 142:21 160:18

recalled [1] 43:21

receive [3] 29:1 70:6 89:24

received [1] 216:25 recent [3] 38:22 90:6 121:3

recently [1] 37:14 RECESS [1] 36:19

**recognize** [1] 169:11 **recognized** [1] 134:24

recollection [1] 168:15 recommend [1] 119:10

recommend [1] 119:10 recommendation [14] 74:9 78:11 103:24 104:24 105:11 106:16,21 126:22 128:2,20,22 167:18

195:10 198:9 **recommendations** [4] 103:15,20 125:5 134:5

**recommended** [6] 50:1 57:1 58:5,11 104:3 125:8

reconcile [1] 221:8 reconvene [2] 122:15

241:22 **reconvening** [1] 241:25

**record** [3] 25:16 39:3 135:17 **recover** [3] 181:6 212:8

212:12 recovered [1] 220:16

rectifying [1] 188:16 redoing [1] 236:2 **reduce** [4] 11:2 111:19 159:9 184:19

**reduced** [3] 25:7 156:22 184:17

**reduction** [3] 94:21,22 95:1

redundancy [1] 109:21 redundant [1] 109:24 reenforcement [2]

**refer** [5] 134:20 158:10 171:3 178:15 205:9

156:4.14

reference [41] 5:24 14:12,20 15:6,8 16:18 16:21 19:1,4,22 20:1,4,6 20:22 21:4,10,24 32:7 38:3 40:24 42:8 57:7 85:9 115:15 116:11 117:16 119:7 135:23 167:7 168:12 190:5,24 211:1 212:19 215:9,10 215:18,20 217:3 220:14 236:23

**referenced** [4] 4:4 10:20 136:2 144:10

**references** [1] 135:18 **referred** [6] 93:13 136:7 152:10 192:9 194:10 213:18

**referring** [3] 67:14 144:9 220:21

**refile** [1] 35:8 **refine** [2] 61:8 221:9

**refined** [1] 218:3 **refineries** [1] 120:23

**reflect** [**s**] 104:14 169:17 205:2 208:14 211:7

**reflected** [6] 189:9 209:6 210:23 211:15 221:10 235:6

reflects [1] 33:18 refresh [1] 157:22

**regard** [2] 48:20 206:18 **regarding** [4] 27:6 35:14

regarding [4] 27:6 3: 69:7 237:12

**regardless** [3] 172:13 213:21 214:1

**region** [3] 61:3 169:3,18 **regions** [10] 155:8,12 163:4 168:6,10,23 169:6 169:6 170:7 197:3

regular [2] 234:22 235:7 regularly [1] 1:8 regulated [2] 214:22

236:25 regulation [1] 215:4 regulations [3] 222:6 223:6.15

regulator [4] 108:14 128:10 215:15 216:4

regulatory [3] 110:9 223:2 237:2

rejected [1] 233:19 relate [4] 56:10,12 65:8 157:20 **related** [6] 43:2 56:16 65:1,5 67:11 127:20

**relates** [1] 35:19 **relating** [3] 190:7,25 218:23

**relation** [5] 13:7 14:11 16:17 18:24 149:9

**relative** [1] 196:2

**release** [5] 69:23 70:2 132:24,25 133:17

relevance [1] 52:21 relevant [1] 115:10

reliability [52] 35:20 103:14,15,25 107:5,14 109:8 110:4 123:14,17 123:24 124:16 125:7 126:2,7 127:16 136:17 137:4 139:13,21 161:19 164:15,18 165:5 166:1 168:2 169:2 170:6 174:22 175:14 185:18 186:18,22 187:3 189:24,25 190:8 190:20 191:9,11,16 192:4 192:23 193:1,4 196:3,4 196:15,21 197:10 198:1 216:24

relies [1] 28:19

rely [1] 238:14 remain [3] 39:13 217:18 233:13

**remaining** [6] 43:6 44:9 44:22 101:10 167:21 182:14

remains [1] 223:22 remember [3] 4:23 191:17 219:8

**remove** [1] 183:11 **repair** [5] 151:16,20 162:18 171:13 177:12

repaired [1] 179:12 repeat [4] 62:13 125:22 130:10 211:10

rephrasing [1] 200:17 replace [1] 146:7

**replacement** [1] 130:14 **reply** [1] 25:15

replying [1] 51:9

**report** [26] 2:7,10 6:7 18:24 20:14 22:10 27:7 28:16 39:17 70:8 93:12 106:17 123:20 124:15 125:8 134:20 135:16,20 136:3 156:24 167:8 168:7 235:7 236:3,4,8

**reports** [2] 97:9 205:19 **represent** [3] 87:25 175:7 208:21

representative [1]

**represents** [2] 7:6 112:17

reputable [2] 119:2 120:12 reputation [1] 120:19

reputation [1] 120:19 request [7] 36:7 63:21 64:7 66:4 99:5 121:24 193:9

**require** [8] 30:22 76:12 79:4 83:18 100:21 108:18 173:24 223:3

required [24] 18:20 61:15 64:19 77:11 80:25 93:8 99:18 101:24 107:2 109:14,15 111:9 133:11 153:15 154:5 173:14 189:23 191:15,16,21 192:22 194:16 219:2 236:24

