Page 1 of 1

1	Q.	Further to PUB-Nalcor-51, if the requirement for electrostatic precipitators,
2		scrubbers and NO _x burners was eliminated, and there was an annual load decrease
3		of 880 GWh in 2013, what would be the CPW for the Isolated Island and the
4		Labrador Interconnection and the difference in CPW of the two options?
5		
6		
7	A.	Further to PUB-Nalcor-51, if the requirement for electrostatic precipitators,
8		scrubbers and low NO_{x} burners was eliminated, and there was an annual load
9		decrease of 880 GWh in 2013, the CPW for the Isolated Island alternative would be
10		\$6,278 million (\$2010) and the CPW for the Interconnected Island alternative would
11		be \$6,139 million (\$2010). The CPW preference for the Interconnected Island
12		alternative over the Isolated Island alternative is \$139 million (\$2010) in this load
13		sensitivity, compared to a CPW preference for the Interconnected Island alternative
14		in the reference analysis of \$2,158 million (\$2010).
15		
16		Nalcor also notes that a proposal to eliminate the installation of pollution controls
17		at Holyrood in an isolated scenario would be inconsistent with commitments made
18		by the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador in the Energy Plan.