

1 Q. In response to PUB-Nalcor-16 regarding the potential increase of Rio Tinto's
2 production capacity in Labrador by 100 percent, Nalcor states:

3
4 *"Nalcor will have 2TWh of production available from Muskrat Falls, and*
5 *approximately 1 TWh available from Churchill Falls recall to meet needs in*
6 *Labrador".*

7
8 Current requirements for Rio Tinto's operations in Labrador is approximately 2.2
9 TWh. Assuming a 100 percent increase in production would require approximately
10 2 TWh, this would leave about 1 TWh available from Muskrat Falls and Churchill
11 Falls recall. From Nalcor's forecast for the Island Interconnected System, this
12 surplus energy would be required to meet Island needs within a 10-year timeframe.
13 This does not provide for any additional domestic or industrial load growth in
14 Labrador or industrial load growth on the Island. Also energy exports on the
15 potential Maritime Link to Nova Scotia would be limited to the 1TWh contracted
16 with Emera for a 35 year term.

17
18 In consideration of the above, and the fact that Nalcor's assessment of a Gull Island
19 development with 800MW HVdc lines to Soldiers Pond and Salisbury, New
20 Brunswick "did not meet Nalcor's financial targets," if Rio Tinto's planned expansion
21 proceeds, how would Nalcor propose to meet load requirements in Newfoundland
22 and Labrador beyond 2027?

23
24
25 A. Nalcor's comments in response to RFI PUB-Nalcor-65 indicate that Gull Island has
26 not been "abandoned". Should Rio Tinto's requirements materialize (or other
27 changes to the load forecasts occur), Nalcor would use the period between 2017

- 1 and 2027 to develop additional sources of supply. These additional sources of
- 2 supply may include Gull Island, other hydroelectric sites, or wind development.