**requirement** [21] 14:20 28:7,11 30:2,5 33:18 37:15,16 42:19 88:10 93:14 99:15 111:5 137:25 149:13,19 175:15 219:5 223:2 234:24 235:11

requirements [24] 14:17 14:18,22 37:22 69:4 83:19 88:16 90:19 91:6 91:21 92:5 97:3 102:9 107:3 109:13 110:1 136:17 138:2 149:10 168:3 190:17,20 221:25 225:14

**requires** [2] 219:16 242:14

**reserve** [5] 113:8,17 147:10,17 150:2

reserves [1] 113:11 reservoir [2] 65:7 66:13 residential [3] 85:25 86:5,14

residents [2] 140:23 222:17

resist [1] 237:7 resistive [1] 23:3

**resolved** [1] 220:12 **resort** [1] 179:5

**resource** [1] 99:16 **resources** [3] 39:15,22 182:15

respect [39] 19:3 37:12 37:14,19 45:21 52:14 56:9 59:19 92:14 93:21 95:14 97:1 103:8 108:19 109:9 123:18 124:5 125:18,21 127:15 129:3 129:5 130:3 134:16 154:4 156:10,25 158:7 159:12 195:12 201:7,9 206:23 211:2 215:3 221:15 222:1 222:8 237:18

**respected** [1] 120:24 **respond** [5] 2:1 40:19 55:20 109:20 215:25

responding [2] 40:10 103:20

**response** [15] 22:14 34:19 37:1,4 56:23 59:19 60:2 70:7 78:22 106:16 106:22 164:3 201:19 224:8 237:21

**responses** [1] 130:17 **rest** [2] 111:10 182:18

**restoration** [3] 151:10 181:20,24 restore [1] 151:25 restoring [1] 156:8 result [9] 8:6 20:5 22:21 37:22 140:22 152:1 190:1 203:23 209:4 **resultant** [1] 21:9 resulted [1] 29:11 results [5] 5:17 13:16 119:8 204:10 206:9 **RESUME** [11 198:19 **retainer** [1] 19:10 return [42] 3:3 25:6 29:2 29:9 30:6,13,13 31:25 33:11,20,22 134:19,22 136:18,20 137:4 139:7 139:13,14 140:21 142:3 144:16 157:18,21 158:2 158:4,18 159:11 163:10 163:20 167:6,10,16 195:4 195:12 196:9,16 197:24 237:18 238:1,3 239:3 **Rev1** [1] 117:6 revenue [7] 28:6,11 30:1 30:4 33:18 213:24 216:25 reverse [1] 205:4 revert [3] 116:6 179:1 184:4 review [19] 19:7,7 35:16 36:4 70:8 73:21 78:10 121:5 126:17.19 130:6 205:19,25 206:14 215:8 237:20 238:25 240:18 244:4 reviewed [2] 35:23 120:3 **reviewing** [2] 54:8 127:21 reviews [1] 36:7 revised [4] 35:1,4 217:23 218:4 **Revision** [2] 46:25 47:2 **RFI** [10] 22:14 32:21 35:5 35:8 40:23 54:22 56:23 59:20 117:5 161:1 **RFI's** [1] 130:17 **RFIs** (1) 191:8 **RFP** [3] 66:7,8 154:18 **RFPs** [1] 63:21 rich [1] 232:13 rid [1] 119:12 **right** [41] 8:4,4 13:1 14:4 16:6 54:15 70:13 71:13 75:25 77:25 80:13 89:2 93:5 95:7 102:15 105:17 112:16 116:23 117:19 124:1 137:17 162:3 163:25 166:17 173:4 187:16 188:15 189:14 194:14 196:14,21 214:25 216:7 220:5 224:10,13 227:4 228:13 229:19 232:6 243:13 **right-of-way** [1] 65:1

rises [1] 122:5 risk [18] 7:22 50:6 53:19 73:25 74:1,2,5,7,11,17 74:19 75:1 118:25 152:12 154:12 156:20 171:6 228:23 **riskiest** [1] 152:18 **risks** [9] 53:21 74:12,15 74:21 75:3,10 152:13 154:8 237:12 risky [1] 230:8 river [2] 205:22,24 road [5] 64:9,11,13 233:4 233.6 robust [4] 76:6 162:22 163:1 230:22 Rock [1] 205:23 **role** [5] 10:21 16:18 17:8 38:18 216:4 **room** [1] 123:7 roost [1] 119:13 root (1) 157:2 rotate [1] 179:10 rotation [1] 179:16 rotations [3] 179:1,19 181:11 roughly [9] 85:16 86:25 87:8,18 88:4,8,9 89:7 229:21 round [3] 149:11,13,16 **rule** (11 63:8 run [7] 9:4 20:3 95:11 102:7 115:25 117:17 121:25 running [2] 162:13 172:25 -S**safe**[1] 156:13 **sake** [3] 128:18 181:14 235:3 **sales** [3] 33:10 200:11

