

1 Q. On p. 69 of Exhibit 101, Generation Expansion Variants, Table 15, it is stated that for
2 two of the variants (the first and third), Nalcor assumed an early replacement of the
3 Holyrood facility in 2017 with a CCCT. What was the rationale for this assumption
4 on this early retirement date?

5

6

7 A. The assumption of early replacement of the Holyrood facility in 2017 with a CCCT
8 was included in the first sensitivity in order to test the consequence of the
9 assumption to continue operation of the existing facility at Holyrood until 2041.
10 This result may be considered along with the result of the sensitivity analysis
11 provided in the response to RFI MHI-Nalcor-3, which indicated the CPW preference
12 for the Interconnected Island alternative over continued use of Holyrood until 2041
13 to be \$1,283 million.

14A

15 The third sensitivity analysis was intended to confirm that installing pollution
16 controls on the Holyrood facility was justified in the Island Isolated scenario rather
17 than replacing Holyrood with a new CCCT facility at the outset.