203:15 **sanction** [5] 25:21 65:19 65:23 78:4 80:20 **sanctioned** [1] 235:5 **sanity** [1] 243:2 satisfaction [1] 68:20 satisfactory [1] 35:12 **satisfy** [1] 107:2 **saturated** [1] 84:2 **saturation** [1] 83:24 save [1] 243:2 saw [3] 117:4 134:3 229:16 **says** [3] 21:3 22:10 126:11 **scenario** [23] 6:17,21,25 7:18 9:1,3,14,15 12:8,9 19:11 22:16 41:15 83:4 99:13 100:3 143:5,13 201:2 220:8 221:6 230:19

scenarios [4] 17:12 21:16 109:21 203:15 **schedule** [7] 39:1 74:21 78:19 205:3 209:8,16 235:9 scheme [5] 144:1 180:8 183:2,10 184:24 **scope** [1] 63:23 **Scotia** [16] 107:12,25,25 108:1,4,9,14 110:17,19 111:20 112:4 215:3,5,12 215:21 216:5 screen [2] 115:7 144:11 scroll [7] 64:16 92:9 100:14 115:19 171:2 173:6 179:25 scrubbers [5] 41:9 42:2 116:2 221:18 224:16 **seamless** [2] 181:2,17 season [1] 43:12 **second** [6] 13:25 76:1 138:23 146:2 187:22 203:19 **seconded** [1] 204:7 **section** [8] 22:10 27:7

168:19 230:24 **sections** [3] 135:19 137:25 169:8 see [33] 5:21 6:17,24 17:3 20:21 41:20 47:3 48:11 53:21 58:21 80:3 85:9 87:21 101:25 115:8 122:4 129:8 135:14 153:3 155:11 177:12 178:5 194:3 205:19 217:22 221:5 222:6 229:6.23 232:18,20,21 235:22 seeing [3] 158:13 220:25

28:16 29:17 124:6 168:16

231:14 **seek** (1) 65:5 **segregate** [1] 181:5 **select** [1] 120:8 selected [2] 120:11 205:21 selecting [1] 72:8 **selection** [1] 45:25

**self** [2] 106:17,25 selling [2] 44:24 100:23 **sense** [3] 13:10,21 101:8 sensitivities [15] 6:11 19:18 52:20,21 94:14 117:9 118:7 227:23 228:17,19 229:6,15 230:16,24 232:4

sensitivity [18] 5:3,8,12 6:8 7:5 8:10,14,15,23 10:3,22 12:15 83:13 92:3 94:2 117:6 119:5 230:18 sentence [4] 137:10,11

138:23 180:3 **separate** [1] 156:19 **separating** [1] 156:19 **series** [1] 65:22 **serious** [1] 139:18

178:21 196:6 234:20 served [1] 142:11 serves [1] 161:22 service [33] 7:7 19:10,11 19:12,14 27:14 29:16,19 29:22 30:1,4,9,12,19 31:2,3 32:8,23 33:15,16 33:25 41:7 86:6 116:2,9 179:12 182:3 188:9 194:16 214:20 216:5,7 235.9

serve [5] 27:24 112:20

**services** [2] 19:8 120:22 **serving** [2] 161:16 179:8 set [6] 21:6 22:11 25:10 37:13 53:14 82:22 setting [1] 136:9 **settles** [1] 182:1

seven [1] 184:8 **several** [3] 11:20 49:7 238:18

**shall** [2] 36:17 198:22 shareholder [1] 224:10 **sharing** [2] 113:8,17 **shave** [2] 171:24,24 **shedding** [101 178:9] 179:24 180:8 181:4 183:1 183:6,10 184:23 185:10

**sheet** [2] 210:15 215:14 **shift** [1] 228:6 **short** [6] 100:10 168:16 168:19 169:22 178:25 183:25

196:18

**short-term** [1] 182:23 shortfall [2] 15:20 17:4 **Shortly** [1] 200:25 **shoulder** [1] 172:7 **show** [8] 75:18 76:17 144:8 155:6,9 172:13 225:5 226:12

**showed** [2] 207:5 228:4 **showing** [1] 115:23 **shown** [3] 77:12 94:23 168:12

shows [7] 2:4,5 20:5 146:15,20 227:1 234:12

**shut** [1] 110:15 side [12] 49:21,22 51:2 57:3,4 58:6,7 119:14 131:25 165:7 179:17 205.22 sign [1] 28:21

**signed** [1] 99:8 significance [5] 28:3 93:13,18 106:2 107:13 **significant** [35] 66:11

68:25 90:8 93:7 94:16 94:21 97:10,13,20 102:15 102:23 103:2 109:5 118:8 127:5 128:8 147:11 148:16 150:4,20 151:5 156:3 157:4 158:5 160:16 172:5 179:20 185:17 189:18 191:4 204:19

227:6,18 229:23 230:1 significantly [2] 27:17 **similar** [2] 109:19 204:22 **similarly** [2] 68:7 134:9 **simple** [1] 128:5 **simplest** [1] 135:17 **simply** [1] 231:4 single [2] 189:14,15 **sit** [3] 196:15,17,17 **site** [7] 53:18 64:1,10,14 64:22 95:13 100:25 **sitting** [4] 37:5 58:11,13 162:8

**situation** [9] 8:2 18:16 69:24 76:5 151:12 178:9 178:20 207:25 234:24

**situations** [4] 9:19 107:7 109:20 156:6

**Six** [4] 59:24 184:8,15 221.17

**size** [6] 85:2 101:6,13 102:24 103:1 145:4

**sized** [1] 145:6 **slide** [12] 67:3,24 77:2,8 77:9,13,14 103:18,21,22

105:12 106:15 slight [2] 73:20 229:25 **slightly** [1] 22:7

**small** [10] 17:3 43:10 86:7 93:15 95:12 130:15 141:19 166:10,15 196:12

**smaller** [1] 232:25 **smelter** [4] 98:20,24 99:3 99.4

**SNC** [1] 199:25 **societal** [1] 141:4 **sold** [3] 29:8 30:3 32:2 **Soldier's** [1] 161:16 **Soldiers** [3] 34:14 143:8 144:2

**sole** [2] 140:16,17 **solution** [4] 194:14,19 194:19 195:15

solve[1] 194:11 **someone** [2] 2:8 48:14 **sometime** [3] 35:3 82:6 238:5

**sometimes** [3] 54:23 55:6 219:8

**somewhat** [1] 92:4 **somewhere** [3] 48:22 118:18 132:9

sorry [21] 24:25 34:5,7 62:13 80:11 83:18 85:21 88:8,13 99:22 105:23 115:6 122:17 143:17 144:14 195:3 211:10 212:3 219:16 235:21 240:10

**sort** [5] 5:2 31:15 35:24 155:10 230:15 **sound** [1] 244:8

233:11

**Rio** [3] 96:25 98:5 101:20

**sounds** [1] 182:16 **source** [15] 24:5 45:4 102:12 111:1 138:21 139:17 140:9,16,17 149:3 160:5 191:5 217:10 225:24 235:13 south [1] 205:22 southern [1] 197:8 **space** [1] 36:12 **spans** [2] 155:21 156:7 **speak** [4] 2:8 4:22 123:8 195:1 **speaking** [**5**] 4:16 58:9 89:7 112:15 197:8 **special** [5] 168:7 181:17 182:2 184:5 197:2 **specific** [8] 50:12 53:25 54:12 55:16 96:23,23 119:24 166:21 specifically [5] 12:2 64:18 65:10 123:18 159:23 specification [1] 26:3 **specifics** [2] 207:25 208:4 **specified** [1] 28:22 **spectrum** [1] 54:5 **speculate** [1] 214:9 **speculation** [1] 215:23 **speculative** [4] 98:21 98:24 99:2,3 **spend** [1] 61:1 **spent** [3] 59:22,25 160:16 **spillway** [1] 205:25 spoke [4] 14:7 36:25 123:17 181:11 **spread** [1] 141:7 **spring** [4] 37:20 82:6 172:8 203:17 **St** [3] 112:1 244:6,9 **stage** [13] 6:22 10:16 11:24 35:17 38:10 107:18 131:16 143:25 147:6,24 148:9 180:16 181:12 **stages** [2] 65:20 147:20 stakeholders [3] 127:9 128:9,13 **standalone** [1] 34:13 **standard** [34] 48:14 49:19 50:15 51:1,5,17 112:10,12,23,25 113:4 134:18,24 135:4,6,12,15 135:18,20,24 136:2,7 137:3 138:22 139:9,20 148:18,24 158:12,15 160:19 189:10 194:15 197:6 **standards** [13] 105:10 105:14,19,21 106:2,9 107:5,18 108:2 109:15 110:20 190:6 197:4 **standing** [5] 11:9 160:22 161:14 162:15 166:17 **standpoint** [1] 8:7 start [10] 22:23 25:3

29:22 65:16,25 101:25 122:17 148:4,6 188:2 **starting** [4] 64:5 92:11 127:3 187:24 **state** [3] 52:16 105:16 225:14 **statement** [8] 70:25 130:8 134:11 142:4 190:24 223:18,21 224:2 **statements** [4] 4:20 37:11,18 151:6 **states** [2] 106:1 137:10 **stating** [2] 222:25 230:14 **station** [9] 9:9 12:13 26:5 110:24,25 111:7,17 142:12 161:15 stations [2] 109:22 111:2 **statistical** [1] 19:24 **status** [2] 11:16 39:17 **stay** [3] 198:6 231:4 243:5 steel [2] 153:5 154:14 step [6] 10:14 34:19 63:14,20 68:25 235:10 Stephenville [1] 91:7 **steps** [2] 8:1,17 Steve [5] 4:3 7:5 19:6 24:25 114:12 still [32] 1:14 6:19 41:10 43:3 45:20 91:8 94:24 99:4 105:22,22 142:8 160:22 161:6,8,14,19 163:5 166:17 167:21 179:18 186:20 192:1 194:22 195:4 198:21 199:13 204:13 213:23 220:3 230:25 235:4 236:6 **stop** [1] 1:13 **stopped** [1] 116:11 **stopper** [2] 75:18 76:18 stored [1] 154:6 **storm** [6] 140:2,23 161:25 166:8,14,24 **storms** [2] 152:2 166:6 **Strait** [1] 171:5 **strategies** [3] 74:14 75:9 134:2 **strategist** [9] 5:18 17:12 28:6,8,10,24 73:8 115:25 117:17 **Stratton** [43] 14:14.15 14:16 15:3 36:25 37:9 37:10 38:6 81:16,18,22 81:23 82:2,3,16,20 83:7 83:15 84:16 85:8,19 86:2 86:10,18 87:5,10,24 88:7 88:15,20,24 89:6,12,19 90:1,10,14 91:2,18,24 94:5,6,11 **stringent** [1] 162:23 **striving** [1] 78:15 **strong** [2] 11:13 166:13 **structural** [2] 154:14

**structures** [9] 153:5,14 153:15,17 154:2 155:22 156:5,20 159:8 **studied** [2] 206:3 226:16 studies [44] 93:21 104:19 105:4 124:2,5,7,8 126:2 126:7 127:17 168:11 180:21 198:25 199:2,19 199:23 200:2,9 201:6,8 201:13,17,23 203:6,7,7 204:4,4,11,25 205:16 206:12,23 207:4,6,12,16 207:23,24 208:11,18,20 study [9] 5:9 71:1,4 123:24 204:6,21 206:7 206:20 208:1 **subheading** [1] 205:18 **subject** [8] 30:8 36:3 63:25 88:3,6 89:3 92:17 93:2 **submission** [3] 50:5 115:1 237:11 **submitted** [1] 229:4 subscribe [3] 19:8,11 120:21 subsequently [1] 64:7 substantial [2] 20:8 41.13 **successful** [4] 75:16,19 76:11 156:7 successfully [1] 78:12 **such** [11] 42:20 121:8 126:8,11 127:7,7,11 132:2,25 196:12 230:11 **sudden** [1] 181:15 **sufficient** 181 17:19 43:14 133:20 147:10 200:16,22 201:19 202:16 **suggested** [5] 137:2.3 137:23 148:23 201:22 **suggesting** [1] 241:5 **suggestion** [5] 137:17 138:5 148:19,21 150:22 **suggests** [1] 137:15 **suits** [1] 168:2 **sulphur** [12] 116:1,7 221:24 222:5,9 224:19 224:23 225:7,13 226:11 226:14,17 **sum** [1] 63:8 **summarized** [1] 240:20 summary [5] 206:1 238:18,24 240:21 242:2 **summer** [1] 236:15 **Sunnyside** [1] 187:14 **supplied** [3] 17:4 90:21

**supplies** [1] 109:23

25:7 33:3 42:9 44:2

100:9 101:24 102:7

**supply** [25] 17:17,17,19

110:17 111:1 139:17,19

140:9 143:11 160:12

167:9,10 178:3 181:16

217:12 219:3,5 220:16

**supplying** [1] 90:25 support [3] 75:2 87:1 **supporting** [2] 28:19 **suppose** [1] 44:13 **supposed** [1] 189:16 surplus [2] 220:10 233:10 **surprised** [1] 231:6 **suspect** [1] 140:11 swing [1] 114:3 **Switching** [1] 234:11 system [75] 17:21 22:8 22:11,18,20 23:4 30:22 41:25 43:14 107:8 109:13 112:22 139:6 142:15 146:10 150:15 152:6 154:25,25 155:5 158:13 158:22 159:20 160:15,20 160:22 161:21 162:6,10 162:11,12,13,15,22 163:6 164:2 165:6,9,10,21,21 166:8,13,15 170:1 172:21 181:5,7 182:6,11,24 183:12,25 184:6 185:18 188:22 189:22 191:10 192:23 194:3,17 197:1 197:10 200:9,22 201:6 201:17 203:3,5 204:24 205:1 207:14 208:12,22 223:22 **systems** [3] 26:9 109:24 110:4 -Ttable [9] 6:7 20:14,19 21:2 176:14,22 186:25 187:6,7 tables [1] 148:10 tailored [1] 84:4 take-or-pay [1] 28:21 takeaway [1] 15:1 takes [3] 150:12 159:11 219:17 taking [10] 8:2 31:4 32:10 84:11 106:22 127:7 162:1 172:24 199:18 232:11 **talks** [4] 29:17 101:18 179:23 180:1 tandem [1] 44:2

target [8] 29:2 69:11 131:24 184:11,11,17 199:14 213:8 **targeted** [1] 163:20 targets [2] 222:11,14 task [1] 127:7 tax [1] 2:2 team [3] 78:15 87:1 153:12 **technical** [6] 57:5 190:6 190:17,18 194:14 200:4 techniques [1] 8:19 technology [3] 23:18

48:24 76:6 **telling** [1] 194:24 temperature [1] 163:24 ten [14] 12:9,14 106:7,8 113:5,10 129:9,21 131:9 132:6 145:13,15 179:6 183:20 **ten-minute** [1] 180:18 **tender** [4] 63:7,18 79:3 154:13 tendering [1] 26:3 **tenders** [7] 61:15,22,23 62:1,9,16 66:21 terawatt [6] 29:6 43:13 100:20 150:10 219:15,17 term [11] 210:15 211:1 211:22,22 212:1,5,7 215:14 231:5,7 232:24 **terminal** [5] 110:24,24 111:6,17 200:14 terms [58] 9:14 10:9,11 11:16,25 12:3,25 13:22 14:6 23:17 29:14,18 30:18 31:14 32:6,18 35:22 38:2 43:18 44:9 79:2 81:9 83:2 92:17 95:23 96:22 114:14 116:25 117:24 121:20 122:2 129:18 130:5 131:5 142:2 153:2,22,24 154:21 160:8 192:25 210:14,15 210:20,24 211:15 214:1 214:8,9 215:9,13 216:11 218:11 220:9,13 222:23 226:7 231:5 **terrain** [3] 155:2,4 160:6 **Teshmont** [2] 204:6,7 test [2] 8:10 232:4 **testimony** [1] 51:22 textbook [1] 8:8 **thank** [13] 4:24 5:1 40:3 40:6 41:3 57:11 121:19 122:13,25 198:7,24 239:21 243:11 **Thanks** [1] 194:9 **thereafter** [1] 33:10 therefore [6] 11:3 33:24 44:17 53:12 209:5 228:22 thermal [4] 41:11 42:1 221:16 235:13 they've [4] 87:3 199:19 199:22 238:23 thin [2] 9:2 12:8 **thinking** [3] 64:1 104:15 220:18 thinks [1] 193:16 thinness [1] 7:2 **third** [2] 3:20 9:7 **Thomas** [2] 158:9 165:3 **thorough** [2] 74:6 240:4 **thought** [7] 51:12,22

52:16 133:15 143:17

**thoughts** [1] 141:24

**three** [13] 1:23,24 68:1

200:6 202:15

169:24

**structure** [1] 153:22

93:16 99:17,23 183:6 196:10 197:14 206:13 213:9 219:10 230:5 threshold [1] 31:25 through [37] 18:11 23:5 31:8,19 35:25 45:18 50:6 53:19 59:21 63:14,20 64:3 76:24 101:22 110:8 112:3 113:20,22 115:8 135:12 139:5 171:23 181:19 183:3 185:10,22 202:17 203:15,16,16 205:15,17 206:10 209:17 217:18 232:4 235:2 throughout [5] 114:25

**throughout** [5] 114:25 148:1 153:13 166:18 219:19

thumb [1] 63:8 ticket [1] 66:14 tie [1] 143:7

**tiers** [1] 183:6 **timeframe** [1] 151:11

**times** [8] 55:6 68:9 172:6 184:8,9 185:5 194:10 227:25

**timing** [5] 68:18 73:6 94:20 144:16 237:12

**Tinto** [3] 96:25 98:5 101:20

**titles** [3] 62:4 63:14,16 **TL202** [1] 187:13

**today** [16] 5:5 18:17 35:3 41:8 48:10 50:20 51:14 58:10 120:19 182:25 186:19 187:5 188:8 223:12 225:23 231:18

**together** [11] 38:17 39:16 44:2 73:12 76:2,8 78:9 79:11 86:5 181:7 209:24

**tomorrow** [6] 195:21 239:15 240:2 241:3 242:24 243:3

**too** [7] 141:18 162:12,13 196:20 215:24 232:13 242:20

**took** [7] 3:1 31:8 83:20 103:21 137:10 165:22 237:7

**tool** [2] 10:7 12:19 **tools** [1] 237:3

**top** [7] 9:6 12:11 13:2 63:22 64:24 136:14,15

**topic** [7] 22:8 81:15 134:13 198:13,25 210:2 221:13

topics [1] 123:11 total [26] 28:11 45:3 57:13 60:3 61:25 62:9 83:17,18 85:4,5,14,23 86:8,23 88:9,14,16 90:18 91:21 92:5 93:14 145:12 149:9 174:9 196:11 227:19

totally [2] 196:14 225:3 towards [2] 69:1,1 towers [1] 157:17 **trade**[1] 231:16 **traditional**[2] 83:8 127:4

Trans [1] 64:13 transcribed [1] 244:7 transcript [11] 4:18 50:19 51:6 52:2 135:1 152:14 225:3,15 238:14

240:18 244:3

transformers [1] 26:5 transiently [1] 181:25 transition [2] 180:11 181:1

translate [2] 23:24 74:19 transmission [45] 11:4 18:3 22:17,25 23:2,4,5 24:8 25:17,19,23 26:6 33:17,25 34:6 43:7 44:3 44:17 65:2 70:23 71:1,2 134:9,14,17,24 136:1 138:15 152:1 156:8 157:1 159:5,20 161:21 162:23 164:24 169:18 170:5 175:13 187:13 195:5 196:5 204:17 217:11 220:15

**transparent** [2] 180:17 180:20

**treatment** [1] 142:16 **trend** [1] 231:8

**trends** [1] 53:16 **trick** [1] 87:14

**trigger** [1] 69:13 **trip** [6] 182:23 183:10,25

185:1 186:1 196:4 **tripped** [2] 182:13 185:12

**tripping** [2] 180:4 182:16

**trips** [3] 184:13,19 185:25

**trouble**[1] 211:11 **troubling**[1] 160:24

**true** [3] 163:2 181:12 244:3

**try** [5] 5:15 27:9 193:6 219:22 242:11

**trying** [12] 13:9 39:14 54:13 154:22 160:7 166:11 184:15 203:11 207:11,18 216:2 229:10

**turbine** [5] 18:21 95:12 95:18 194:19 235:1

**turbines** [24] 95:24 141:12 164:22 173:14,17 173:25 174:7,21,23 175:8 175:10 186:17,21 188:5 192:5,12 193:2,3,10,23 194:5,11 196:17 232:17

**turn** [13] 1:14 14:14 22:7 28:15 40:4 41:19 45:11 81:15 103:13 113:24 129:2 181:20,22

**twenty** [1] 98:9 **twice** [3] 89:23 90:2 91:1 two [56] 1:11 5:9 6:18
7:10 17:11 19:8 21:2
27:17,25 42:10 65:20
71:3 86:5,13,23 89:23
90:2,22 91:15 93:17
100:19 106:10 112:11
114:16 116:17 129:16
141:19 151:11 154:23
172:19,24,25 176:6,8,21
179:14 180:11,13 186:12
187:16,16 198:2 204:12
205:4,15 212:15,24 213:2
213:7,10 214:11 218:20
219:9,9 224:18 241:18

**two-week** [11] 151:15 151:19 152:23 171:13 172:1 173:2 176:6,24 177:17 179:13,18

**type** [17] 48:23 52:18 61:7 63:17 76:4 93:19 103:15,25 124:17 125:13 126:1 153:3,16 171:17 182:5 183:14 232:15

**types** [9] 109:23 124:8 126:6,15 153:14 154:9 160:7 179:2 205:2

**typical** [1] 48:19 **typically** [6] 48:11,17 48:21 57:2 90:2 129:8

# -U-

**ultimate** [2] 101:6 171:21

**un** [1] 119:14

**ultimately** [6] 66:8 70:7 79:17 119:10 193:7 224:4

unavailable [1] 156:7 uncertain [1] 194:9 uncertainly [1] 123:21

**uncertainty** [12] 19:19 19:20,24 45:20 118:19 119:8,12,13,17 229:9,12 232:3

**under** [22] 6:25 15:6 31:2 34:14,15 43:23 69:5 115:25 178:8 179:23 180:7 181:3 183:1,5,9 184:13,19,23 185:10,25 196:18 207:25

**underlying** [2] 11:7 161:20

understand [29] 1:4 21:1 25:15 27:9 52:18 55:8 56:16 66:5 85:20 89:15 111:15 115:12 119:5 122:25 124:1 145:2 160:25 161:24 166:12 188:21 198:10,21 206:17 207:11,19 215:7 216:6 220:9 240:12

**understood** [3] 112:7 192:9 242:17

**undertake** [2] 106:17 140:13

**undertaken** [6] 82:5 123:25 158:21,24 205:17 206:13 **undertaking** [3] 170:11 236:11 237:21

undertakings [2] 1:20 1:25

uneconomic [1] 42:22 unexpectedly [1] 38:23 unintelligible [1] 241:16 unit [3] 30:20,24 183:9

**United** [1] 106:1

**units** [1] 116:9 **unless** [2] 135:1 217:18

Unlimited [1] 244:12 unserved [7] 171:22 172:1,10 187:2,20 188:5 188:11

**unsupplied** [13] 170:21 171:11 173:1,9,11,20 176:5,10,24 177:3,12,16 178:2

**up** [61] 1:14,24 2:5,5,9 3:8 5:2 6:6 11:17 13:2 17:23 21:2 22:9 24:5 39:11,13 40:7,22 45:19 51:14 54:20 59:11,23 60:12 61:24 64:16 69:19 77:8 85:17 86:8 97:25 103:18 105:23 110:14 114:2 115:7 122:5 123:8 123:15,20 144:11 146:2 147:17 157:15 162:8,14 163:9 165:10 176:8 177:3 177:13 183:24 185:2 186:24 195:1 216:17 218:12 220:22 229:17 234:1 238:18

**update** [10] 14:11,16,18 70:15 72:15 124:19 132:13 209:13 236:8 237:9

**updated** [14] 35:18 73:1 73:22 74:2,11 78:20 80:21 81:6 89:24 105:3 105:7 208:14 235:6 236:12

**updates** [s] 14:8 35:19 90:3 91:13,17

**updating** [4] 67:18 104:14 105:8 124:15 **upgrade** [2] 159:19,21

**upgraded** [2] 140:16 160:15

**upgrades** [9] 130:14 221:15,22 223:4 224:7 225:7,11 226:10,22

**upper** [8] 16:19 17:8 40:10,14,17 41:1 43:4 131:25

**urgency** [1] 95:2 **used** [41] 13:14 20:12 27:13 42:9,15 45:15 46:11 47:15 50:18 55:3 58:24 72:5 81:20 84:21 105:16 114:6 115:10,12 115:22 116:1,14 134:18 134:19,22 137:17 138:5 151:19 176:19 191:19 193:10 211:3,7 212:1,5 212:25 213:2,12 214:10 216:12 230:17 237:3

**useful**<sub>[1]</sub> 19:15 **usefulness**<sub>[1]</sub> 10:7 **uses**<sub>[1]</sub> 184:12

**using** [22] 11:22 12:4 21:3 29:18 53:13 60:24 92:3 104:11 121:2 136:8 146:7,16 147:6 148:4,6 162:22,25 176:5 177:11 187:18 196:25 238:2

**usually** [3] 58:5 85:24 228:1

utilities [14] 82:25 105:16 106:8 125:12,18 126:1,15 127:18 185:23 214:23 215:8,21 236:25 244:5

**utility** [8] 27:14 105:15 105:17 108:1 151:22 183:4 222:25 223:3

utilize [2] 116:8 150:9

### -V-

**Vale** [5] 14:17 37:3,12 37:14,17

Vale's [1] 14:11 valid [1] 207:18 validate [1] 202:25 valuable [3] 10:11,14 12:19

**value** [22] 10:3 11:1 27:16 30:7,11 31:22 33:19,21,21 42:15,15 43:22 45:3,3 62:1,5,9,16 62:22,23 63:9 66:11

values [1] 27:25 variability [3] 118:21

230:11 231:2 variable [1] 228:21 variables [6] 5:13.16

228:20 229:7,9 232:1 **variance** [1] 205:19 **varies** [3] 58:3 85:16

177:3 **various** [3] 35:19 206:8

208:7 vary [4] 5:19,20,20 85:5

**vegetation** [1] 64:8 **verified** [1] 24:23

verify [1] 202:25

**version** [1] 205:14

**versus** [8] 19:1 51:13 83:4 86:15 171:12 176:11 177:18 191:24

via [1] 112:4 VICE-CHAIR [1] 241:21

view [16] 3:3,8 9:22 19:24 50:17,24 53:1 75:18 127:6 129:20 131:10 135:3 150:7 185:16 188:16 194:18

views [1] 150:19

		<b>'</b>	erbatim Court Reporters
<b>virtue</b> [1] 113:5	61:22 62:8,15 92:7,16		
<b>visited</b> [1] 6:10	93:12 96:10 103:17 170:3		
voltage [2] 138:19 160:4	179:22		
volume [5] 115:4,6	<b>wondered</b> [3] 48:9 52:21		
123:20 134:20 168:12	55:7		
1	<b>wondering</b> [2] 162:9		
<b>volumes</b> [1] 29:3	201:11		
voluntarily [1] 185:1	<b>word</b> [3] 137:17,22 138:5		
<b>voluntary</b> [1] 105:23	words [3] 6:14 75:19		
	141:24		
-W-	worked [2] 35:25 51:3		
wait [3] 40:17,25 240:8	works [1] 64:23		
waiting [2] 17:13 41:6	world [2] 120:20,23		
	-		
wants [2] 2:8 192:15	worry [1] 28:2		
<b>watching</b> [5] 5:6 6:23 17:24 29:15 38:14	<b>worse</b> [4] 22:16 172:1 189:1,3		
water [1] 233:22	<b>worst</b> [9] 171:18,20		
wave [1] 123:7	172:14 176:6 178:22,23		
ways [2] 42:10 185:21	179:15 187:15 188:12		
webcast [2] 5:6 29:15	worth [2] 13:3 174:11		
website [3] 61:21 62:18	wrong [1] 119:14		
154:15	-Y-		
week [6] 118:16 151:11			
154:23 172:5,20 176:7	year [40] 3:3 26:24 30:3		
<b>weeks</b> [4] 59:24 172:24 172:25 176:8	30:9,23 33:3,5,9 82:5,6 82:15 83:21 85:6,16,16		
	89:23 90:3 91:1,25		
weight [2] 118:25 230:9	113:10 118:24 136:20		
weighting [1] 19:21	143:9 151:13 161:12		
weightings [5] 21:1,8	166:3 184:8,9 187:8,8		
21:12,15,18	187:19 192:1 196:8 212:1		
<b>west</b> [5] 44:25 100:23 182:19 200:12 203:15	212:5,15,15 219:15 229:11 235:6		
western [1] 168:19	<b>years</b> [41] 32:11 33:17		
WHALEN [1] 241:21	41:7 83:9,14 84:13 98:9 99:18,23 112:18,19 113:5		
<b>whammy</b> [1] 9:7	118:22 121:3 134:23		
<b>whereby</b> [1] 9:3	136:19 137:5,12 139:4		
<b>whole</b> [6] 135:20 158:1	139:15 140:4 142:25		
183:12,13,17 191:23	143:1 152:3 158:7,21,25		
<b>wholesale</b> [1] 82:11	159:16 161:12 166:4		
wide [2] 50:2 51:18	177:5 182:25 183:20,21 185:14,19 187:10,10		
willing [2] 52:25 198:11	188:3 195:5 238:3		
wind [7] 101:10 130:15	yesterday [62] 1:21,25		
163:21,21 169:6 235:18	5:2 6:11 8:21 14:7 17:8		
235:22	17:24,25 18:11,12 34:25		
<b>window</b> [9] 95:19 151:15	35:15,21 45:13,13,22		
151:19 152:24 154:24	47:17,19 48:7 49:16		
171:13 176:6,7,24	50:13,21 51:22 52:3		
winter [2] 43:12 44:14	53:15 54:13 59:5 60:17		
<b>wish</b> [5] 38:12 51:16	67:1,7,8 81:18 89:9 92:6 92:9,14 94:8 96:17		
63:22 65:5 240:12	103:19,22 105:12 114:9		
within [24] 17:11 31:16	117:8 121:10 131:20		
36:2,3,4,7 50:25 51:5,17	134:25 140:1 152:10		
52:12,16 53:2,25 54:12	153:23 154:13 157:14		
61:3 67:5,15 68:9 71:6 74:18 77:4 163:9 179:12	167:20 170:18 186:19		
193:10	192:10 199:1 200:8		
without [9] 18:6 51:6	209:25 221:14 225:2 229:14		
114:4 165:12 190:3	yet [6] 11:19 38:23 98:13		
191:12 192:25 196:17	127:24 134:6 157:10		
207:6	yourself [1] 4:17		
witnesses [4] 4:16			
215:25 240:23 242:5	-Z-		
wonder [16] 4:17 16:11	zero [3] 7:13 44:7 177:3		
19:2 54:20 59:10 61:20	ZC1 U [3] /.13 44:/ 1//.3		